[redacted]

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE	2. LOCATION	12. CONCLUSIONS
10 Dec 60	Cleveland, Ohio	☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT _____	**4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION** ☐ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☒ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar	☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☐ No	**6. SOURCE** Civilian pilot	☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 min	**8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS** one	**9. COURSE** NW-SE
		☐ Other _____ ☒ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown

10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING

A Capitol Airlines pilot was N of Cleveland on a heading of 331° when he spotted a bright object. The UFO was described as extremely bright and intermittent, like the satellite Echo I, but much brighter. The object was reported to be traveling from NW to SE and was in sight aprox 5 min.

11. COMMENTS

The witness did not provide much information, but the object of this sighting was probably a satellite. The hour of the sighting was not given but if it occurred before 2320 hours the moon was not yet up and the dark sky could account for the apparent extra brightness.

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

U F O ANALYSIS SHEET

DATE 10 DEC 1960 LOCATION CLEVELAND, OHIO

DATE TIME GROUP LOCAL ——— GMT ———

PHOTO YES ___ NO ✓ PHYSICAL SPECIMEN YES ___ NO ✓

SOURCE MILITARY ___ CIVILIAN ✓ TYPE OF OBSERVATION VISUAL

LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 MIN NUMBER OF OBJECTS ___ COURSE NW - SE

SATELLITE: IN ORBIT: VERIFY YES: NO: DECAY:

ASTRONOMICAL PHENOMENA

METEOR

STAR OR PLANET

OTHER

AIRCRAFT

BALLOON

INSUFFICIENT DATA ✓

OTHER

REFLECTION ___ INVERSION PRESENT ___ PHOTO ANALYSIS ___

SUN DOG ___ LENTICULAR CLOUD ___ PHYSICAL SPECIMEN ANALYSIS ___

BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING: A CAPITOL AIRLINES PILOT WAS NORTH OF CLEVELAND ON A HEADING OF 331° WHEN HE SPOTTED A BRIGHT OBJECT. THE UFO WAS DESCRIBED AS EXTREMELY BRIGHT AND INTERMITTENT, LIKE THE SATELLITE ECHO, BUT MUCH BRIGHTER. THE OBJECT WAS REPORTED TO BE RAUSCING FROM NW TO SE AND WAS IN SIGHT APPROXIMATELY FIVE MINUTES.

EVALUATION: CASE CATEGORY: INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE.

CONCLUSION: THE WITNESS DID NOT PROVIDE MUCH INFORMATION, BUT THE OBJECT OF THIS SIGHTING WAS PROBABLY A SATELLITE. THE HOUR OF THE SIGHTING WAS NOT GIVEN BUT IF IT OCCURRED BEFORE 2320 HOURS THE MOON WAS NOT YET UP AND THE DARK SKY COULD ACCOUNT FOR THE APPARENT EXTRA BRIGHTNESS REPORTED BY THE WITNESS.

FTD FORM TAM 62 0-15 (TD-E2)

AEROSPACE TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE
OHIO

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: AFCIN-4X2

SUBJECT: Extract from Duty Officers' Report 12 Dec 60

TO: AFCIN-4E2, Maj Friend

The following, extracted from OD Reports dated 10 and 11 Dec 60, is quoted for your information:

2215, the Military Flight Service OD called and relayed to me a reported UFO received by the Detroit Center. Since this was just being passed on and not being reported by the individual actually sighting the UFO, ATIC Form 164 was not used. The information is attached.

JOHN C. MCNEILL
Captain, USAF
AFCIN-4X2

2 Atch
1. UFO Report
dtd 10 Dec 60
2. UFO Report
dtd 11 Dec 60

UFO sighting

The Detroit Center passed a message to the Military Flight Service a UFO was seen by a Capital Airlines pilot. He was south of Cleveland on a heading of 331°. He observed the object for approx. 5 min. and it was heading from NW to SE. The object was extremely bright and intermittent. He has observed Echo-E and this object was much brighter than this. The man's name is [redacted] and his address is [redacted] Elmgrove, Wisconsin.

This is all of the information the O.D. had.

R. E. Robinson, Capt.
ATIC duty officer