

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 14 Apr 61	2. LOCATION 1834N 14257E (FAR EAST)	12. CONCLUSIONS	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 1436 GMT 14/0436Z Apr 61	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar	<input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	
5. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	6. SOURCE Military		
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 10-15 minutes	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS four	9. COURSE - - -	11. COMMENTS The essential elements such as speed of the object, manuevers, if any, type of radar, experience of the radar operator, equipment condition, weather information in the area, any attempt to close and identify the object and like information not included. Blips could have been almost anything. Case listed as insufficient data.
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Four objects size of pin head picked up on air intercept radar. Blips only, and objects were not observed visually. Two were beside each other and two others slightly below and to the side of them. Heading marker 340 degrees. The blips were small and well defined such as those caused by surface vessels.			

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

Memo (AFCIN-4E), 19 Apr 61, Request for Review

1st Ind (AFCIN-4E1a/Mr. Bryant/jc/74201)

9 May 61

TO: AFCIN-4E (Maj Friend)

1. The report dated 14 Apr 61 from Anderson AFB has insufficient information upon which to base an evaluation. For example, "Description of flight path and maneuvers of object" is indicated as "N/A", and range of the target is not given.

2. Report dated 17 Feb 61 has been evaluated and evaluation indicates that considering speed of target, a reentry body (or meteorite) is about the only possibility if the target was real. The abnormally large size of the blip is not consistent with that type of target, however. Anomalous propagation or equipment malfunction are other possibilities. Check should be made of cognizant agencies to determine if reentry body or meteorites were in area.

3. Evaluation of report from 864th ACW Sq., Yuma, Ariz., dated 28 Feb 61, indicates chaff drop is most plausible explanation. Check of Air Force activities for possible chaff exercise could be made.

R.L. James
ROY L. JAMES
Acting Chief
AFCIN-4E1

3 Atch
n/c

• LEFT OUT OF KNOB OF 1000 FEET OVER 2000

• LEFT TO 1000 FEET OVER 2000

• LEFT TO 1000 FEET OVER 2000

• NO VISIBLE CONTACT. ONLY ON 2000

• NONE

• 0400, JULY 31, ALT ALT UNK, UNK HEADING, UNK SPEED, OVER 2000

• DATE AND TIME OF SIGHTING

1. 0400 TO 0430Z 14 APRIL 51

2. DAY

3. LOCATION OF OBSERVER

4. 10-34N 140-57E AT 1400Z

5. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION ON OBSERVER

6. UNK

• CAPTAIN LEC FREEMAN, 320 ARB, ENGLAND AFB, LA. NAVICATOR

• 1000 FEET OVER 2000

7. 0400

8. 0400

9. 0400

10. 0400

11. 0400, SIGHTING TO 2000

12. NONE

13. UNK

14. UNK

15. DETAILED SIGHTING SIGHTING ON 1000 FEET OVER 2000

THIS REPORT IS FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY, THIS INFORMATION

16. UNK

17. UNK

14 APR 61 13 07z

PRIORITY

1990-03-27 23:00:00 37.6301837

2000 1000 500 250 100 50 25 10

233

17. *Leucosia* *leucosia* *leucosia* *leucosia*

MINISTER OF DEFENCE AND CROWN