

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 12 February 1956		2. LOCATION Goose Bay, Labrador		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other <u>UNIDENTIFIED</u> ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☒ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 13/0255Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☐ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☒ Air-Visual ☒ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No		6. SOURCE Military			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION one minute		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one		9. COURSE unknown	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING One object color green with red, rapidly circled a/c also radar contact, 15 minutes later, radar contact again no movement and as a/c closed on object at 8 miles distant, it faded out. Follow up report to come.				11. COMMENTS No additional info on follow-up report. UNIDENTIFIED.	

ADOC 297. UFOB. APPROX 13/0255Z TWO F-89'S WERE 40 MILES SE
OFNGOOSE BAY LABRADOR ON ROUTINE TRAINING WHEN ONE FIGHTER HAD
VISUAL AND RADAR CONTACT WITH AN OBJECT WHICH RAPIDLY ENCIRCLED
F-89D WHICH WAS INDICATING 26; KNOTS AT 20,000 FEET. 1/LT [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] PILOT STATED THE LIGHTS WERE ABOUT 3/4 MILE
AWAY. THE COLOR WAS PREDOMINATELY GREEN WITH RED AND SEEMED TO BE
FLASHING. THE OBJECT WAS OBSERVED VISUALLY ABOUT ONE (1) MINUTE.
THE OTHER FIGHTER AIRCRAFT ALSO HAD RADAR CONTACT. ABOUT 15
MINUTES LATER AN OBJECT WAS OBSERVED ON RADAR BY ACFT CONTROLLERS
LT [REDACTED] AND LT [REDACTED] 38 MILES SW

PAGE TWO RJEXFT 7A

OF GOOSE BAY. NO MOVEMENT. THE FIGHTERS WERE VECTORED UPON THE
OBJECT AND HAD RADAR CONTACT BUT FADED WHEN AIRCRAFT GOT WITHIN 8
MILES. WEATHER AT THE TIME OF SIGHTING WAS CLEAR VISIBILITY 20
MILES WITH ICE CRYSTALS. A FOLLOW UP REPORT WILL BE SUBMITTED
AS SOON AS INFO IS AVAILABLE THIS HEADQUARTERS

BT

13/0440Z FEB RJEXFT

028

190000Z FEB 56

BT

AS SOON AS INFO IS AVAILABLE THIS HEADQUARTERS

WILL WITH ICE CHARTS. A LIST OF REPORTS WILL BE SUBMITTED

WILL. ADVISE AT THE TIME OF SIGHTING AND OTHER AIRCRAFT

OBJECT AND HAD BADLY CONTACT BUT LATER WHEN AIRCRAFT GOT WITHIN 5

OF 1000 YDS. NO MOVEMENT. THE LIGHTS WERE RECLOSED FROM THE

BASE AND TEXTED IN

13/0255Z

Multi

FEB 13 05 39 '56

B.m

16
1 } 4E4
2 }
3 }
4 4 4 4 4 4
CME

RA003

WPE011A022 JYA041 JDA048EXB006FTA010

*****YY RJEDEN RJEDWP RJEPHQ

E RJEXFT 7A ✓

Y 130439Z ✓

FM COMDR 64TH AIR DIV DEF PAFB NF ✓

TO RJEDEN/COMDR ADC ENT AFB COLORADO SPRINGS COLO

RJEDWP/COMDR AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER WRIGHT PATTERSON ✓

AFB OHIO

RJEPHQ/DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE HEADQUARTERS USAF WASH D C

ZEN/COMDR NEAC PAFB 45

FEB 13 05 33 56

ACTION

RBI08

h

NEED86G YDB052 JYA059 JDC034EXA021FRA064

NN RJEPHQ RJEDEN RJEDWP

DE RJEXFR 48

M 151545Z

FM COMNEACOM PEPPERRELL AXE NF

TO RJEDEN/COMDR ADC ENT AFB COLO

RJEDWP/COMDR ATIC WPAFB OHIO

RJEPHQ/COFS USAF WASHDC

INFO ZEN/COMDR 64TH AIR DIV PEPPERRELL AFB NF

BT

NEO

N 53000. REF MSG FROM 64TH AD CITE ADOWO 297. NO RPT NO FURTHER
INFO AVAL. SIGHTING CANNOT BE CORRELATED WITH ANY KNOWN FRD ACTIVITY

BT

15/2115Z FEB RJEXFR


*3} 4E4
4-4X20
JK*

*Insufficient data -
cannot relate
data report.*

43 20 000000

INSIDE DATA

HEADQUARTERS
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: TDEED

SUBJECT: Goose Bay, Labrador, 12 Feb 56

11 Feb 65

TO: TDEW/Sgt Moody

The information in this report is too incomplete for an evaluation to be made. It is not logical for a genuine target to "fade" and disappear when the fighter gets close to it - the normal situation is for the target to get stronger the closer the fighter gets to it.

[REDACTED]
TDEED
ELECTRONICS ENGINEER



YOU - THE NUCLEUS OF SECURITY!

TDEED

Goose Bay, Labrador, 12 Feb 56

11 Feb 65

TDEW/Sgt Moody

The information in this report is too incomplete for an evaluation to be made. It is not logical for a genuine target to "fade" and disappear when the fighter gets close to it - the normal situation is for the target to get stronger the closer the fighter gets to it.

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
TDEW
ELECTRONICS ENGINEER

The information in this report is too incomplete for an evaluation to be made. It is not logical for a genuine target to "fade" and disappear when the fighter gets close to it — the normal situation is for the target to get stronger the closer the fighter gets to it.