

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE mid Sep 59		2. LOCATION Toledo, Ohio		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☒ Other <u>flaw in film</u> ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT _____		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☐ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☒ Yes ☐ No		6. SOURCE Civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION - - -		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1			
9. COURSE - - -					
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Picture of boat on lake taken. Print showed a strange mark. Forwarded to ATIC for evaluation. Obj not in sight when picture taken.				11. COMMENTS No positive analysis. Opinion is that the mark on the print was caused by a flaw in the film. Negative not sent as requested. Analysis based on print.	

28 May 1968

Gentlemen:

The Encl. Enlarged photograph was taken last year.

It was suggest by some personnel at the Air Bar here that we send it to your department.

The photo was taken in Toledo, Ohio. The Subject was the ore boats in Maumee Bay, which are in the channel leading out into Lake Erie.

This picture was taken

in the middle of September,
1959 with a plain Kodak
camera and 620 film.

The object was not visible
when the picture was
taken and we thought
it was just a flaw in the
film but it was still
recommended to send it
to you.

I would appreciate your
returning the picture to
you.

Thank you

~~Very truly yours,~~
~~John F. Schick~~

John F. Schick

AEROSPACE TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE
OHIO



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: AFCIN-4E2

SUBJECT: Request for Photoanalysis

10 June 1960

TO: AFCIN-4D4a

1. The attached photograph is forwarded for your analysis.
2. A suspense date of 24 June 1960, has been placed on this office for evaluation. Please advise if your office is unable to meet this suspense date.
3. Copy of letter accompanying photograph is also attached to aid in analysis.

for *SE Martin*
RICHARD R. SHOOP
Colonel, USAF
AFCIN-4E2

1 Atch:
Cy ltr 23 May 60 fr
Mrs w/photo

Ltr AFCIN-4E2, 10 June 1960, Request for Photoanalysis

1st Ind (AFCIN-4D4a)

14 June 1960

TO: AFCIN-4E2 (Col R. R. Shoop)

1. Request you take necessary action to acquire original negative in order that 4D4a can make as complete an evaluation as possible.

Wilber Price, Jr.
WILBER PRICE, JR.
Chief, AFCIN-4D4

1 Atch:
no change

AEROSPACE TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE
OHIO



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: AFCIN-4E2

SUBJECT: Photograph of UFO (Mrs Kay Murphy)

21 JUN 1960

TO: SAFOI-3d (Maj Tacker)

The ATIC photoanalysis branch is unable to evaluate the photographic print sent in by Mrs [REDACTED]. They have requested that the original negative be acquired so a complete analysis can be made. Please inform Mrs [REDACTED] of this, and have her send the negative to this Center.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

NICHOLAS POST
Acting Deputy
Science and Components

1 Atch:
Cy ltr 28 May 60 fr
Mrs [REDACTED]

24 June 1960

Dear Mrs. [REDACTED]

This is to acknowledge your letter of 28 May 1960 and the photographic print inclosed therewith.

The Air Force is unable to evaluate this print and needs the original negative in order to make a complete analysis. Therefore, will you please send the negative to the Aerospace Technical Intelligence Center, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio for evaluation. The Air Force will be happy to inform you of the results of this.

Sincerely,

LAWRENCE J. TACKER
Lt. Colonel, USAF
Public Information Division
Office of Information

Mrs. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Sioux Falls, South Dakota

✓ SAFOI-3d - Comeback
SAFOI-1 - Reading