

# PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 29 Aug 55		2. LOCATION Mulberry Corners, Ohio		12. CONCLUSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Psychological</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 30/0530Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		6. SOURCE civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION n/a		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one w/men		9. COURSE landing	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Ankebrandt Case: See Case File. Saucer landed, men got out and talked to the witness.				11. COMMENTS Evaluated as an eccentric by the investigator. Attributed to psychological causes.	



CONFIDENTIAL  
R7C  
UNCLASSIFIED

AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

PROJECT NUMBER 10073 DATE 12 July 1956

TRAVEL ORDER NUMBER 01140 DIVISION Air Sciences

SUBJ: (U) Travel to Cleveland, Ohio Area Re UFO Case Investigations

A. PURPOSE:

1. The purpose of this travel was to investigate certain UFO cases brought to the attention of ATIC by the UFO Research Council of Cleveland.

B. FACTUAL DATA:

2. Travel commenced 27 June 1956 and terminated 29 June 1956.

3. Travel was performed by M/Sgt Oliver D. Hill, Air Sciences Division, AFOIN-4E4, acting in the capacity of UFO Investigator.

4. Names of persons contacted for purposes of interview, interrogation and/or information regarding the UFO sightings in question:

- a. Mrs. [REDACTED], [REDACTED] St., Cleveland, Ohio
- b. Mr. [REDACTED], Willoughby, Ohio
- c. Mrs. [REDACTED], Willoughby, Ohio
- d. Mr. [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Cleveland, Ohio
- e. Mrs. [REDACTED], [REDACTED], wife of UFORC member who has prepared the UFO case files)
- f. Mr. [REDACTED], [REDACTED], (Director of UFORC)

5. Travel for the purposes stated was approved after submission of all pertinent facts, background, and reasons for recommending this investigation. Reference attached Memorandum to Office of Scientific Advisor, dated 20 June 1956, subject: "Briefing on UFO Research Council and Courses of Action." A dossier containing details of the UFO cases in question together with colored illustrations of the UFO's and related surroundings as drawn by this organization, were handcarried by Sgt. Hill to assist him in this investigation.

6. The following presents a brief summary of the UFO cases investigated, statement regarding results, opinions, impressions, and other pertinent highlights of the interviews and interrogations regarding each interviewee:

- a. Brief summary of cases (in order of investigation):

[REDACTED]

DOWN [REDACTED] [REDACTED]  
DECL [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

UNCLASSIFIED



(1) ██████████ Case

Sighting: 29 August 1955.

Two miles west of intersection of Route 6 and 306.  
Between 1:30 to 2:00 a.m.

Object: Typically "flying saucer" in shape. Thirty feet across. Dome on top. A bolt of green light struck the ground in front of ██████████ as he started to run. Object landed, door slid open, and a man came out. He informed ██████████, in English, "they" were from another planet.

(2) ██████████ Case

Sighting: Late July or early August (date indefinite) 1955.  
North of Mulberry Corner, Ohio (Int. Route 6 and 306).  
8:45 p.m.

Object: Size described as larger than house, and as flat, circular in shape. Red light in front, green in rear. Hovered about fifty feet in the air for about five minutes. Two spotlights threw their beams on the ground. Noise like a fan. Object then drifted away.

(3) ██████████ Case

Sighting: 22 October 1955.  
Cleveland, Ohio area over 105th St.

Object: Circular, bright orange glow, 500 feet off the ground. A bright, orange light was observed shining from the bottom of the object towards the earth.

(4) ██████████ Case

Sighting: 22 October 1955.  
4711 Ardendale Road, South Euclid, Ohio.  
7:15 p.m.

Object: Large. Wide as average house. Made gruff coarse noise. Somewhat spherical in shape. Row of yellow lights around perimeter. Tree-top height.

b. Results of Interviews:

- (1) ██████████ Sighting: Proceeded to the home of ██████████ arriving there at approximately 1545 hours of 27 June. ██████████ was not at home, and an interview was held with his foster mother, Mrs. ██████████ which produced some interesting information regarding this case. It was disclosed that David was an only child, sickly and retarded during his infant years, who was shuttled between Mrs. ██████████ and others after loss of his parents. He was legally adopted by ██████████ 3 years ago. His foster mother is of the opinion that he did observe something on 29 August 1955. ██████████ claims to



## b. Results of Interview:

(1) [redacted] have seen the landing of the "saucer"; talked to the person who emerged from within it, and who gave him a message in English to the effect (as told to this investigator) that, "...tell your leader, if there is another war---we'll take over!" In confiding this event to his priest, [redacted] was advised to tell his story directly to the proper authorities. This he has not done, not even to his foster mother. However, the peculiar aspect of this case is, that he did give this information to Mr. Allan E. Roush, a member and self-styled official UFO investigator of the UFO RC. Further investigation disclosed that although [redacted] is considered an intelligent young man and possesses the attributes of a good musician, he is said to be a bit on the "odd" side, living in his own little world, and given to a large imagination. It was further learned that on the same day that [redacted] claimed to have seen his "flying saucer," a Cleveland firm was sending up sky rockets as part of an advertising campaign, offering rewards to the finders of these rockets. It was determined that [redacted] saw these rockets and attempted to obtain one.

(2) [redacted] Sighting: On the evening of the same day (27 June) proceeded to Willoughby, Ohio, to the residence of Mr. and Mrs. [redacted]. Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] willingly gave me their account of the sighting they had made in late July or August of 1955. They had no knowledge that the undersigned investigator had in his possession a case dossier from the UFO RC. In brief, they both repeated to this investigator almost word for word their previous report to the UFO RC. However, in the course of discussion following their story the investigator learned that the UFO RC had built up this particular case in their report to ATIC. The pictures submitted and as shown in the case dossier are not pictures of the area of Mr. [redacted] home but of an area some distance away. Also, some of the drawings of the UFO sighted are erroneous according to [redacted] who, apparently, reviewed them for the first time. These were revised in the UFO RC dossier. It was learned during this interview that Mr. Roush of the UFO RC is leading people to believe that he and the UFO RC organization are official USAF Investigators. They present some type of card for identification, believed to be a USAF Reserve card of some sort.

In view of the fact that Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] repeated almost the exact story submitted in the UFO case dossier; that Mr. [redacted] appears familiar with known types of aircraft; and that he still seemed frightened almost a year after his sighting, the undersigned investigator was left with the impression that Mr. [redacted] is sincere and truthful and that he did see something unusual and unknown.

(3) [redacted] Sighting: In the latter part of the day (28 June), an attempt was made to contact Mr. [redacted] who appears to be a sort of "chairman" and self-designated chief UFO investigator of the organization in question. Inasmuch as Mr. [redacted] for the most part personally prepared the UFO cases and illustration in the dossier, it was important that some background information on this individual be obtained. It was determined that [redacted] did not, and had not lived in the address given to ATIC. [redacted] address was obtained from his previous landlord. However, [redacted], an insurance

UNCLASSIFIED

DO NOT  
DELETE

UNCLASSIFIED



CONFIDENTIAL

R+C

UNCLASSIFIED

salesman, was out of town. Discussions with [redacted] wife, who also observed the sighting with her husband, produced some interesting information on this case. Mrs. [redacted] was of the opinion that, although not positive, the object she observed was an aircraft. Further, she gave this investigator the impression that her husband got interested in UFO's merely as a hobby, but that his interest "now has grown out of hand."

7. In accordance with a pre-arranged plan for conducting this series of interviews, the final interview was had with M [redacted], the organizer and director of the UFO RC. He, Mr. Roush and Mr. Smith conducted the investigations on these sightings, and somehow ran into a few more people who had made sightings on this same date (22 October 1955). From all the witnesses accounts this investigator is lead to believe that all those sightings were of some type of aircraft. Strong support is lent this statement because of numerous and constant aircraft flights in and around Cleveland, from both private and municipal airfields. With USAF units located in the Cleveland Municipal Airport there are any numerous types of craft flying out of the Cleveland area, i.e., advertising blimps, helicopters, transports jet types to name only a few.

8. At the conclusion of interviews, this investigator emphasized to all interviewed that although submission of some UFO cases and reports from private citizens and organizations is appreciated by the Air Force, ATIC and other delegated AF units are the only "official" UFO agencies. It was strongly recommended that all sightings should be made to ATIC or the nearest AF base immediately, and that if the sightings were found to possess merit, action to initiate an official USAF investigation through the 4602d AISS and other pertinent units, who have trained investigators to handle these cases, would be taken.

#### C. CONCLUSIONS:

9. [redacted] Case: Mr. [redacted], an impressionable young man with an over active imagination did observe some natural or explainable phenomena, but let his imagination run riot to build up a story of a "flying saucer" landing and its occupants talking to him to such an extent that he now believes or alleges to believe this fantastic story himself. Considered an "eccentric" or "psychological manifestation" UFO report.

10. [redacted] Case: This was the only case of the four that did not disclose any discrepancies between the original and present UFO report. No firm or definite conclusion can be made due to lack of further information and the difficulty of getting additional facts from the sources due to the length of time elapsing from the first report. Considered an "unknown."

11. [redacted] Case: From his obvious susceptibility to suggestion, and description of his sighting "500 feet from the ground - a light beam appearing shining towards the ground," the object is considered an "aircraft" preparatory to landing, and will be placed in that category.

12. [redacted] Case: Apparently an aircraft, but insufficient information.

13. General: All the individuals associated with the UFO RC appeared to be people who, although good American citizens, have vivid imaginations and sincerely believe they are helping the UFO program by conducting their own investigation and analyses. It is further concluded that in all future reports from this organization

UNCLASSIFIED



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ R7C

will probably border on the fantasy side rather than on the logical or factual so necessary in resolving reported sightings.

D. RECOMMENDATIONS:

14. The UFO cases investigated herein be entered individually in the UFO case files under the following categories.

- a. [REDACTED] (Eccentric) i.e. Other
- b. [REDACTED] Unknown
- c. [REDACTED] Aircraft
- d. [REDACTED] Insufficient information.

APPROVED:

*George T. Gregory*  
GEORGE T. GREGORY  
Captain, USAF

PREPARED BY:

*O. D. Hill*  
M/Sgt O. D. Hill

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS;  
DECLASSIFIED AT 12 YEARS.  
DOWNGRADED 2010

UNCLASSIFIED



Memorandum for Mr. [REDACTED]

UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT: Brief on UFO Research Council and Courses of Action

1. On 3 February 1956, Mr. Roush, UFO Research Council, located in Cleveland, was granted a conference in ATIC, with Capt. Hardin, Dr. Robinson and Mr. Whedon. This conference was the result of a letter (attached) sent to President Eisenhower, which through channels (Sherman Adams, Gen. Kelly of the USAF Legislature Liaison Office, etc.) was approved by Col Eriksen on 30 January (letter attached).

2. A number of cases in dossier form, were submitted to ATIC by this organization, and it appears, a promise was exacted from Capt Hardin that the cases would be studied or explained, and a reply as to the results would be given to this group.

3. This matter was brought to the undersigned's attention recently by a letter from Mr. [REDACTED] dated 6 June 1956, reiterating this promise (letter attached). A check with Dr. Robinson indicates that a promise was made.

4. To the best of the undersigned's knowledge, it was determined that no action of any kind has been taken on this matter.

5. Dr. Hynek stated a few weeks ago (prior to receipt of Mr. [REDACTED]'s letter) that this organization was one of the most active; that he had personally met its members and being a dedicated group, would normally press matters to extremes, if necessary.

6. For your information and background, and to save you the trouble of reviewing the large mass of data of each case, the following is a brief summary of the four cases submitted to ATIC by the council in February of this year.

a. [REDACTED] case,

Sighting: 22 October 1955

Cleveland, Ohio area over 105th St.

Object: Circular, bright orange glow, 500 feet off the ground. A bright, orange light was observed shining from the bottom of the object towards the earth.

b. [REDACTED] case,

Sighting: 22 October 1955

4711 Ardendale Road

South Euclid, Ohio

7:15 p.m.

Object: Large. Wide as average house. Made gruff course noise. Somewhat spherical in shape. Row of yellow lights.

Tree-top height.

EDWING  
DEOLA

BRVALST  
EARS.

c. [REDACTED] case,

Sighting: Late July or early August (date indefinite) 1955

North of Mulberry Corner, Ohio (Int. Route 6 & 306)

8:45 p.m.

Object: Size described as larger than house, and as flat, circular in shape. Red light in front, green in rear. Hovered about fifty feet in the air for about five minutes. Two spot lights threw their beams on the ground. Noise like a fan. Object then drifted away.

UNCLASSIFIED



d.                      case,

Sighting: 29 August 1955

Two miles west of intersection of Route 6 and 306.

Between 1:30 to 2:00 a.m.

Object: Typically "flying saucer" in shape. Thirty feet across. Dome on top. A bolt of green light struck the ground in front of                      as he started to run. Object landed, door slid open, and a man came out. He informed Ankenbrandt, In English, "they" were from another planet.

7. In view of the possibility that these people may, again, contact the President's office with a request for a follow-up or explanation, unless we can give them some suitable reply (which we can later back up by official paper work), it is the opinion of the undersigned that, regardless of the merits of each individual case, some action should be initiated, if for no other reason than for our records to indicate something was done in this matter.


8. Two courses of action are contemplated:

a. A reply to the UFO Council that no firm conclusion can be reached because of the insufficiency of information submitted.

b. Request a brief, informal investigation by the 4602nd AISS, in accordance with AFR 200-2. A reply to the UFO Council would then be that "investigative action has been initiated; results unknown as of this date."

9. The latter recommendation above is considered the best approach. Any reply to the effect "insufficient information" "no firm conclusion" "is still under study" may only produce a large mass of additional data from this organization which undoubtedly will further confuse the cases on hand.

10. Your comments and/or recommendations with respect to this matter would be appreciated.

  
GEORGE T. GREGORY  
Captain, USAF  
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Group



The purpose of this document is to report to you on the information you requested in letters reflecting the S. and C. and C. and C. and C.







We can keep it all but we  
can without signing it to  
the family.

I am afraid that we are  
comprehending better to you by March 1, 1936.



Mr. [redacted] states that he is very  
glad you know about his real, and he  
will get over the entire matter with  
your investigators if they visit him.

Very truly yours

[redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted]



~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~



Captain Hardin  
Air Technical Intelligence Center  
Wright Pattern Air Force Base  
Dayton, Ohio



~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ CASE

SEE " UFO RESEARCH COUNCIL " FILE FOR FULL PARTICULARS REGARDING  
(22 OCT 1955) CASE FILES -

INVESTIGATION, SIGHTING , AND CONCLUSIONS....



578 F.B.I. Mrs. [redacted]  
Norwalk Real Mother  
90 yrs. old

Case File Number Three

On September 20, 1955 I received a letter from one Mrs. [redacted] of Cleveland which stated that she had visited with a young man who had had an amazing experience. She went on to say that this fellow told only his mother, his priest and her about the event but that he wanted to talk with someone who knows about such things. Soon after, I accompanied two other UFORC investigators to Mrs. [redacted] home where the interview was to take place. With the witnesses' okay we recorded the complete interview with a dictaphone.

The witness's name is [redacted], a pleasant 21 year old fellow who, at first impression, appeared most sincere. Following is a reproduction of [redacted] affidavit account:

"My name is [redacted], I reside at [redacted], Apartment 4B, Cleveland 8, Ohio.

On Tuesday morning, August 29, 1955 between 1:30 a.m. and 2:00 a.m. I was operating my automobile in a Westerly direction on Chardon Road, Route 6.

About two miles west of the intersection of Route 6 and 306 I saw what looked like a shooting star enter my right windshield. I parked my car on the right side of Route 6 and looked to the Northeast into the field where the object seemed to hit.

I thought this object might have been a meteorite and therefore walked through the field for a closer examination. When I was about 450 feet into the field and near the object I saw that the object was some kind of aircraft. It was about thirty feet in diameter and had a dome on top.

I began to get scared, turned, and ran back towards the road. I had run about fifty feet when a green light bolted out in front of me. I turned around facing the aircraft and was then unable to move my body, yet, I could speak as the green light shone on me.

A door slid open in this aircraft, some kind of platform came out, and a man, with something resembling a ski suit on, emerged from the object. This man told me not to be afraid, that 'they' had landed there to be discovered but didn't expect anyone to find them so soon. He told me to tell a high official or somebody with governmental authority in Washington that 'they' were from another planet and that if there were any more wars here 'they' would have to take over. He told me that I could tell a relative of the meeting. I told him that I didn't think that a kid like myself would be believed if I approached an official and relayed the



message. He told me I had a week to make an effort to do this and that I'd be watched and that no harm would come to me.

He returned to the aircraft. I watched the door close; then I turned and walked back to the car. I did not see the aircraft take off.

I returned to this place on Thursday morning about the same time. This time the man told me that I had not begun to make an effort toward going to Washington. I said I had told my mother. He said I should go to Washington and relay the message.

I returned here again late Saturday night with a buddy; we waited around for a while but nothing happened.

Of course we realize that this case might be considered on the fantastic side but we of the UFORC do not consider it to be in the crackpot category. A short while after the initial interview ~~David~~ drove us to the landing site at the intersection of Routes 6 and 306. He showed us his path into the desolate field and where the object alighted. We made diagrams, etc., of all of this. In late October, UFORC members went to the site again with equipment but without Dave. Here is the contents of this field report:

- "1. No radioactivity found anywhere a check with a geiger-counter revealed except for background count. (Of course, this was some time after the actual occurrence.)
2. The largest compass deviation was 10 degrees at a gully on western border of field.
3. No ground marks.
4. Photographs were taken of the area and stakes were driven into the ground as markers."

We kept in constant touch with ~~David~~ and he appeared very cooperative and sincere. He was obsessed with the notion that he must get the message given him to Washington. On October 21, 1955 we asked David more questions via telephone. Here is the text of that interview:

- "1. Q-Please describe the green light you told me you saw over the trees in the sky when you got out of your car? (This was an attempt to tip Dave's hand if he was lying for he told us before that he saw the light while the object was on the ground.)

A-I did not see the green light in the sky. The green light came from the ship when it was on the



2. Q-What color came from the object when it was in the sky?  
A-Yellow glow.
3. Q-What made you take your eyes off of the road to stop your car?  
A-I thought I saw a shooting star.
4. Q-What made you walk back into the field in the dark?  
A-I was curious, I guess.
5. Would you say your mind was clear at this time? Thinking back over the events, would you say now that this was a real experience, that your mind was clear?  
A-Yes.
6. Describe what happened in the field, the light etc.  
A-I walked near the ship, stopped, turned and ran away. As I had just started to run, I saw a green light come on. I turned and a door slid open. A man came out.
7. Q-Describe the man. Over 6 feet tall?  
A-Yes.
8. Q-Was he eight feet tall?  
A-No under that.
9. Was he thin or fat?  
A-Not fat, like an average man.
10. Q-You told us you could not move. Were you frozen?  
A-No, I could not move. I don't know if this was from the ship or from my fear.
11. Could you see the man's face?  
A-No.
12. You said before he was wearing a helmet and suit?  
A-Correct.
13. Was his voice high in pitch?  
A- It seemed rather high, yes.
14. Did he speak clear english?  
A-Yes.
15. How did he get back into the ship?  
A-I saw him turn and bend his head, I do not remember that he stepped into the craft.
16. Was the craft metallic?  
A-Yes.



2. Q-What color came from the object when it was in the sky?  
A-Yellow glow.
3. Q-What made you take your eyes off of the road to stop your car?  
A-I thought I saw a shooting star.
4. Q-What made you walk back into the field in the d  
A-I was curious, I guess.
5. Would you say your mind was clear at this time? Thinking back over the events, would you say now that this was a real experience, that your mind was clear?  
A-Yes.
6. Describe what happened in the field, the light et  
A-I walked near the ship, stopped, turned and ran away. As I had just started to run, I saw a green light come on. I turned and a door slid open. A man came out.
7. Q-Describe the man. Over 6 feet tall?  
A-Yes.
8. Q-Was he eight feet tall?  
A-No under that.
9. Was he thin or fat?  
A-Not fat, like an average man.
10. Q-You told us you could not move. Were you frozen  
A-No, I could not move. I don't know if this was from the ship or from my fear.
11. Could you see the man's face?  
A-No.
12. You said before he was wearing a helmet and suit  
A-Correct.
13. Was his voice high in pitch?  
A- It seemed rather high, yes.
14. Did he speak clear english?  
A-Yes.
15. How did he get back into the ship?  
A-I saw him turn and bend his head, I do not remember that he stepped into the craft.
16. Was the craft metallic?  
A-Yes.



17. Where was the green light?  
A-Around the rim of the ship.
18. You think some kind of exhaust was seen by you coming from below the ship?  
A-Yes.
19. Using common sense, do you think this was a dream delusion, or psychological thing?  
A-No.
20. If you were in court, on a witness stand, under questioning, do you think you would stick to your story?  
A-Yes.
21. Would you be willing to tell this to a psychiatrist if so, do you think you could tell him this as you have told us?  
A-Yes.
22. Did you have dinner that night?  
A-Yes.
23. Any chance you had some drinks, or were you possibly dizzy or sick from anything?  
A-No.
24. ~~Now~~, can you say your mind and thinking were clear as they are now or any other time?  
A-Yes."

On October 24th of 1955, a Mr. Desmond Leslie, English writer and investigator of "flying saucers", was to be in Cleveland for a lecture. Somehow the fact that a Cleveland ( ~~Amateur~~ ) had had an unusual experience got back to Mr. Leslie, promoter and ~~he~~ was asked to appear on the program. ~~He~~ informed me of this and I recommended that he not appear for all it would accomplish, I said, was a lot of publicity. I left ~~him~~ after assuring me he would not attend. This, I thought, would be a good test of ~~Desmond Leslie's~~ intentions and veracity.

I attended the latter part of the Desmond Leslie lecture sure enough he made an announcement about "a Cleveland who had had an amazing experience recently". Leslie then asked ~~him~~ to come onto the stage and tell his story. ~~He~~ did not appear of course because he wasn't there-proving to us that he was sincere in his intentions. This was a typical demonstration of ~~him~~ willingness to cooperate with us.

During the middle part of November we invited ~~him~~ to one of our counsel meetings. At this meeting we discussed the previous taped interviews and then each member cross examined ~~him~~. Then he was asked to leave and we debated for about forty minutes. Our conclusion was that ~~Desmond Leslie's~~ was factual in the telling of his amazing experience and that we should try to help him to get this message to the right authorities. Before doing



this, however, we agreed to probe for pieces of evidence that would serve as supporting material to [REDACTED]'s experience. This probe was concentrated in the area of the landing site and it was during this investigation that Case Files Number One and Two came to light. To this day, the two prominents of these files, Mr. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], do not know each other even casually.

It is conclusive to note that both incidents (case two and three) happened within a short distance of each other. [REDACTED] claims that the "man" told him: "...that they had landed there to be discovered but didn't expect anyone to find them so soon". The Shenemen incident proves that "they", whoever they are, had been around before.

[REDACTED] still feels that it is his obligation to go to Washington as directed. We wrote the President of the United States and we were referred to you at Wright Field. We intend to take this matter to Washington in the near future.



### Reactions of Our Group to the Witness

An investigator for the Hooper Homes Bureau, Inc., investigated [redacted] background. He informed us that [redacted] teachers rated him as a good student and that he demonstrated good character and had creative writing ability. At school he wrote radio scripts and was editor of the school paper. He was said to be <sup>more</sup> imaginative as any other boys of his age. There was no criticism of his morals, character or veracity.

Our group reactions to this individual were, of course, not always in agreement. We conducted a series of individual interviews with him and brought him before our assembled group so that each member could question him. He demonstrated consistent confirmation of his experience and a willingness to have his account investigated and he repeatedly agreed to stand question before a court of law.

Mrs. [redacted] explained to us that [redacted] was very upset the night [redacted] claims to have had the experience and continued to be upset for several days. We recorded his account, studied the results, conducted more interviews with him including a field trip to the landing site. It was the thought of our group that by spacing our interviews and pointing out discrepancies in his account, he would have an opportunity to back down or admit that he was lying. In fact, before our entire group we asked him to please tell us then whether or not he wanted to take back any part of the story or all of it. He stated again that he was telling the truth!

In reconstructing the incident we can say this about the area: the road is narrow and steep at the particular point where [redacted] stopped his car. A driver would find it necessary to be wide awake and alert to avoid an accident. We submit that a stimulus of unusual nature would be absolutely necessary to make a reasonable person stop his auto at this point on the highway. [redacted] walked through a very rough field some 450 feet. And such a hike should have readied his mind to clarify what he saw. This individual was experiencing fear and apprehension when he recognized what the object was and he tried to run away being apparently frozen by a force ejected from the craft or by fright or both. But in any event, a concrete experience of fear overcame him. For these and other reasons, we feel that any answers including delusions or illusions are completely out of order!

It is reasonable to conjecture that this fear of his might have distorted his ability to observe all of the detail concerning the craft, the man and the conversation. Outstanding in Dave's mind is the green light he encountered. Faulty in his memory is the detail of the occupant's suit, whether or not he saw the craft take off and exactly what took place during the second encounter. But also prominent in his mind was the message he was told to convey. "The man made me understand that I was to take the message to high authorities in Washington...that 'he' was from another world".




We are not the final judge in this matter. We bring the facts to you as we know them. Your conclusions are your own. But we feel that there is too great a risk in sitting around and doing nothing. It is now our responsibility to deliver this account and its message, in which we believe, to the high authorities of this nation. Can you help us do it?

-End of Case File Number Three-



WILLOWHAT AIRPLANE BEACON


TREE LINE



32' ESTIMATED

TRACING MADE FROM  
[REDACTED] ORIGINAL DRAWING  
HE QUOTES "


"A DOOR SLID OPEN AND SOME KIND  
OF A PLATFORM CAME OUT "




"THERE WAS A GREEN  
LIGHT FROM EDGE.  
I SAW A YELLOW LIGHT INSIDE



WEST

- A. Radius of Ship  $16\frac{3}{4}'$
- B. Distance from center of craft to ~~██████~~. Where ~~██████~~ stood (X)
- C. Radii of Green Light
- D. Direction of Moon from ~~██████~~ 



EAST

SOUTH

Scale: 1" = 25'

at 1:30-2:00 AM  
moon was certainly  
not in this position  
of full, and was  
in the north



Moon set due West  
Tuesday Morning at 2 A.M.


West

Craft firstseen




VALLEY - GULCH

Landing and  
Takeoff path




Landed Position

 first halted

420 FEET

Sun Line 4:08 A.M.


CHARDON ROAD 3 MI. West of Route 306

 SOUTH

TREES







GLOWING SAUCER WAS SPOTTED BY AVIATION  
TECHNICIAN ON LATE DECEMBER EVENING IN 1952

This drawing was one of many included in an article which appeared in the December 5, 1955 issue of Life Magazine. We asked ~~Benjamin S. Schwartz~~ to pick out the ufo which came close to describing the one he saw. He chose this one and said it was almost identical to the one he saw land on August 29, 1955.



# SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT


WRITTEN 1 NOVEMBER 1955  
ALLEN ROUS

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~  
CASE

## REFERENCE

J. S. NEWBERRY, CHIEF GEOLOGIST  
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF OHIO  
CLEVE PUBLIC LIBRARY.

The writer made a brief study of the geology of the area in and near the place where ~~XXXX~~ had his experience. The following is a brief.



AT KIRTLAND - DEEP GORGE -  
PART OF AREA CONSIDERED A  
"MOUNTAIN". DEEP GORGE EXPOSURE  
NOTED

S CHARACTER OF  
QUARTZ

ERIE SHALE  
WAVERLY GROUP → FINE GRAINY SANDSTONE

CARBONIFEROUS  
CONGLOMERATE → 400 TO 500' ABOVE LAKE  
COURSE SANDSTONE, QUARTZ  $\frac{9}{10}$   
OF MASS

1) TRANSMISSION ULTRAVIOLET R.  
2) CAN BE MADE RED HOT, PLACED  
IN COLD WATER, NO CRACKS

THIS BODY EXTENDS  
TO ~~CH~~ WARREN,  
OHIO

X IRON DEPOSITE

MAGNETIC SUSCEPTABILITY OF EARTH MATERIALS - ALL TWP.  
ALMOST 0


GRAVITATIONAL SUSCEPTIBILITY OF EARTH MATERIALS - ALL TWP.  
VALUES OF SUSCEPTIBILITY RUN FROM .0 TO .50



This case contains 2  $3\frac{1}{2} \times 3\frac{1}{2}$ "  
photos.




ABOUT NORTHWEST



LOOKING ABOUT NORTHWEST  
RE TAKEN STANDING ON ROUTE  
GROUP OF HOUSES ALONG WEST  
OF FIELD (SEE A IN DRAWING)


TRAIL NORTH  
TREE LINE



HOUSE

OPEN  
FIELD  
SOUTH ROUTE 6

EAST NORTH EAST



STANDING AT II ON ROUTE 6, PICTURE  
OF HOUSE WHERE [REDACTED] PARKED CAR  
AND STARTED TO WALK IN — DIRECTION  
FROM III



29 August 1955 Mulberry, Ohio  
[REDACTED] CASE

MULBERRY CORNER  
OHIO