1. DATE - TIME GROUP 10 July 65 21/0330Z	2. LOCATION Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION SATELLITE Description and flight indicate a Satellite observation. ECHO I & II and PEGASUS I & II not in area. Likely that object was Tyros 9, Centaur 2 or Saturn 5 or one of the brighter visible
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 6 Minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Large round star-like object, bluish white color. Humming sound but not sure it was coming from object. Slow steady flight. Straight.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Not Stated	
8. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

23 JUL 65 21 33Z

1-4 EWCUFO)

CCN-74

FM RUSSO

TO RUEPDA/CSAF

ZEN UNCLAS

E F T O

UNCLAS //SECOP 454, JUL 65.

THE FOLLOWING REPORT IS SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFR 2 -2,

DTD 2 JUL 65.

A. DESCRIPTION OF THE OBJECTS:

(1) SHAPE: ROUND.

(2) SIZE: LARGE BRIGHT STAR-SIZE OF PENNY AT ARMS LENGTH.

(3) COLOR: ILLUMINATED

(4) MOTION: ONE(1)

(5) FORMATION: N/A

(6) NUM

23 JUL 65 21 33Z

1-4 EWCUFO)

CCN-74

ZNY UUU

R 2317 Z

FM CG2 [illegible] CAKDALE AI ZA

TO RUHCALE/ADC ENT AFB COLO

RUEAHQ/CGAÏRDIV STEWART AFB NY

RUCDTOA/AD WHAFL OHIO

RUEPDA/HQ USAF AFMIN WASH DC

RUEPDA/CSAF SAFOI WASH DC

BT

UNCLAS / SECOND AFB, JUL 65.

THE FOLLOWING REPORT IS SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFR 200-2 AS

FTD 2 JUL 65.

A. DESCRIPTION OF THE OBJECTS:

(1) SHAPE: ROUND.

(2) SIZE: LARGE OBJECT ESTIMATED SIZE OF BODY AT 4 MILES

(3) COLOR: [illegible]

(4) NUMBER: ONE (1)

(5) [illegible]

(6) [illegible]

PAGE TWO CONTINUED 375 UNCLAS

(7) NOT AUDIBLE

(8) [illegible] SOUND, BUT NOT SURE IT WAS COMING FROM OBJECT.

(9) NONE

B.

(1) NOTICED WHILE ON FRONT PORCH

(2) UNK CT.

(3) BETWEEN NORTH AND WEST

(4) SLOW, STEADY, STRAIGHT PATH

(5) DOESN'T APPLY

(6) SIX (6) MINUTES

C.

(1) GROUND VISUAL

(2) NONE

(3) [illegible]


D.


(1) [illegible] 21 JUL 65. 2230 local 20 Jul

(2) NIGHT.

E.

(1) MT. WASHINGTON, PITTSBURGH, PA





NO CASE (INFORMATION ONLY) FOWLER

16 July 1965
SHERBORN, MASSACHUSETTS

13 Friend Court
Wenham, Massachusetts

SUBJECT: UFO Report - 15,16 July 1965 (Sherborn, Massachusetts)

DATE: 29 August 1965

FROM: Raymond E. Fowler
Chairman: NICAP Massachusetts Subcommittee

TO: NICAP

cc. PROJECT BLUEBOOK

The following report provides information concerning the sighting of a UFO at Sherborn, Massachusetts on 15,16 July 1965 10:30 PM and 2:00 AM EDT by [redacted] a resident of Sherborn and a liscensed airplane pilot.

This subcommittee is still in the process of evaluating over 40 UFO reports received from early in July to the date of writing. Several have been eliminated as Venus, a huge kite carrying a flare, the echo satellite and misidentification of an aircraft. Because of the volume of reports being received, detailed accounts and our evaluation will be slow coming to NICAP. The continuing "flap" is actually making us "UFO-weary" ?!?

Raymond E. Fowler
Raymond E. Fowler
NICAP Investigator

REF/ref

AFTER 5 DAYS RETURN TO
Mr. [redacted]
13 Friend Court,
Wenham, Massachusetts

UFO SIGHTING SUMMARY SHEET

UFO Report - 7/15/5 & 7/16/5

WITNESS: [redacted]
Sherborn, Massachusetts
Licensed Airplane Pilot

PLACE: [redacted] Sherborn, Mass.

TIME: 1st Sighting- 10:30 PM EDT
2nd Sighting- 2:00 AM EDT

BACKGROUND:

The report was carried in the "Herald News"(c), Fall River, Mass. dated 7/21/5. A personal interview was objected to because so many callers including the press, 3 NICAP members and a local UFO Group had already phoned her. I did interview her by phone and she filled out a NICAP report form which I have enclosed.

SIGHTING ACCOUNT # 1:

The object sighted at 10:30 PM was a self-luminous light source comparable in size to a bright star. It was observed travelling on a straight course with a speed slower than an aircraft from a 70° elevation in the west to the southern horizon where it was obscured by distant trees. The unusual features about the object were that it carried no blinking aircraft identification lights and it moved along with a distinct "bouncing" motion. It was not resolvable through binoculars.

SIGHTING ACCOUNT # 2:

The object was sighted at 2:00 AM EDT 15 - 20° above the western horizon. The witness, curious about her first sighting was awake observing the western sky. (Her bedroom has a screen door which opens onto a sun deck giving her an unobstructed view of the western sky.) She noticed a reddish "star" where it had not been earlier. She went out on the sun deck to get a better look at it. Observing the object through binoculars she noted that the object seemed to have a stationary center of yellow light, a stationary green light and a red light which rotated around the object. She positioned it on a fixed object and ascertained that it was hovering. After waiting several minutes she then saw the object begin to move slowly with a distinct "bouncing" motion on a straight course toward the north where it disappeared out of line-of-sight behind distant trees.

EVALUATION:

During both sightings the sky was clear and many stars were visible. No moon was noticed. (It had risen at 12:22 in the east). No transits of the Echo and Pegasus satellites were due at the UFO sighting times. The witness has a private pilot's license and is familiar with aircraft. The "bouncing" motion and hovering aspect are typical UFO characteristics. I felt that because of these factors, the caliber of the witness and the many other UFO sightings in this general area in July warranted a report to NICAP.

Respectfully submitted,
Raymond E. Fowler
Raymond E. Fowler
NICAP Investigator

NICAP 7-9434

NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE ON AERIAL PHENOMENA
1536 Connecticut Avenue N. W.
Washington 6, D. C.

REPORT ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT(S)

This form includes questions asked by the United States Air Force and by other Armed Forces' investigating agencies, and additional questions to which answers are needed for full evaluation by NICAP.

After all the information has been full, studied, the conclusion of our Evaluation Panel will be published by NICAP in its regularly issued reports or in another publication. Please try to answer as many questions as possible. Should you need additional room, please use another sheet of paper. Please print or typewrite. Your assistance is of great value and is genuinely appreciated. Thank you.

1. Name [redacted] Place of Employment
   Address [redacted] Occupation at home
   Education
   Telephone [redacted] Special Training LICENSED AIRPLANE PILOT
   Military Service
2. Date of Observation 7/31/65 Time 10:30 PM 2 AM ~~PM~~ EDT Time Zone
3. Locality of Observation SHERBORN - WESTERN SKY
4. How long did you see the object? Hours Minutes Seconds 2 objects - In each case several minutes.
5. Please describe weather conditions and the type of sky, i.e., bright daylight, nighttime, dusk, etc. no moon - clear night.
6. Position of the Sun or Moon in relation to the object and to you.
7. If seen at night, twilight, or dawn, were the stars or moon visible? many stars - no moon
8. Were there more than one object? 2 If so, please tell how many, and draw a sketch of what you saw, indicating direction of movement, if any.
9. Please describe the object(s) in detail. For instance, did it (they) appear solid, or only as a source of light; was it revolving, etc? Please use additional sheets of paper, if necessary. see attachment
10. Was the object(s) brighter than the background of the sky? yes -
11. If so, compare the brightness with the Sun, Moon, headlights, etc. the first would compare with a star - The 2nd larger and appeared to have a red + green lights - the red revolving around a solid yellow center.
12. Did the object(s) — (Please elaborate, if you can give details.)
    a. Appear to stand still at any time? NO
    b. Suddenly speed up and rush away at any time? NO
    c. Break up into parts or explode? NO
    d. Give off smoke? NO
    e. Leave any visible trail? NO
    f. Drop anything? NO
    g. Change brightness? NO
    h. Change shape? NO
    i. Change color? NO
13. Did the object(s) at any time pass in front of, or behind, anything? If so, please elaborate giving distance, size, etc, if possible.
14. Was there any wind? ? If so, please give direction and speed. ? I do not recall.
15. Did you observe the object(s) through an optical instrument or other aid, windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening, etc? Screening. What? then I went outside to observe more carefully.
16. Did the object(s) have any sound? NO What kind? How loud?
17. Was the object(s) seen (heard)—
    a. Only once?
    b. Several times? at the first like a star. the second described above.
    c. Over a period of
    d. Continuously

As the object —

a. Self-luminous? ✓
b. Dull finish?
c. Reflecting?
d. Transparent?

Did the object(s) rise or fall while in motion? yes - the travel was very bouncy - the path of flight in each case was direct but the object bounced in flight.

Tell the apparent size of the object(s) when compared with the following held at arm's length:

a. Pinhead
b. Pea
c. Dime
d. Nickel
e. Half dollar
f. Silver dollar
g. Orange
h. Grapefruit
i. Larger

Or, if easier, give apparent size in inches on a ruler held at arm's length.

21. How did you happen to notice the object(s)? In each case I was in bed looking at the sky through a screened door.

22. What were you and what were you doing at the time? see above.


23. How did the object(s) disappear from view? the first I travelled from the high Western sky until is disappeared behind trees in the south. the other low Western sky to north.

24. Compare the speed of the object(s) with a piston or jet aircraft at the same apparent altitude. Slower than aircraft.

25. Were there any conventional aircraft in the location at the time or immediately afterwards? If so, please elaborate. We are on the main airline from Boston to N.Y. - these were not aircraft.


26. Please estimate the distance of the object(s). could not


27. What was the elevation of the object(s) in the sky? Please mark on this hemisphere sketch:



28. Names and addresses of other witnesses, if any.

29. Please draw a map of the locality of the observation showing North; your position; the direction from which the object(s) appeared and disappeared from view; the direction of its course over the area; roads, towns, villages, railroads, and other landmarks within a mile. 10.00 am.





this object hovered for several minutes before travelling off to the north E

30. Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area? NORWOOD - 15 miles - HANSCOM - 20? miles

31. Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper. no

32. Please enclose photographs, motion pictures, news clippings, notes of radio or television programs (include time, station and date, if available) regarding this or similar observations, or any other background material. We will return the material to you. All newscasts on 7/16 - TV - WBZ 6:30 - 7/16 live interview - United Press - N.Y.

33. Were you interrogated by Air Force investigators? By any other federal, state, county, or local officials? If so, please state the name and rank or title of the agent, his office, and details as to where and when the questioning took place. I was interviewed by the press & radio - NICAP (3 callers) & N.E. UFO group
Were you asked or told not to reveal or discuss the incident? If so, were any reasons or official orders mentioned? Please elaborate carefully. NO

34. We should like permission to quote your name in connection with this report. This action will encourage other responsible citizens to report similar observations to NICAP. However, if you prefer, we will keep your name confidential. Please note your choice by checking the proper statement below. In any case, please fill in all parts of the form, for our own confidential files. Thank you for your cooperation.

You may use my name. (✓)

Please keep my name confidential. ( )

Date of filling out this report:

Signature: [redacted]

there were newspaper accounts but I did not see them

Was the object —

a. Self-luminous? ✓
b. Dull finish?
c. Reflecting?
d. Transparent?

19. Did the object(s) rise or fall while in motion? yes - the travel was very bouncy - the path of flight in each case was direct but the object bounced in flight.

20. State the apparent size of the object(s) when compared with the following held at arm's length:

a.	Pinhead	d.	Nickel	g.	Orange
b.	Pea	e.	Half dollar	h.	Grapefruit
c.	Dime	f.	Silver dollar	i.	Larger

Or, if easier, give apparent size in inches on a ruler held at arm's length.

21. How did you happen to notice the object(s)? In each case I was in bed looking at the sky through a screened door.

22. Where were you and what were you doing at the time? see above.


23. How did the object(s) disappear from view? the first travelled from the high Western sky until is disappeared behind trees in the south. the other

24. Compare the speed of the object(s) with a piston or jet aircraft at the same apparent altitude. low Western sky to north. Slower than aircraft.

25. Were there any conventional aircraft in the location at the time or immediately afterwards? If so, please elaborate. we are on the main airline from Boston to N.Y. - these were not Aircraft.


26. Please estimate the distance of the object(s). could not

27. What was the elevation of the object(s) in the sky? Please mark on this hemisphere sketch:



28. Name and addresses of other witnesses, if any.

29. Please draw a map of the locality of the observation showing North; your position; the direction from which the object(s) appeared and disappeared from view; the direction of its course over the area; roads, towns, villages, railroads, and other landmarks within a mile. 10.00 pm.



this object hovered for several minutes before travelling off to the north

30. Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area? NORWOOD - 15 miles - HANSCOM - 20? miles

31. Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper. no

32. Please enclose photographs, motion pictures, news clippings, notes of radio or television programs (include time, station and date, if possible) regarding this or similar observations, or any other background material. We will return the material to you. All newscasts on 7/16 - TV - WBZ 6:30 - 7/16 live interview - United Press - N.Y.

33. Were you interrogated by Air Force investigators? by any other federal, state, county, or local officials? If so, please state the name and rank or title of the agent, his office, and details as to where and when the questioning took place. I was interviewed by the press & Radio - NICAP (2 callers) + a N.E. UFO group
Were you asked or told not to reveal or discuss the incident? If so, were any reasons or official orders mentioned? Please elaborate carefully. NO

34. We should like permission to quote your name in connection with this report. This action will encourage other responsible citizens to report similar observations to NICAP. However, if you prefer, we will keep your name confidential. Please note your choice by checking the proper statement below. In any case, please fill in all parts of the form, for our own confidential files. Thank you for your cooperation.

You may use my name. (✓)

Please keep my name confidential. ( )

35. Time of filling out this report:

Signature [redacted]

there were newspaper accounts but I did not see them

NO CASE: Information Only
SOURCE: APRO Bulletin, Sep-Oct 65

16 Jul 65
Buenos Aires, Argentina

The Buenos Aires Sighting

A Reuters News Agency report out of Buenos Aires on the 18th of July described the object spotted and photographed in that City on the night of the 16th of July. According to the news item, cameramen of two newspapers snapped a photo of an object in the night sky and when the film was developed, a second object appeared on the photograph. The principal object showed as a short line of light, and the second looked like a point of light. In the seceond photograph the second object appeared as a twin nuclei of light, and as another stubby line of light in the third exposure. The objects showed much brighter than the stars which showed on the film. Although descriptions of the main object's color varied, most people agreed that it hovered over the city for about 25 minutes before disappearing. The three photos described above were taken by a news cameramen employed by El Mundo, a Buenos Aires Daily, and he used time exposures of 70, 80, and 100 seconds.

The newspaper "Cronica" displayed a photo on their front page, also on the 17th. Those photos showed a "somewhat hazy luminescent oval" and another photo taken from inside showed a lemon-shaped light source with a straight line of light cutting horizontally across the base of the "lemon".

The Buenos Aires object was apparently seen by hundreds, for local police, news offices, radio and television switchboards were jammed for quite some time with calls reporting the object's presence over the city.

Newspaper clips showing the object received at APRO's office show various points of light which apparently are stars, and the "squat line" of light, which could be described as resembling an oblong medicine capsule. The glowing object also fits the general description of the object seen over the Azores Weather Bureau on the 11th.

FLYING SAUCER REVIEW
Jan-Feb 66

16 Jul 65
Argentina

Buenos Aires and Rosario

Typical of the *mass* sightings were those over the Argentine cities of Buenos Aires and Rosario on the night of July 16. Telling how thousands of people saw UFOs overhead, *La Razón* of July 17 reports that there was a great hubbub. In Rosario one object was seen stationary, and a cupola was observed. There were waves of phone calls to police, air authorities and newspapers. A similar flap had begun in Buenos Aires at 6.40 p.m. and lasted for more than an hour. A reddish UFO with a white band hung over the capital for fifteen minutes before vanishing southwards. Just before 7 p.m., channel 13 of the local TV announced that "for reasons of technical difficulties" transmission was interrupted. Simultaneously a great elongated UFO with an intensely luminous part was observed overhead.

The 16th was just one of many UFO-busy nights over Buenos Aires in July.

FORCE UFO FORM

8. IF you saw the object at NIGHT, what did you notice concerning the STARS and MOON?

8.1 STARS *(Circle One)*:

a. None
b. A few
c. Many
(a.) Don't remember

8.2 MOON *(Circle One)*:

(a.) Bright moonlight
b. Dull moonlight
c. No moonlight—pitch dark
d. Don't remember

9. What were the weather conditions at the time you saw the object?

CLOUDS *(Circle One)*:

(a.) Clear sky
b. Hazy
c. Scattered clouds
d. Thick or heavy clouds

WEATHER *(Circle One)*:

(a.) Dry
b. Fog, mist, or light rain
c. Moderate or heavy rain
d. Snow
e. Don't remember

10. The object appeared: *(Circle One)*:

(a.) Solid
b. Transparent
c. Vapor
(d.) As a light
e. Don't remember

1ST AS 3 lights (TRI)

I ONLY SAW Solid "UFO" for 6 SECONDS

11. If it appeared as a light, was it brighter than the brightest stars? *(Circle One)*:

a. Brighter
b. Dimmer
c. About the same
d. Don't know

11.1 Compare brightness to some common object:

_____

12. The edges of the object were:

(Circle One): a. Fuzzy or blurred
b. Like a bright star
(c.) Sharply outlined
d. Don't remember
e. Other _____

13. Did the object:

(Circle One for each question)

a. Appear to stand still at any time?	Yes	(No)	Don't know
b. Suddenly speed up and rush away at any time?	Yes	(No)	Don't know
c. Break up into parts or explode?	Yes	(No)	Don't know
d. Give off smoke?	Yes	(No)	Don't know
e. Change brightness?	Yes	(No)	Don't know
f. Change shape?	Yes	(No)	Don't know
g. Flash or flicker?	Yes	(No)	Don't know
h. Disappear and reappear?	Yes	(No)	Don't know

No Case Info Only

OFFICIAL U.S. AIR

U.S. AIR FORCE TECHNICAL INFORMATION

This questionnaire has been prepared so that you can give the U.S. Air Force as much information as possible concerning the unidentified aerial phenomenon that you have observed. Please try to answer as many questions as you possibly can. The information that you give will be used for research purposes. Your name will *not* be used in connection with any statements, conclusions, or publications without your permission. We request this personal information so that if it is deemed necessary, we may contact you for further details.

1. When did you see the object?

Between 16 & 18 July 1965
Day Month Year

2. Time of day: Between 9:00 - 10:00
Hours Minutes

(Circle One): A.M. or (P.M.)

3. Time Zone:

(Circle One): a. Eastern
(b. Central)
c. Mountain
d. Pacific
e. Other _____

(Circle One): a. Daylight Saving
(b. Standard)

4. Where were you when you saw the object?

[redacted] Dayton Ohio
Nearest Postal Address City or Town State or County

HOUSE "WHATS NEW PUSSY CAT"

5. How long was object in sight? (Total Duration) _____ 30 to 45
Hours Minutes (Seconds)

a. Certain
b. Fairly certain
c. Not very sure
(d. Just a guess)

5.1 How was time in sight determined? going as fast as jet.

5.2 Was object in sight continuously? Yes _____ No ✓

6. What was the condition of the sky?

DAY
a. Bright
b. Cloudy

(NIGHT)
a. Bright
b. Cloudy

7. If you saw the object during DAYLIGHT, where was the SUN located as you looked at the object?

(Circle One): a. In front of you
b. In back of you
c. To your right
d. To your left
e. Overhead
f. Don't remember

FORM FTD OCT 62 164 This form supersedes FTD 164, jul 61, which is obsolete.

Official U.S. Air Force

14. Did the object disappear while you were watching it? If so, how? When 1st saw UFO it looked like jet banking with colored light on each wing tip. It went behind movie screen for just a moment.

15. Did the object move behind something at any time, particularly a cloud?

(Circle One): (Yes) No Don't know. IF you answered YES, then tell what it moved behind: _____

16. Did the object move in front of something at any time, particularly a cloud?

(Circle One): (Yes) No Don't know. IF you answered YES, then tell what in front of: our car, only up very high (about 300(?) feet up. T O


17. Tell in a few words the following things about the object:

a. Sound woosh! a swishing like strong wind

b. Color Dull brushed Aluminum

18. We wish to know the angular size. Hold a match stick at arm's length in line with a known object and note how much of the object is covered by the head of the match. If you had performed this experiment at the time of the sighting, how much of the object would have been covered by the match head? Not much — judging from passenger planes in the air it would be about the same size. (At the time I guessed 50-100 feet diameter aus 30-60 feet wide.)

19. Draw a picture that will show the shape of the object or objects. Label and include in your sketch any details of the object that you saw such as wings, protrusions, etc., and especially exhaust trails or vapor trails. Place an arrow beside the drawing to show the direction the object was moving.



UFO form continued

20. Do you think you can estimate the speed of the object?

(Circle One) (Yes) No

IF you answered YES, then what speed would you estimate? AS FAST AS A JET

21. Do you think you can estimate how far away from you the object was?

(Circle One) (Yes) No AT 1ST 1 MILE AWAY THEN

IF you answered YES, then how far away would you say it was? 300-400 FEET UP

22. Where were you located when you saw the object?

(Circle One):

a. Inside a building
b. In a car
c. Outdoors
d. In an airplane (type)
e. At sea
f. Other_____

23. Were you (Circle One)

a. In the business section of a city?
b. In the residential section of a city?
c. In open countryside?
d. Near an airfield?
e. Flying over a city?
f. Flying over open country?
g. Other AT DRIVE IN MOVIE

24. IF you were MOVING IN AN AUTOMOBILE or other vehicle at the time, then complete the following questions:

24.1 What direction were you moving? (Circle One)

a. North c. East e. South g. West
b. Northeast d. Southeast f. Southwest h. Northwest

24.2 How fast were you moving?_____miles per hour.

24.3. Did you stop at any time while you were looking at the object?

(Circle One) Yes No

25. Did you observe the object through any of the following?

a. Eyeglasses	Yes	No	e. Binoculars	Yes	No
b. Sun glasses	Yes	No	f. Telescope	Yes	No
c. Windshield	(Yes)	No	g. Theodolite	Yes	No
d. Window glass	Yes	No	h. Other_____		

26. In order that you can give as clear a picture as possible of what you saw, describe in your own words a common object or objects which, when placed up in the sky, would give the same appearance as the object which you saw.

LIKE TWO SHALLOW BOWLS OR TOP OF EACH OTHER NOW WE AT A 45° ANGLE (LIKE THIS) WITH RED LIGHT ON TOP AND NOW. IT LOOKED LIKE IT WAS MOVING AROUND VERY FAST. THE LIGHTS DID NOT BLINK, BUT WERE STRANGE LOOKING, BRIGHT BUT SOMEHOW DULL. ALMOST LIKE A FLUORESCENT LIGHT.

UFO form continued

30. Have you ever seen this, or a similar object before. If so give date or dates and location. NO

31. Was anyone else with you at the time you saw the object? *(Circle One)* (Yes) No

31.1 IF you answered YES, did they see the object too? *(Circle One)* Yes (No)

31.2 Please list their names and addresses: (my husband)

[redacted] Sue

Dayton, Ohio

32. Please give the following information about yourself:

NAME [redacted]

Last Name First Name Middle Name

[redacted] 14 Ohio

Street City Zone State

TELEPHONE NUMBER [redacted]24 SEX F

Indicate any additional information about yourself, including any special experience, which might be pertinent.

33. When and to whom did you report that you had seen the object?

16-65 July 1965

Day Month Year


Wright Patt Controll Tower

my neighbors Mr. & Mrs. [redacted] E


Ken in Snack Bar at Drive In

Official U.S. Air Force

27. In the following sketch, imagine that you are at the point shown. Place an "A" on the curved line to show how high the object was above the horizon (skyline) when you *first* saw it. Place a "B" on the same curved line to show how high the object was above the horizon (skyline) when you *last* saw it. Place an "A" on the compass when you *first* saw it. Place a "B" on the compass when you *last* saw the object.



28. Draw a picture that will show the motion that the object or objects made. Place an "A" at the beginning of the path, a "B" at the end of the path, and show any changes in direction during the course.



29. IF there was MORE THAN ONE object, then how many were there?_____
Draw a picture of how they were arranged, and put an arrow to show the direction that they were traveling.

Official U.S. Air Force

34. Date you completed this questionnaire: 7 (Day) FEB (Month) 1967 (Year)

35. Information which you feel pertinent and which is not adequately covered in the specific points of the questionnaire or a narrative explanation of your sighting.

not until UFO was between Cut Car and Snack bar did I actually see what it was. I had to look up under windshield to watch it. Unless a person was paying attention to the lights they wouldn't have seen the shape, size, etc. My husband was there and said "Look! It's a UFO!" And he said, "Sure it is." I said, "No really, Look!" By that time it had gone behind the trees. I was so excited I didn't know what to do. So, I sat there for about 5 min.'s trying to figure it out. Then I ran to the Snack Bar and asked men in projection room if they had

UFO form continued

saw anything fly by? They said like what and laughed. I then they said they did hear something that could have been a plane but thats all. Then I called the Control tower at Wright Patterson and he laughed it off too. That is one reason I've waited till now to report it.

Although I didn't write down all the particulars at the time I did draw a picture of the UFO that same night.

NO CASE: Information Only
SOURCE: APRO Bulletin, Sep-Oct 65

17 Jul 65
Colonia, Uruguay

UAO On Argentine Border River

At 10 a. m. on the 17th of July, within hours of the spotting of the object over Buenos Aires, a group of six people who were walking on a beach near Colonia, Uruguay, saw a cigar-shaped object over the Rio Plata. The Rio Plata is a 28-mile wide river that separates Argentina and Uruguay.

One of the six observers was Jose Alvarez Larrauma, 17, of Colonia. He and his companions reported the object to police and said it was cigar-shaped, reddish in color and about 10 meters (32 feet) above the river and not far from the beach. Larrauma said it moved upward when he ran toward it and left a trail of white vapor similar to a jet trail. The other five people, two of whom were adults, confirmed Larrauma's report and description of the object.

No Case, Information Only
Source: Saucer News, Vol. 12, No. 4
Dec 65

Colonia, Uraguay
17 Jul 65

SAUCER LANDING IN URAGUAY: On July 17th, a group of six teenagers in Colonia, Uraguay, saw a flying saucer land for two minutes in the sand near the shore of the Rio de la Plata. Fearing they would not be believed, they called some adults, who arrived in time to see the UFO, which was by that time hovering about thirty feet above the river, where it remained for several minutes before taking off toward the horizon at an altitude of 500 yards. All this happened in broad daylight, at about ten o'clock in the morning. The UFO was described as having moving fins of some sort, and it also had four leg-like appendages, which left marks in the sand in the form of the letter X. These marks were seen by many people and were photographed by a special correspondent of a Buenos Aires newspaper.

The light from the object was so bright as to be blinding, said the youngsters, and it gave off a cloud of white smoke like a jet aircraft. They attempted to approach it and touch it, while it was on the ground, but were prevented by the terrible light. Pedro Enrique Carro, one of the adult witnesses, confirmed that the UFO was hovering very near the surface of the river when he saw it, but was at too great a distance for him to be able to estimate its size. Senor Enrique Carro was flown to Buenos Aires to appear on television, where he told his story simply and with apparent sincerity.

SOURCE: DAYTON DAILY NEWS, 18 JUL 65

17 July 1965

Unidentified Object Seen Over Uruguay, Argentina

BUENOS AIRES, July 17— (UPI) — An unidentified flying object was spotted today over the Rio Plata, the 28-mile wide river that divides Argentina and Uruguay.

Six persons walking on a deserted beach near Colonia, Uruguay, spotted the object.

SEVERAL unidentified flying objects had been sported over Buenos Aires, the Argentine capital across the river from Colonia, 15 hours earlier.

One man said the object was cigar-shaped and reddish in color.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

REPLY TO ATTN OF: AFNICBB/Capt. Williams/em/53646 28 July 1965

SUBJECT: Request for Information - Case No: AR-37-5

TO: FTD (Attn: UFO Project Officer)
Wright-Patterson AFB
Ohio 45433

The attached request is forwarded for your review and/or action as required. Dr. Hynek stated he was an accredited advisor, on this subject, to the Air Force and was extremely interested in securing the information. If desired, this office will gladly contact the Argentine Attache, Washington, to request the information from Argentina. Please provide information copy of action taken by your office to permit AFNICBB to close its correspondence file on this subject.

FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

ROGER L. WILLIAMS
Captain, USAF
Foreign Liaison Division
Assistant Chief of Staff,
Intelligence

1 Atch
Ltr, SAF, 23 Jul 65, orig (U)

Underwrite Your Country's Might – Buy U.S. Savings Bonds

AR 87-5

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

JUL 23 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR AFNIC

ATTENTION: Lt Col C. A. Wiggers

SUBJECT: UFO Photographs, Argentina

Reference is made to telecon between you and Dr. Hynek on 22 July 1965. Information is as follows: Published in the 18 July Boston Sunday Globe were front page pictures of two UFOs allegedly photographed by newspapermen in Buenos Aires. Siting reported to have been seen by some hundred people present when pictures were taken a few days earlier. Report stated that pictures were widely distributed in Argentine press. Following information is needed:

(1) Information on certain circumstances of the pictures, i.e., what were the lighting conditions; was more than one picture taken; what was the elevation in the sky of the objects.

(2) Is it possible to obtain either the originals or good copies of the pictures.

(3) What kind of camera was used in making pictures.

Information may be sent to Dr. J. Allen Hynek, Department of Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois.

JOHN P. SPAULDING
Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

PHOTOS. ARTICLE IN PAPERS ARGENTINA.

TDEW

AUG 4 1965

UFO Photographs, Argentina

USAF (AFNICBB)
Wash D C 20330

1. Reference your letter, AFNICBB, 28 Jul 65, subject, Request for Information - Case No: AR-37-5. Dr J Allen Hynek is at present the Air Force consultant to Project Blue Book and therefore is considered an accredited advisor on the subject of unidentified flying objects. Dr Hynek's contract is with McGraw-Hill, subcontract number 130-5000.

2. Any assistance which you might be able to give Dr Hynek with reference to the photographs that he has asked for will be appreciated. Any information gleaned from a study of the photos will be used in an official capacity only.

FOR THE COMMANDER

ERIC T de JONCKHEERE
Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology
and Subsystems

cc: Hq USAF (SAFOICC)

ORIGINATOR: TDEW/UFO Maj Quintanilla, Jr date 4 Aug 65

AUG - 9 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR AFNIC
ATTENTION: Captain Williams

SUBJECT: Case # AR 37-5, UFO Photographs, Argentine

Reference is made to telecon this date between Mrs. Hunt of this office and Lt Colonel Wiggers.

Doctor J. Allen Hynek who requested the above photographs is a scientific consultant to the Air Force on Project Blue Book.

It is requested that the photographs be forwarded to this office (Attention: Mrs [redacted]) upon receipt. We will in turn forward this to Doctor Hynek.

JOHN P. SPAULDING
Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

COORDINATED By (Office Symbol, Name, Grade, Date)			
SAF-OIC	SAF-OIC		

Coord cy - SAFOI-2
Comebk cy - SAFOI-2
Reader cy - SAFOI-1
Activity cy - SAFOI-6
Stayback

Photograph missing

23 Jan 75

AFNICBB/Capt. Williams/em/53646 28 July 1965

Request for Information - Case No: AR-37-5

FTD (Attn: UFO Project Officer)
Wright-Patterson AFB
Ohio 45433

The attached request is forwarded for your review and/or action as required. Dr. Hynek stated he was an accredited advisor, on this subject, to the Air Force and was extremely interested in securing the information. If desired, this office will gladly contact the Argentine Attache, Washington, to request the information from Argentina. Please provide information copy of action taken by your office to permit AFNICBB to close its correspondence file on this subject.

FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

1 Atch
Ltr, SAF, 23 Jul 65, orig (U)

ROGER L. WILLIAMS
Captain USAF
Foreign Technology Division
Assistant Chief of Staff,
Intelligence

Mrs. Hunt/man/SAFOICC/72842/ 23 Jul 65

23 July 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR AFSIC

ATTENTION: Lt Col C. A. Higgers

SUBJECT: UFO Photographs, Argentina

Reference is made to talecon between you and Dr. Hynek on 22 July 1965. Information is as follows: Published in the 18 July Boston Sunday Globe were front page pictures of two UFOs allegedly photographed by newspaperman in Buenos Aires. Siting reported to have been seen by some hundred people present when pictures were taken a few days earlier. Report stated that pictures were widely distributed in Argentine press. Following information is needed:

(1) Information on certain circumstances of the pictures, i.e., what were the lighting conditions; was more than one picture taken; what was the elevation in the sky of the objects.


(2) Is it possible to obtain either the originals or good copies of the pictures.

(3) What kind of camera was used in making pictures.

Information may be sent to Dr. J. Allen Hynek, Department of Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois.

[redacted], USAF
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

STRANGE OBJECTS IN SKY



TWO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS — white discs — photographed in the night sky over Buenos Aires on Saturday. The objects remained stationary for about 10 minutes and then flew away at great speed. The photograph was taken with an exposure of one minute.

7-19-65

'FLYING SAUCERS' SEEN OVER CITY

BUENOS AIRES, Sun. — Another unidentified flying object was spotted yesterday over the River Plate, the 28-mile wide river between Argentina and Uruguay.

Several similar objects were sighted over Buenos Aires, the Argentine capital on Friday and yesterday.

Yesterday's flying saucer was spotted by six people walking along a deserted beach near Colonia, Uruguay, across the river from Buenos Aires.

One of them, a 17-year-old youth told police that the object he saw was cigar-shaped, reddish in color and about 3,afeet above the river and not far out from the beach.

It moved upward when he started running towards it and left behind a trail of white vapor similar to that of a jet engine.

The other five people in the party, two of whom were adults, confirmed his story.

"Very bright"

Several people reported spotting unidentified flying objects over Buenos Aires shortly after sunset on Friday.

They described the objects, some of which were photographed, as cigar shaped and emitting colors ranging from reddish greens to blues.

All said the intensity of the light emitted by the objects was "very bright."

"What I saw was an enormous parabola, three or four times brighter than the brightest star," said one observer.

The objects seemed to remain stationary for 10 to 15 minutes before moving away to disappear towards Uruguay.

During the time the flying saucers were over Buenos Aires, broadcasting by one of the city's television channels was interrupted.

Air Force planes found nothing.

Reports from Canberra and Perth last Thursday are among a spate of unidentified flying object stories this month.

Air traffic control officers and the pilot of a TAA aircraft at Canberra saw a metallic object glinting in the sky 10 miles away.

Mystery Flying Objects Reported

7-18-65

BUENOS AIRES (UPI) — An unidentified flying object was sighted Saturday over the Rio Plata, the 28-mile-wide river that divides Argentina and Uruguay.

Six persons walking on a deserted beach near Colonia, Uruguay, saw the object. The sighting from Uruguay followed by 18 hours sightings of several unidentified flying objects over Buenos Aires, the Argentine capital situated across the river from Colonia.

Scattered reports of flying objects were reported elsewhere this month. On July 10, a peasant couple in a village near Oporto, the second largest city in Portugal, reported seeing a pinkish unidentified object at about 3,000 feet in the sky. They said they saw the object at the same time some static broke out on their radio.

The day before, members of the staff at the Santa Maria airport in the Azores, said that for 45 minutes they observed an unidentified object at an altitude of 33,000 feet. The deputy airport manager was among those who reported seeing it.

Airport authorities said electro magnetic clocks stopped for 10 minutes while the cylindrical white object was overhead. A plane was sent up to observe the object, but it reported no success.

The sightings here Friday and Saturday brought fresh interest in "Platos Voladores" the Spanish term for "flying saucers" that have been reported for the past month in various parts of the southern tip of South America.

One of the six who spotted the flying object from Uruguay, Jose Luis Alvarez Larrauma, 17, of Colonia, told Uruguayan police that the object he saw was cigar-shaped, reddish in color and about 10 meters (32 feet) above the river and not far out from the beach. He said it moved upward when he started running toward it and left behind a trail of white vapor similar to that of a jet engine. The other five persons in the party, two of whom were adults, confirmed his story.

Several persons reported spotting unidentified flying objects over Buenos Aires shortly after sunset Friday. They described the objects, some of which were photographed, as cigar-shaped and emitting colors ranging from reddish greens to blues. All said the intensity of the light the objects emitted as very bright.

CHILEAN REPORT, TOO 8-6-65

Argentines See Queer Flying Objects in Sky

BY FRANCIS B. KENT
Times Staff Writer

BUENOS AIRES — The Argentines, who are not renowned as heavy drinkers, are seeing things — queer things that turn up suddenly in the sky, then as suddenly disappear.

Some of the sightings have been explained quickly and logically. Others seem to defy explanation.

The rash of reports on what the U.S. Air Force classifies as unidentified flying objects began last May and spread rapidly over the country.

One of the first reports came from Mendoza, 600 miles west of here in the Andean foothills. Four hunters said they had seen a strange object over the area. A police patrol sent to investigate reported seeing a large metallic saucer shaped object. The officers said they could not get close enough because of the terrain to determine its size or additional details.

New Report From Chile

Skeptics promptly pointed out that the Argentine State Oil Corp. was prospecting near Mendoza and that what the police saw might well have been drilling gear.

The incident was accorded little space in Buenos Aires newspaper. But scarcely a month later, they devoted column after column to a new report. This came from Santiago, Chile, where the commander of Chile's base in the Antarctic was quoted as saying he had seen "something real that moved at frightening speed zigzagging and giving off a blue green light."

The officer, Air Force Comdr. Mario John Barrera was further quoted as saying that it was "something solid which caused interference in the electronic equipment" at Argentina's naval base in the South Orkney Islands.

The report was carried in the Santiago newspaper El Mercurio and was confirmed by officials at the Argentine base. Jahn said personnel at a nearby British base told him they also had seen the object.

This week, a spokesman at the La Plata Observatory here announced that what had caused all the fuss was no more than an artifical satellite called Echo 2, which had been launched into orbit by the United States on Jan. 25, 1064.

Officials Disagree

Uruguayan officials disagreed. At a research station set up near Montevideo to investigate reports of unidentified flying objects, a spokesman said Echo 2 was nowhere in the area at the time of the sighting.

Whatever the case, the incident touched off a wave of similar reports, some of which were immediately discounted. One sighting at a small town deep in the interior turned out to be the setting sun's reflection on a water reservoir.

Others remain unexplained and scientists have opened a running debate on the subject.

UFO Spied By Foothills Woman and S

8-10-65

By BOB DRISCOLL
Staff Writer

An unidentified flying ject was reportedly hovering just above ho north of Markridge road tween Lauderdale and D more avenue in La Cres ta at dusk Sunday.

Mrs. William B. DeLo 3712 El Caminito said sighted a cigar-shaped o speeding east below Pine Lodge. "Then it slowed hovered for about half a ute," she said.

"My son and I rushe the hill," Mrs. DeLong co ued, "but it sped away hovered again further We started after it, but it denly disappeared.

"I've seen one or two fore," she added, "but th the first time I was enough to really see wh looked like. It was sh like a cigar and was bet four and five feet long.

"It made a strange whi sound," stated Mrs. DeL "I've never heard anyt like it before."

The craft, which Mrs. Long said was "right ove tops of houses and trees," not reported by anyone — although a great n Midwesterners have alle seen UFOs during the two weeks. Highway p men in Oklahoma are ar the witnesses.

The Air Force admits ing tracked unidentified jects on their radar scr but the official USAF ment is that they are fa from space — not flying.

THEORY

Mrs. DeLong, howe said, "It had the appearn of a metallic substance was very bright. The fi and back were red. middle was silver. It twinkling lights."

She said it had "fins" stead of wings.

Rather than coming space, Mrs. DeLong th "they're from a subterra world underground — pr bly 800 miles below the of the earth." She made r ence to a book entitled, Hollow Earth", which is tainly food for thought.

Fireball Flares Over Michigan

9-6-65

MARQUETTE, Mich., Sept. 6 (UPI)—A "pretty large fireball with a tail" — apparently a meteor — was sighted by scores of persons across the northern two-thirds of Michigan Sunday night, state po[lice] reported.

Midsummer UFO 8-11-65

Nicob E. Halaby, ex-FAA chief, attributes the recent UFO reports in the Midwest to a form of midsummer madness. Some very impressive reports from Antarctica, Argentina and Australia preceded our flap by a couple of weeks—all in the southern hemisphere. How would he explain them? Midwinter madness?

FAA OVERALL, Studio City

STRANGE OBJECTS IN SKY



TWO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS — white discs — photographed in the night sky over Buenos Aires on Saturday. The objects remained stationary for about 10 minutes and then flew away at great speed. The photograph was taken with an exposure of one minute.

7-19-65

'FLYING SAUCERS' SEEN OVER CITY

BUENOS AIRES, Sun. — Another unidentified flying object was spotted yesterday over the River Plate, the 28-mile wide river between Argentina and Uruguay.

Several similar objects were sighted over Buenos Aires, the Argentine capital on Friday and yesterday.

Yesterday's flying saucer was spotted by six people walking along a deserted beach near Colonia, Uruguay, across the river from Buenos Aires.

One of them, a 17-year-old youth told police that the object he saw was cigar-shaped, reddish in color and about 33feet above the river and not far out from the beach.

It moved upward when he started running towards it and left behind a trail of white vapor similar to that of a jet engine.

The other five people in the party, two of whom were adults, confirmed his story.

"Very bright"

Several people reported spotting unidentified flying objects over Buenos Aires shortly after sunset on Friday.

They described the objects, some of which were photographed, as cigar shaped and emitting colors ranging from reddish greens to blues.

All said the intensity of the light emitted by the objects was "very bright."

"What I saw was an enormous parabola, three or four times brighter than the brightest star," said one observer.

The objects seemed to remain stationary for 10 to 15 minutes before moving away to disappear towards Uruguay.

During the time the flying saucers were over Buenos Aires, broadcasting by one of the city's television channels was interrupted.

Air Force planes found nothing.

Reports from Canberra and Perth last Thursday are among a spate of unidentified flying object stories this month.

Air traffic control officers and the pilot of a TAA aircraft at Canberra saw a metallic object glinting in the sky 10 miles away.

Fireball Flares Over Michigan

9-6-65

MARQUETTE, Mich., Sept. 6 (UPI)—A "pretty large fireball with a tail" — apparently a meteor — was sighted by scores of persons across the northern two-thirds of Michigan Sunday night, state police reported.

Mystery Flying Objects Reported

7-18-65

BUENOS AIRES (UPI) — An unidentified flying object was sighted Saturday over the Rio Plata, the 28-mile-wide river that divides Argentina and Uruguay.

Six persons walking on a deserted beach near Colonia, Uruguay, saw the object. The sighting from Uruguay followed by 15 hours sightings of several unidentified flying objects over Buenos Aires, the Argentine capital situated across the river from Colonia.

Scattered reports of flying objects were reported elsewhere this month. On July 10, a peasant couple in a village near Oporto, the second largest city in Portugal, reported seeing a pinkish unidentified object at about 3,000 feet in the sky. They said they saw the object at the same time some static broke out on their radio.

The day before, members of the staff at the Santa Maria airport in the Azores, said that for 45 minutes they observed an unidentified object at an altitude of 33,000 feet. The deputy airport manager was among those who reported seeing it.

Airport authorities said electro magnetic clocks stopped for 10 minutes while the cylindrical white object was overhead. A plane was sent up to observe the object, but it reported no success.

The sightings here Friday and Saturday brought fresh interest in "Platos Voladores" the Spanish term for "flying saucers" that have been reported for the past month in various parts of the southern tip of South America.

One of the six who spotted the flying object from Uruguay, Jose Luis Alvarez Larrauma, 17, of Colonia, told Uruguayan police that the object he saw was cigar-shaped, reddish in color and about 10 meters (32 feet) above the river and not far out from the beach. He said it moved upward when he started running toward it and left behind a trail of white vapor similar to that of a jet engine. The other five persons in the party, two of whom were adults, confirmed his story.

Several persons reported spotting unidentified flying objects over Buenos Aires shortly after sunset Friday. They described the objects, some of which were photographed, as cigar-shaped and emitting colors ranging from reddish greens to blues. All said the intensity of the light the objects emitted as very bright.

CHILEAN REPORT, TOO 8-6-65

Argentines See Queer Flying Objects in Sky

BY FRANCIS B. KENT
Times Staff Writer

BUENOS AIRES — The Argentines, who are not renowned as heavy drinkers, are seeing things — queer things that turn up suddenly in the sky, then as suddenly disappear.

Some of the sightings have been explained quickly and logically. Others seem to defy explanation.

The rash of reports on what the U.S. Air Force classifies as unidentified flying objects began last May and spread rapidly over the country.

One of the first reports came from Mendoza, 600 miles west of here in the Andean foothills. Four hunters said they had seen a strange object over the area. A police patrol sent to investigate reported seeing a large metallic saucer shaped object. The officers said they could not get close enough because of the terrain to determine its size or additional details.

New Report From Chile

Skeptics promptly pointed out that the Argentine State Oil Corp. was prospecting near Mendoza and that what the police saw might well have been drilling gear.

The incident was accorded little space in Buenos Aires newspaper. But scarcely a month later, they devoted column after column to a new report. This came from Santiago, Chile, where the commander of Chile's base in the Antarctic was quoted as saying he had seen "something teal that moved at frightening speed zigzagging and giving off a blue green light."

The officer, Air Force Comdr. Mario John Barrera was further quoted as saying that it was "something solid which caused interference in the electronic equipment" at Argentina's naval base in the South Orkney Islands.

The report was carried in the Santiago newspaper El Mercurio and was confirmed by officials at the Argentine base. Jahn said personnel at a nearby British base told him they also had seen the object.

This week, a spokesman at the La Plata Observatory here announced that what had caused all the fuss was no more than an artifical satellite called Echo 2, which had been launched into orbit by the United States on Jan. 25, 1964.

Officials Disagree

Uruguayan officials disagreed. At a research station set up near Montevideo to investigate reports of unidentified flying objects, a spokesman said Echo 2 was nowhere in the area at the time of the sighting.

Whatever the case, the incident touched off a wave of similar reports, some of which were immediately discounted. One sighting at a small town deep in the interior turned out to be the setting sun's reflection on a water reservoir.

Others remain unexplained and scientists have opened a running debate on the subject.

Midsummer UFO

8-11-65

Nieeb E. Halaby, ex-FAA chief, attributes the recent UFO reports in the Midwest to a form of midsummer madness. Some very impressive reports from Antarctica, Argentina and Australia preceded our flap by a couple of weeks—all in the southern hemisphere. How would he explain them? Midwinter madness?

ZAN OVERALL, Studio City.

By Foothills Woman and S

8-10-65

By BOB DRISCOLL
Staff Writer

An unidentified flyin[g] ject was reportedly hovering just above h[e] north of Markridge roa[d] tween Lauderdale and I[n] more avenue in La Cre[s]ta at dusk Sunday.

Mrs. William B. DeLo[ng] 3712 El Caminito said sighted a cigar-shaped [o]speeding east below Pine Lodge. "Then it slowe[d] hovered for about half a [m]ute," she said.

"My son and I rushe[d] the hill," Mrs. DeLong c[on]ued, "but it sped away hovered again further We started after it, but it denly disappeared.

"I've seen one or tw[o] fore," she added, "but t[his] the first time I was enough to really see w[hat] looked like. It was s[hap]like a cigar and was bet[ween] four and five feet long.

"It made a strange whi[stling] sound," stated Mrs. De[Long] "I've never heard any like it before."

The craft, which Mrs. Long said was "right ove[r] tops of houses and trees," not reported by anyone — although a great [m]Midwesterners have alle[gedly] seen UFOs during the two weeks. Highway p[a]men in Oklahoma are a[mong] the witnesses.

The Air Force admits ing tracked unidentifie[d] jects on their radar scr[eens] but the official USAF ment is that they are f[rom] from space — not flying.

THEORY

Mrs. DeLong, how[ever] said, "It had the appear[ance] of a metallic substance was very bright. The f[ront] and back were red. middle was silver. It twinkling lights."

She said it had "fins stead of wings."

Rather than coming space, Mrs. DeLong th[inks] "they're from a subterra world underground — p[ossi]bly 800 miles below the of the earth." She made r[efer]ence to a book entitled, Hollow Earth", which is tainly food for thought.

ARGENTINE NAVY DISCLOSES IMPORTANT E-M CASE

An official Argentine Navy UFO report, labeled one of the most important Argentine UFO cases, has been released to NICAP in accordance with an agreement to exchange UFO information.

This combined visual and E-M (electromagnetic) interference incident occurred late in 1963, involving the Navy transport A.R.A. "Punta Medanos."

During the night of Nov. 12, 1963, says the official report, "A large airship [never identified] was sighted from the stern of the vessel. The huge UFO was round-shaped, and it was moving at great speed. It displayed no lights and made no sound.

As the unknown machine appeared, the needles of the ship's magnetic compasses "suddenly and simultaneously" swung off course, pointing toward the UFO. The power which caused this E-M interference is indicated by the distance involved. At the time, the Navy report states, the UFO was 2,000 meters (well over a mile) away from the ship.

After the UFO was gone, and the compasses returned to normal, the transport commander radioed the Commander in Chief of the Argentine Fleet. The Fleet Commander was so concerned he ordered a full investigation by the Hydrographic Service.

Instrument experts found no electric cause for the E-M effect. No magnets had been near the compasses, which were separately mounted on different decks. The Geologic Division determined that the sighting area was magnetically quiet, and also ruled out submarines and military and civilian aircraft, leaving the UFO as definitely the cause of the E-M interference.

The official report was sent to NICAP by Lt. Cdr. O.R. Pagani, whom the Secretary of the Argentine Navy appointed to investigate UFO sightings. Pagani said that the Argentine Naval Hydrographic Service will investigate all future E-M cases, and he will send detailed reports to NICAP.

Lt. Cdr. Pagani also forwarded a summary of 1964 UFO cases registered with the Argentine Government, adding that fifteen 1965 reports already are on record with the Navy. NICAP will try to report the best cases as soon as space permits.

ANOTHER FLYING SAUCER!

7-18-65

THE flying saucer craze gripped Argentina yesterday as sightings were reported from widely separated parts of the country, says an A.A.P.-Reuter message from Buenos Aires.

This followed sightings of white objects in the last weeks in places as widely separated as Portugal, Antarctica, Ecuador and Canberra.

A leading astronomer who examined pictures of a strange flying object taken by a professional photographer over Argentina said it was neither a known heavenly body nor a man-made satellite.

Newspapers published pictures taken by a Press photographer in Bahia Blanca, about 275 miles south of Buenos Aires, of a bright object sighted on Wednesday.

Senor Enrique Ferraz, director of the Bahia Blanca Observatory, said the object was not a known planet, star, satellite or aircraft.

A blinding light

The fact that such objects are usually sighted near the north and south poles may be linked to the Van Allen radiation belt that girds the earth.

Objects from space might approach the earth through the polar areas with a minimum of risk, he said.

The photographed object was sighted by several hundred people, who said it gave off a blinding light.

The commander of the Chilean Antarctic base took colour photographs of a white object and said: "No apparatus constructed by man to date is anything like this."

In the Azores, weather bureau electro-magnetic clocks stopped for 45 minutes while a "cylindrical white object" was overhead in the sky at 30,000 ft.

This week "The Sun-Herald" sent a reporter to Canberra to talk with men who saw "the thing" there.

His story is below:

I TALK WITH MEN WHO SAW 'THAT THING'

'THAT'S WHERE IT APPEARED'

From GRAHAM GAMBIE

CANBERRA, Saturday. — The arrival of "the thing" this week has plunged Canberra into a great flying-saucer mystery.


Everyone I spoke to here said they were certain that flying saucers don't exist, but they would sleep a little more easily at night if someone could prove what "the thing" was.



Mr Frodsham who was also in control tower and saw the "saucer."



Paul Todd of the Met. Office . . . "spinning steel disc," he said.



Mr Lindsay, in the control tower, points to where "the thing" appeared.

The brilliant ball of light that hung low on the horizon outside the city has everyone guessing — from housewives to the scientific staff of Mt. Stromlo Observatory.

Meteorological balloons, planets, reflections from a lake, sun shining on a small plane are only some of the theories that have been put to the test and blown out.

Science fiction dreams or not, the Canberra light is only one of a dozen "sightings" over the past few weeks in all parts of the world.

In some places electro-magnetic clocks have stopped and other instruments have gone "haywire" when the mysterious objects have been seen.

Call from U.S.

What makes Canberra's "flying saucer" so unusual is that the seven men who saw it are all trained experts—men who watch the sky for a living.

When they announced that they had no idea what the hovering light could be, Canberra Airport's switchboard was jammed by curious callers and a special man had to be assigned to handle the inquiries.

"What was 'the thing'?" caller after caller wanted to know.

An American newspaper in Memphis, Tennessee, spent 12 minutes on the phone trying to fathom the mystery.

Air control officer Mr T. Lindsay told me he saw the light first when he was looking for a small plane north of Canberra Airport at about 10.50 a.m. on Thursday.

'Smaller than the moon'

As he pointed out of the control tower window, Mr Lindsay said: "I've been in this job for two and a half years and I've never seen anything like it before.

"My first impression was of a large yellow luminous balloon rather like the moon but much smaller.

"It wasn't Venus as has been suggested—I'm sure of that from the position. Mind you, I don't necessarily think it was a flying saucer."

He snapped his fingers dramatically and said: "After all that time—nearly 30 minutes—it was gone just like that.

"It was like something rolling over with one side dark and one side light and then vanishing.

Another controller, Mr Tony Frodsham, picked up a pair of powerful binoculars and said: "With these we can spot a jet seven or eight miles up, but I couldn't pick out the shape of the light with them.

"In colour it looked like a metallic object glinting in the sun.

"But if it had been an aircraft flying away or towards us we could have picked out something like the undercarriage with our glasses.

"And no aeroplane would have stayed at that low altitude so long.

"The puzzling thing about the whole business is that bright light being stationary for so long."

In the control tower the officers have been joking about the "saucer" for two days.

"There it goes again" one called out to Tom Lindsay. "No, no — don't say it," he replied.

"I wish I had never seen it now."

Mr Frodsham said: "I was frightened last night that a little green man would come knocking on my window and accuse me of turning him in to the newspapers."

The Officer in Charge of Civil Aviation in Canberra, Mr A. B. Lindeman, said: "I wish Mt. Stromlo would tell us if was a planet. I don't like something in the back of my mind I can't understand.

"I carry a colour camera with me normally but I left it at home that day — it would have been wonderful to have a photograph of the light.

"To me it looked like a circular light without any beam.

"It wasn't a pinpoint — you didn't need binoculars to see it.

"Someone said to me, 'What's that?' and I said, 'Blessed if I know'. I couldn't even identify it with the glasses.

"It disappeared just like that — zonk."

"Steel Disc"

Mr Lindeman said the air control officers were looking at the sky every day and could judge distance and size of an object if they knew one of the factors. "It's a bit silly to talk about sizes in this case," he said.

"But whatever it was it was not a regular phenomenon. A bright planet is the only possibility."

At the R.A.A.F. base near the airport, a senior officer said: "You can't rule out the possibility of a flying saucer, but for God's sake don't use my name. I'd be a laughing stock."

Mr Paul Todd of the Meteorological Bureau, which is based inside the control tower, said: "To me the light looked like a steel disc revolving so that it caught the sunlight.

"Then when it lost the sunlight it would disappear completely.

"The only queer thing about it to me was that we were all looking into the sun as well."

Dr B. E. Westerlund of Mt. Stromlo Observatory said that if the light was coming from the north-east it would almost certainly be the planet Venus.

"We average about two 'mysterious light' calls a year which turn out to be Venus," he said at his home.

"But if the observers say it was due north and it was so bright, then Venus is doubtful."

The Star (Hong Kong) July 11, 1965

MARTIANS OR MIRAGES?

BUENOS AIRES — A police corporal was reported yesterday as saying he and his whole family saw a flat, blue-coloured object hovering over the north-eastern Argentine province of Chaco.

It reportedly hung in the sky for several minutes on Friday night before shooting off southwestward with a trail of flames.

In Montevideo, a local news agency reported some 100 people seeing a luminous flying object changing colour above a beach along the River Plate.

One eye-witness was quoted as saying it hovered for several minutes at midnight, revolving on its own axis before flying off at high speed.

The crew of an Ecuador air force plane say they are convinced they sighted a flying saucer last Thursday. — Reuter.

ANOTHER FLYING SAUCER?

7-18-65

...F. flying saucer craze gripped Argentina yesterday as sightings were reported from widely separated parts of the ...ntry, says an A.A.P.-Reuter message ...n Buenos Aires.

...This followed sightings of white objects in the last ... in places as widely separated as Portugal, An..., Ecuador and Canberra.

...A leading astronomer who examined pictures of a ...e flying object taken by a professional photo...er over Argentina said it was neither a known ...enly body nor a man-made satellite.

...Newspapers published pictures taken by a Press photographer in Bahia Blanca, about 275 miles south of Buenos Aires, of a bright object sighted on Wednesday.

Senor Enrique Ferraz, director of the Bahia Blanca Observatory, said the object was not a known planet, star, satellite or aircraft.

A blinding light

The fact that such objects are usually sighted near the north and south poles may be linked to the Van Allen radiation belt that girds the earth.

Objects from space might approach the earth through the polar areas with a minimum of risk, he said.

The photographed object was sighted by several hundred people, who said it gave off a blinding light.

The commander of the Chilean Antarctic base took colour photographs of a white object and said: "No apparatus constructed by man to date is anything like this."

In the Azores, weather bureau electro-magnetic clocks stopped for 45 minutes while a "cylindrical white object" was overhead in the sky at 30,000 ft.

This week "The Sun-Herald" sent a reporter to Canberra to talk with men who saw "the thing" there.


His story is below:

TALK WITH MEN WHO SAW 'THAT THING'

From GRAHAM GAMBIE

CANBERRA, Saturday. — The arrival of "the thing" this week has plunged Canberra into a great flying-saucer mystery.

Everyone I spoke to here said they were certain that flying saucers don't exist, but they would sleep a little more easily at night if someone could prove what "the thing" was.



...ham who was control tower the "saucer."

Paul Todd of the Met. Office . . . "spinning steel disc," he said.

The brilliant ball of light that hung low on the horizon outside the city has everyone guessing — from housewives to the scientific staff of Mt. Stromlo Observatory.

Meteorological balloons, planets, reflections from a lake, sun shining on a small plane are only some of the theories that have been put to the test and blown out.

Science fiction dreams or not, the Canberra light is only one of a dozen "sightings" over the past few weeks in all parts of the world.

In some places electro-magnetic clocks have stopped and other instruments have gone "haywire" when the mysterious objects have been seen.

Call from U.S.


What makes Canberra's "flying saucer" so unusual is that the seven men who saw it are all trained experts—men who watch the sky for a living.

When they announced that they had no idea what the hovering light could be, Canberra Airport's switchboard was jammed by curious callers and a special man had to be assigned to handle the inquiries.

"What was 'the thing'?" caller after caller wanted to know.

An American newspaper in Memphis, Tennessee, spent 12 minutes on the phone trying to fathom the mystery.

Air control officer Mr T. Lindsay told me he saw the light first when he was looking for a small plane north of Canberra Airport at about 10.50 a.m. on Thursday.



Mr Lindsay, in the control tower, points to where "the thing" appeared.

"I was looking out of the window and accuse me of turning him in to the newspapers."

The Officer in Charge of Civil Aviation in Canberra, Mr A. B. Lindeman, said: "I wish Mr. Stromlo would tell us it was a pinnet. I don't like something in the back of my mind I can't understand.

"I carry a colour camera with me normally but I left it at home that day — it would have been wonderful to have a photograph of the light.

"To me it looked like a circular light without any beam.

"It wasn't a pinpoint — you didn't need binoculars to see it.

"Someone said to me, 'What's that?' and I said, 'Blessed if I know'. I couldn't even identify it with the glasses.

"It disappeared just like that — zonk."

"Steel Disc"

Mr Lindeman said the air control officers were looking at the sky every day and could judge distance and size of an object if they knew one of the factors. "It's a bit silly to talk about sizes in this case," he said.

"But whatever it was it was not a regular phenomenon. A bright planet is the only possibility."

At the R.A.A.F. base near the airport, a senior officer said: "You can't rule out the possibility of a flying saucer, but for God's sake don't use my name. I'd be a laughing stock."

Mr Paul Todd of the Meteorological Bureau, which is based inside the control tower, said: "To me the light looked like a steel disc revolving so that it caught the sunlight.

"Then when it lost the sunlight it would disappear completely.

"The only queer thing about it to me was that we were all looking into the sun as well."

Dr B. E. Westerlund of Mt. Stromlo Observatory said that if the light was coming from the north east it would almost certainly be the planet Venus.

"We average about two 'mysterious light' calls a year which turn out to be Venus," he said at his home.

"But if the observers say it was due north and it was so bright, then Venus is doubtful."

'Smaller than the moon'

As he pointed out of the control tower window, Mr Lindsay said: "I've been in this job for two and a half years and I've never seen anything like it before.

"My first impression was of a large yellow luminous balloon rather like the moon but much smaller.

"It wasn't Venus as has been suggested—I'm sure of that from the position. Mind you, I don't necessarily think it was a flying saucer."

He snapped his fingers dramatically and said: "After all that time—nearly 40 minutes—it was gone just like that.

"It was like something rolling over with one side dark and one side light and then vanishing.

Another controller, Mr Tony Frodsham, picked up a pair of powerful binoculars and said: "With these we can spot a jet seven or eight miles up, but I couldn't pick out the shape of the light with them.

"In colour it looked like a metallic object glinting in the sun.

"But if it had been an aircraft flying away or towards us we could have picked out something like the undercarriage with our glasses.

"And no aeroplane would have stayed at that low altitude so long.

"The puzzling thing about the whole business is that bright light being stationary for so long."

In the control tower the officers have been joking about the "saucer" for two days.

"There it goes again" one called out to Tom Lindsay. "No, no — don't say it," he replied.

"I wish I had never seen it now."

Mr Frodsham said: "I was frightened last night that a little green man would come knocking

...r (Hong Kong) July 11, 1965

...IANS OR MIRAGES?


...AIRES — ...corporal was ...esterday as ...and his whole ...aw a flat, ...red object ...ver the north-...rgentine pro-...Chaco.

...ortedly hung ...for several ...on Friday ...ref shooting ...estward with ...of flames. ...ntevideo, a ...agency re... 100 people seeing a luminous flying object changing colour above a beach along the River Plate.

One eye-witness was quoted as saying it hovered for several minutes at midnight, revolving on its own axis before flying off at high speed.

The crew of an Ecuador air force plane say they are convinced they sighted a flying saucer last Thursday. — Reuter.

1. An unidentified flying object was reported on June 18, 1965 over the Antaractic.



ros Photograph

UFO'S PHOTOGRAPHED OVER BUENOS AIRES

BUENOS AIRES (UPI) — An unidentified flying object was spotted Saturday over the Rio Plata, the 28-mile wide river that divides Argentina and Uruguay.

Six persons walking on a deserted beach near Colonia, Uruguay, spotted the object at 8 p.m. Houston time. The spotting of the object from Uruguay followed by 15 hours sightings of several unidentified flying objects over Buenos Aires, the Argentine capital situated across the river from Colonia.


Members of the staff at the Santa Maria Airport in the Azores, said that for 45 minutes Friday they observed an unidentified object ot an altitude of 33,000 feet. The deputy airport manager was among those reported seeing it.

Airport authorities said electro-magnetic clocks stopped for 10 minutes while the cylindrical white object was overhead. A plane was sent up to observe the object, but it reported no success.

Several persons reported spotting unidentified flying objects over Buenos Aires shortly after sunset Friday. They described the objects, some of which were photographed, as cigar shaped and emitting colors ranging from reddish greens to blues. All said the intensity of the light the objects emitted was very bright.

During the period that the flying saucers were seen over Buenos Aires, the broadcasting of one of the city's television channels was interrupted because of atmospheric interference.

The government observatory of San Miguel reported, however, that it had not recorded any atmospheric disturbances at that time.




UPI Radiophoto

TWO UFO'S HOVER OVER BUENOS AIRES
They Are the White Discs (Top Left) and Center Right) in This Unretouched Night Photo

SCHOOL NEWS

Sept 6 -

On the night of July 16th, several hundred callers jammed newspaper switchboards in Buenos Aires, Argentina, raporting that they had sighted an unidentified flying object. The UFO hovered over the city for about 25 minutes before disappearing, and was photographed by cameramen from two local papers. Reports of the object's color varied. The picture at the right below is one of a series taken. It is a time exposure, and shows two UFOs (the elongated lights at the upper left and lower right of the photo), rather than one. We hope to have more details about this incident in our next issue....



. . . But Who's Peeking at Us?

By ALAN PATERSON

BUENOS AIRES, Argentina (Reuters) — "Flying Saucer" fever climbed here Saturday in the wake of an unidentified flying object spotted Friday night—and the appearance of a second one on photographs of the first.

Several hundred callers jammed newspaper switchboards reporting they had sighted the unidentified object.

Camermen of two newspapers snapped it and the photographs were splashed in Saturday morning's editions.

El Mundo's photo showed an apparent change in the shape of the second object, not visible to the naked eye.


The principal, easily visible object was a squat straight line of light. The second appeared as a faint point in El Mundo's first shot, twin nuclei in the second, and a stubby line of light in the third.

The objects, as photographed, appeared to be brighter than stars in the same photos.

Reports of the object's color varied, but there was fairly general agreement it hovered over this city for about 25 minutes before disappearing.

El Mundo's cameramen had plenty of time to get a speed graphic camera trained on the object and photograph it with the shutters open for 70, 80 and 100 seconds at a time.

The newspaper Cronica's front page photo showed a somewhat hazy luminescent oval, while an inside shot showed a lemon-shaped light source with a straight line of light cutting horizontally across the base of the lemon.



From the Antarctic came word that a mysterious lens-shaped flying object, maneuvering and moving at great speed, was seen over a Chilean scientific base.

Mario Jahn Barrera, commander of the base, reported that several persons had observed it.

"Whatever it was, it was definitely real, an object that moved at amazing speed, maneuvered quickly and gave off a blue-green sheen," Barrera said.

"The object itself was yellowish-red, changing to green, yellow and orange. It would zig-zag quickly. We watched it as it remained quietly overhead for about 20 minutes."

He also reported that the object had caused interference in the electromagnetic apparatus of an adjoining Argentinian base. The Central Radio Propagation Laboratory Forecast Center in Virginia [illegible] could find no indication of a general magnetic disturbance that could have accounted for such interference.

The Antarctic sighting was followed by reports of a strange "fiery object" that was seen glowing in the sky over Wiltshire County, about 100 miles west of London. At least five people in the town of Warminster claimed they observed it after a brief rainstorm.

Then came a report from France, where a farmer—described as normally "sober and level-headed"—said he saw a flying saucer land on his field, and found impressions in the ground to prove it.

The object, he said, was about the size of an automobile, shaped something like a football, and was supported on six crutch-like legs with a central pivot. It took off obliquely with a whistling sound as he approched, he added.

Then, last Sunday in Portugal, a man and his wife claimed they saw a flying object that looked like a "flattened balloon."

Manuel Fernandes said the thing was "very luminous and had orange coloring, nearly red at time, and shot greenish rays from one side."

It hovered overhead for about three minutes and then headed west toward the coast, according to the Fernandes.


Finally, the very day that Mariner-4 was sweeping past Mars snapping pictures, a mysterious glowing object was observed over the Canberra airport in Australia.

Six members of the traffic control tower said that it hung suspended at about 5000 feet for 40 minutes. When the sun glinted off it, it became clearly visible, they said. It disappeared when an air force plane was sent out to identify it.

Experts were left wondering if it was just coincidental that the object was sighted shortly before nearby Tidbinbilla tracking station was scheduled to pick up the Mariner signals. The station had unusual difficulty in locking on to Mariner at the time.

"Flying saucer on Sydney beach"

Man's eerie story



MR DENIS CROWE

A Sydney businessman claims he saw a green, glowing object last night on Vaucluse beach. (The Sun, Sydney, Australia) 7-20-65

He claims he watched it for about 10 minutes before it took off, and vanished in clouds over Manly.

It was shaped like a disc, about 20ft across and 9ft high, he said.

Mr Denis Crowe, 44, of Coolong Road, Vaucluse, said he saw the object about 5.30 p.m.

"I had just come home and I went out to the letter-box to see if there was any mail," Mr Crowe said.

"It had just stopped raining, so I thought I'd go for a walk to get a breath of fresh air.

"I walked about 50 yards down Coolong Road when I saw a greenish glow coming from the beach.

"It had been pretty windy and I thought the glow must have come from the turbonrd light of a yacht aground on the beach.

"It was too dark to make it out properly, so I walked down to the beach.

Three legs

"About 50ft from the object I suddenly realised the glow was coming from a big disc sitting there.

"It was about 20ft across, and about 9ft high.

"I was sitting right over where a little fresh water stream runs down to the beach.

"It looked as though it was sitting on three legs, but I may have been mistaken and the legs could have been shadows.

"The rim of the object was glowing a greenish-blue, while the top and bottom halves were a dullish silver-grey.

"There was no sign of movement, and no portholes or doors that I could see.

"As it took off a yellow or orange glow came from underneath.

"It gathered speed fairly rapidly and disappeared in the clouds.

"It wasn't a sudden disappearance—it just gradually became fainter.

Dogs barked

"I suppose I stood there for half a minute — I was rather dazed — before it took off.

"It didn't go straight up like a helicopter, but took a run of about 60 feet along the beach before gathering speed and rising toward Manly.

Mr Crowe said he could not see any other people about.

"One thing I did notice, though, was that all the dogs in houses near the beach started barking at the same time," he said.

"They all quietened down after the object took off.

"After it went I walked home — it had started raining again — and sat down to write out everything I saw.


"I was an aeronautical illustrator for English aviation magazines, so I think my drawing is pretty accurate.

Mr Crowe said he came to Australia six years ago after serving as a diplomat at the British High Commission in Delhi, India.

He was an Army captain before that.

Sydney, Australia | WEDNESDAY, DAILY TELEGRAPH JULY 21, 1965

THE THING ON THE BEACH



THE SKETCH by Mr. Denis Crowe of the object he saw on Monday night on Vaucluse Beach. He described the saucer shape as silvery grey on top and darker grey beneath with a bluish-green glow at the rim and what might have been three or four "legs."

GLOWING IMPRESSION

A green, glowing object that took off at high speed from a Vaucluse beach on Monday night in no way resembled conventional aircraft, Mr. Denis Crowe said last night.

MR. CROWE said he watched the object in flight for 10 seconds before it disappeared in cloud over Manly.

Mr. Crowe at his home in Coolong Road, Vaucluse, told a *Daily Telegraph* reporter last night:

"It took off with a noise like air forcibly released from a balloon.

"The noise was quite unlike any made by jet engines."

Mr. Crowe said that the object a giant disc, was bathed in a glow.

He saw no sign of movement within the craft or any portholes or doors.

He said he became aware about 5.30 p.m. on Monday of a glow coming from the beach.

He went to investigate and saw that the glow emanated from a huge disc resting on the beach.

Near disc at take-off

He was within 50 or 60 feet of the disc when it took off.

"Its diameter appeared to be about 20 feet," he said.

"I estimate its height at nine feet, including what appeared to be legs protruding from the bottom.

"Its rim was glowing a greenish blue, while the top and bottom halves were dullish silver-grey.

"A hollow in the top could have been a glass dome."

Mr. Crowe said that as the object took off, a yellow or orange glow appeared beneath.

After a take-off run of only 50 or 60 feet, the craft climbed rapidly in the direction of Manly.

"I looked around hoping to see someone else who saw what I saw, but my only company was about a dozen or so dogs," Mr. Crowe said

"While the object was stationary, they were all barking loudly at it.

"After it took off, they were all strangely silent."



Mr. Denis Crowe

Mr. Crowe said that when he returned home he sat down to collect his thoughts.

He had dinner, then wrote down what was still vividly in his memory, and sketched the object as he recalled it.

The sketch is reproduced above.

[Mr. Crowe, an Englishman who has been in Australia for more than four years, was formerly a technical and illustrative artist with British aircraft companies.]

"At first I wondered whether I should say anything about what I had seen," he said.

"The natural reaction of most people might label me a crackpot.

"But I could not keep silent.

"I felt I had a moral obligation to speak of what I had seen."

No Case, Information Only
Source; Saucer News, Vol. 12, No. 4
Dec 65

Vaucluse, Australia
19 Jul 65

SAUCER LANDING IN AUSTRALIA: On July 19th, a man named Denis Crowe made an unusually detailed sighting of a UFO which took off from a beach in Vaucluse, Australia. The object - a giant disc - was bathed in an eerie glow when he came upon it while walking his dogs at about 5:30 in the evening. Although he got to within 50 or 60 feet of the object, he could detect no sign of movement within it, and no portholes. Its diameter was about 20 feet, and its height was 9 feet, including what appeared to be legs protruding from the bottom. The rim was glowing a greenish blue, while the top and bottom halves were a dullish silver-gray color. There was a hollow in the top that could have been a glass dome.

There was no noise, except for the barking of the dogs. However, when the object took off a few seconds later, a yellowish glow appeared beneath it, and a weird sound - unlike that of any conventional aircraft - was heard. After a take-off run of only 50 or 60 feet, the craft climbed rapidly in the direction of Manly. Strangely enough, as soon as the object was in the air, the dogs suddenly stopped barking.

A great many "lesser" reports, too numerous to print here, also came in from various parts of Australia during late July and early August. At least one photograph was taken.

NO CASE: Information Only
SOURCE: APRO Bulletin, Sep-Oct 65

19 Jul 65
Hingham, Massachusetts

On the 19th, residents of Hingham, Mass., about 20 miles from Wayland, reported seeing a circular luminous object with "four arms" for nearly an hour as it hovered over the area. It finally drifted westward and disappeared.

No Case, Information Only
Source: IIR, Vol. 1, No. 4, Nov 65

Higham, Mass.
19 Jul 65

18. July 19, 1965, Higham, Mass. A four-armed luminous object was sighted by five persons for nearly an hour. "It hovered low over the western horizon and seemed to have four arms of three lights each radiating from it. The object remained stationary for about 45 minutes.

#18—The report submitted to the Air Force by Raymond E. Fowler, who evaluated the sighting as the planet venus.

EVALUATION: VENUS - Movement only apparent caused by distortion by atmosphere & movement of witnesses - 4 arms only observed through binoculars and were caused by binoculars. Real movement and disappearance occured as Venus set.

Respectfully submitted,
Raymond E. Fowler
Raymond E. Fowler
NICAP Investigator

NICAP 7-9424

NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE ON AERIAL PHENOMENA
1536 Connecticut Avenue N. W.
Washington 6, D. C.


19 JULY

REPORT ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT(S)

This form includes questions asked by the United States Air Force and by other Armed Forces' investigating agencies, and additional questions to which answers are needed for full evaluation by NICAP.

After all the information has been fully studied, the conclusion of our Evaluation Panel will be published by NICAP in its regularly issued magazine or in another publication. Please try to answer as many questions as possible. Should you need additional room, please use another sheet of paper. Please print or typewrite. Your assistance is of great value and is genuinely appreciated. Thank you.

1. Name [redacted]
Address [redacted] Lingham Mass.
Telephone [redacted]
Place of Employment —
Occupation Housewife
Education — B.A.
Special Training
Military Service



2. Date of Observation July 19, 1965 Time A.M. P.M. 9:00 TO 9:45 Time Zone EASTERN DAYLIGHT
3. Locality of Observation Lingham
4. How long did you see the object? ¾ of hour Minutes Seconds
5. Please describe weather conditions and the type of sky, i.e., bright daylight, nighttime, dusk, etc. nighttime
6. Position of the Sun or Moon in relation to the object and to you. Sun had set - red glow in sky
7. If seen at night, twilight, or dawn, were the stars or moon visible? Behind As observed
8. Were there more than one object? If so, please tell how many, and draw a sketch of what you saw, indicating direction of movement, if any. yes 3
9. Please describe the object(s) in detail. For instance, did it (they) appear solid, or only as a source of light; was it revolving, etc? Please use additional sheets of paper, if necessary.
large, bright green for first few minutes
10. Was the object(s) brighter than the background of the sky?
yes
11. If so, compare the brightness with the Sun, Moon, headlights, etc.
Brighter than both Sun & Moon
12. Did the object(s) — (Please elaborate, if you can give details.)
   a. Appear to stand still at any time? yes
   b. Suddenly speed up and rush away at any time? At the end - going away
   c. Break up into parts or explode? no
   d. Give off smoke? - no
   e. Leave any visible trail? - no
   f. Drop anything? - no
   g. Change brightness? - yes - slightly
   h. Change shape? - no
   i. Change color? - yes
13. Did the object(s) at any time pass in front of, or behind of anything? If so, please elaborate giving distance, size, etc, if possible.
yes - we stood still And it went behind A tree
14. Was there any wind? If so, please give direction and speed.
not much
15. Did you observe the object(s) through an optical instrument or other aid, windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening, etc? no What?
16. Did the object(s) have any sound? no What kind? How loud?
17. Could tell if the object(s) was (were) —
   a. Fuzzy or blurred. - no
   b. Like a bright star. - brighter & lower
   c. Sharply outlined. - ?

17. Was the object --

a. Self-luminous? yes
b. Dull-lighted?
c. Reflecting?
d. Transparent?

18. Did the object(s) rise or fall while in motion? Danced

19. Tell the apparent size of the object(s) when compared with the following held at arm's length:

a. Pinhead	d. Nickel	g. Orange
b. Pea	e. Half dollar	h. Grapefruit
c. Dime	f. Silver dollar	i. Larger 25¢

Or, if easier, give apparent size in inches on a ruler held at arm's length. ½ - ½ size of full moon looking at it

20. How did you happen to notice the object(s)? went outside to turn off outside water inside before going out

21. Where were you and what were you doing at the time? getting dinned

22. How did the object(s) disappear from view? went straight until it disappeared

23. Compare the speed of the object(s) with a plane at (or almost at the same apparent altitude. slow as it turned - went much faster than anything I know -

24. Was there any conventional aircraft in the location at the time or immediately afterward? If so, please elaborate. yes, this object was just over the landing pattern of Logan many planes passed under it.


25. Please estimate the distance of the object(s). 3500 feet up

26. What was the elevation of the object(s) in the sky? Please mark on this hemisphere sketch:



27. Names and addresses of other witnesses, if any. OK BLK

28. Please draw a map of the locality of the observation showing both; your position; the direction from which the object(s) appeared and disappeared from view; the direction of its course over the ground; roads, towns, villages, railroads, and other landmarks within a mile.



29. Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area?
yes. Logan

30. Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper.
NO

31. Please enclose photographs, action pictures, news clippings, notes of radio or television programs (include time, station and date, if possible) regarding this or similar observations, or any other background material. We will return the material to you.

32. Were you interrogated by Air Force investigators? By any other federal, state, county, or local officials? If so, please state the name and rank or title of the agent, his office, and details as to where and when the questioning took place.
yes, do not remember names CBS Reporter Walter Cronkite
Were you asked or told not to reveal or discuss the incident? If so, were any reasons or official orders mentioned? Please elaborate carefully. NO

34. We should like permission to quote your name in connection with this report. This action will encourage other responsible citizens to report similar observations to NICAP. However, if you prefer, we will keep your name confidential. Please note your choice by checking the proper statement below. In any case, please fill in all parts of the form, for our own confidential files. Thank you for your cooperation.

You may use my name. (✓) Please keep my name confidential. ( )

35. Date of filling out this report:
August 9, 1965

Signature: [redacted]

NICAP 7-9434

NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE ON AERIAL PHENOMENA
1536 Connecticut Avenue N. W.
Washington 6, D. C.

REPORT ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT(S)

This form includes questions asked by the United States Air Force and by other Armed Forces' investigating agencies, and additional questions to which answers are needed for full evaluation by NICAP.

After all the information has been fully studied, the conclusion of our Evaluation Panel will be published by NICAP in its regularly issued magazine or in another publication. Please try to answer as many questions as possible. Should you need additional room, please use blank sheets of paper. Please print or typewrite. Your assistance is of great value and is genuinely appreciated. Thank you.

1. Name [redacted]
Address [redacted]
Telephone [redacted]
Place of Employment
Occupation housewife
Education Wellesley B.A
Special Training
Military Service

2. Date of Observation 7/19/65 Time 8:45 (PM) Time Zone EDT

3. Locality of Observation Hingham, Mass

4. How long did you see the object? Hours Minutes 45 Seconds

5. Please describe weather conditions and the type of sky, i.e., bright daylight, nighttime, dusk, etc. dusk to night clear sky.

6. Position of the Sun or Moon in relation to the object and to you. Sun had set. ~~that time~~ Moon behind us.

7. If seen at night, twilight, or dawn, were the stars or moon visible? yes

8. Were there more than one object? no If so, please tell how many, and draw a sketch of what you saw, indicating direction of movement, if any. Movement NW making right angle turning West

9. Please describe the object(s) in detail. For instance, did it (they) appear solid, or only as a source of light; was it revolving, etc? Please use additional sheets of paper, if necessary. Source of light like a large planet

10. Was the object(s) brighter than the background of the sky? yes

11. If so, compare the brightness with the Sun, Moon, headlights, etc. brighter than a planet

12. Did the object(s) — (Please elaborate, if you can give details.)

a. Appear to stand still at any time? yes
b. Suddenly speed up and run away at any time? yes
c. Break up into parts or explode? no
d. Give off smoke? no
e. Leave any visible trail? no
f. Drop anything? no
g. Change brightness? yes
h. Change shape? no
i. Change color? yes

It seemed to stop + start, the brightness varied as it disappear the color changed to pink + then finally to red-orange at the last.

13. Did the object(s) at any time pass in front of, or behind of, anything? If so, please elaborate giving distance, size, etc, if possible.
Went behind a tree, came back out + went back in behind tree

14. Was there any wind? no If so, please give direction and speed.

15. Did you observe the object(s) through an optical instrument or other aid, windshield, windowspace, storm window, screening, etc? no What?

16. Did the object(s) have any sound? no What kind? How loud?

17. Please tell if the object(s) was (were) —

a. Fuzzy or blurred. no
b. Like a bright star. yes
c. Sharply outlined. yes

16. Was the object —

a. Solid-looking? yes
b. Dull finish? no
c. Reflecting? no
d. Transparent? no

17. Did the object(s) rise or fall while in motion? no

18. Tell the apparent size of the object(s) when compared with the following held at arm's length:

a.	Pinhead	d.	Nickel	g.	Orange
b.	Pea	e.	Half dollar	h.	Grapefruit
(c)	Dime	f.	Silver dollar	i.	Larger

About 1/4 size of full moon

Or, if smaller, give apparent size in inches on a ruler held at arm's length.

21. How did you happen to notice the object(s)? Was called over by Mrs. Reis-neighbor

22. Where were you and what were you doing at the time? At home - putting children to bed

23. How did the object(s) disappear from view? Disappeared still above horizon

24. Compare the speed of the object(s) with a plane or jet aircraft at the same apparent altitude. after it turned, disappea faster than a jet

25. Were there any conventional aircraft in the location at the time or immediately afterwards? If so, please elaborate. Aircraft traffic heavy beneath object


26. Please estimate the distance of the object(s).

27. What was the elevation of the object(s) in the sky? Please mark on this hemisphere sketch:



28. Names and addresses of other witnesses, if any.

29. Please draw a map of the locality of the observation showing North; your position; the direction from which the object(s) appeared and disappeared from view; the direction of its course over the area; roads, towns, villages, railroads, and other landmarks within a mile.



30. Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area?

31. Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper. no

32. Please enclose photographs, motion pictures, news clippings, notes of radio or television programs (include time, station and date, if possible) regarding this or similar observations, or any other background material. We will return the material to you.

33. Were you interrogated by Air Force investigators? By any other federal, state, county, or local officials? If so, please state the name and rank or title of the agent, his office, and details as to where and when the questioning took place. no

Were you taken or told not to reveal or discuss the incident? If so, were any reasons or official orders mentioned? Please elaborate carefully. no

34. We should like permission to quote your name in connection with this report. This action will encourage other responsible citizens to report similar observations to NICAP. However, if you prefer, we will keep your name confidential. Please note your choice by checking the proper statement below. In any case, please fill in all parts of the form, for our own confidential files. Thank you for your cooperation.

You may use my name. ( )

Please keep my name confidential. (✓)

35. Date of filling out this report: 8/9/65

Signature: [redacted]

NICAP 7-9424

NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE ON AERIAL PHENOMENA
1536 Connecticut Avenue N. W.
Washington 6, D. C.

REPORT ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT(S)

This form includes questions asked by the United States Air Force and by other Armed Forces' investigating agencies, and additional questions, to which answers are needed for full evaluation by NICAP.

After all the information has been fully studied, the conclusion of our Evaluation Panel will be published by NICAP in its regularly issued magazine or in another publication. Please try to answer as many questions as possible. Should you need additional room, please use another sheet of paper. Please print or typewrite. Your assistance is of great value and is genuinely appreciated. Thank you.

1. Name [redacted]
Address [redacted]
Telephone [redacted]
Place of Employment Housewife
Occupation Housewife
Education B. A. - dietetics certificate
Special Training
Military Service

2. Date of Observation July 19, 1965 Time AM 9:15 PM Time Zone Eastern Daylight

3. Locality of Observation Levittown

4. How long did you see the object? Hours 15 Minutes Seconds

5. Please describe weather conditions and the type of day, i.e., bright daylight, nighttime, dusk, etc.
dusk — clear night

6. Position of the Sun or Moon in relation to the object and to you.
moon in Eastern sky - object in Western

7. If seen at night, twilight, or dawn, were the stars or moon visible?
moon & few stars visible

8. Were there more than one object? No If so, please tell how many, and draw a sketch of what you saw, indicating direction of movement, if any.

9. Please describe the object(s) in detail. For instance, did it (they) appear solid, or only as a source of light; was it revolving, etc? Please use additional sheets of paper, if necessary. It appeared as a source of light - very bright, large

10. Was the object(s) brighter than the background of the sky?
yes, much

11. If so, compare its brightness with the Sun, Moon, headlights, etc.
a little brighter than any star or planet -

12. Did the object(s) — (Please elaborate, if you can give details.)

a. Appear to stand still or maneuver?
b. Suddenly speed up and rush away at any time?
c. Break up into parts or explode?
d. Give off smoke?
e. Leave any visible trail?
f. Drop anything?
g. Appear to disappear?
h. Change shape?
i. Change color? Turned to orange red

13. Did the object(s) at any time pass in front of, or behind of, anything? If so, please elaborate giving distances, size, etc, if possible.
passed behind tree then receded into distance

14. Was there any wind? moderate If so, please give direction and speed.

15. Did you observe the object(s) through an optical instrument or other aid, windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening, etc? no What?

16. Did the object(s) have any sound? no What kind? How loud?

17. Please tell if the object(s) was (were) —

a. Fuzzy or blurred.
b. Like a bright star.
c. Sharply outlined.

17. Was the object --

a. Self-luminous?
b. Illuminated?
c. Reflecting? not aware
d. Transparent?

18. Did the object(s) rise or fall while in motion? no

20. Tell the apparent size of the object(s) when compared with the following held at arm's length:

a. Pinhead	d. Nickel	g. Orange
b. Pea	e. Half dollar	h. Grapefruit
c. Dime	f. Silver dollar	i. Larger

Or, if easier, give apparent size in inches on a ruler held at arm's length.

21. How did you happen to notice the object(s)? - neighbor called me outside to see

22. Where were you and what were you doing at the time? - had been in kitchen baking


23. How did the object(s) disappear from view? curved it right - I took + didn't stay to see it disappear

24. Compare the speed of the object(s) with a plane or jet aircraft at the same apparent altitude. much slower

25. Was there any accompanying sound? If so, please elaborate.

26. Please estimate the distance of the object(s). [illegible] accurately - curved like sky - more - guessed [illegible] of the object far away - miles

27. What was the direction of the object(s) in the sky? Please mark on this hemisphere sketch:



28. Please list names of other witnesses, if any.

29. Please draw a map of the locality of the observation showing North; your position; the direction from which the object(s) appeared and disappeared from view; the direction of its curve over the area; roads, towns, villages, railroads, and other landmarks within a mile.

The Pines of Seal Cove Rd. [redacted] Seal Cove Rd

ME!

32. Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area?

33. Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper. no

34. Please enclose photographs, motion pictures, news clippings, notes of radio or television programs (include title, station and date, if possible) regarding this or similar observations, or any other background material. We will return the material to you.

35. Were you interrogated by Air Force investigators? By any other federal, state, county or local officials? If so, please state the name and rank or title of the agent, his office, and details as to where and when the questioning took place. no

Were you asked or told not to report or discuss this incident? If so, what any reasons or officials were mentioned? Please elaborate carefully. no

36. We should like permission to quote your name in connection with this report. This action will encourage other responsible citizens to make similar observations to NICAP. However, if you prefer, we will keep your name confidential. Please note your choice by checking the proper statement below. In any case, please fill in all parts of the form, for our own confidential files. Thank you for your cooperation.

You may use my name. ( )

Please keep my name confidential. (X)

37. Date of filling out this report: Aug 8, 1965


Signature: [redacted]

EA51 NO CASE (INFORMATION ONLY) 19 July 1965
AMS BJT (450) Weymouth, Massachusetts
FLYING SAUCERS
BY JERRY BUCK
ASSOCIATED PRESS WRITER
NEW YORK, JULY 20 (AP)-SOME PEOPLE AROUND THE WORLD SAY THEY ARE SEEING MORE IN SUMMER SKIES THAN BUZZING INSECTS AND BIRDS ON THE WING.
FROM ANTARCTICA TO ENGLAND COME REPORTS OF MYSTERIOUS FLYING OBJECTS ZIPPING THROUGH SPACE AND, IN SOME REPORTED CASES, INTERFERING WITH ELECTROMAGNETIC EQUIPMENT.
AT LEAST FIVE SIGHTINGS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES IN THE PAST TWO WEEKS REMAIN UNEXPLAINED.
BUT A GLOWING OBJECT THAT CAUSED A COMMOTION FROM OHIO TO MARYLAND TURNED OUT TO BE A RUNAWAY NAVY BALLOON LAUNCHED IN CANADA.
WHAT ARE THESE OBJECTS?
THE AIR FORCE, WHICH HAS LOOKED INTO 9,127 REPORTED SIGHTINGS TO DATE SINCE 1947, SAYS THEY'RE USUALLY BALLOONS, BIRDS, SEARCHLIGHTS, JET EXHAUSTS--OR THE RED AND WHITE KITE SENT UP BY YOUR NEIGHBOR'S CHILD.
TO DATE, THE AIR FORCE SAID ONLY 667 REMAIN UNIDENTIFIED, AND MOST OF THESE, IT SAID, COULD BE EXPLAINED AWAY IF MORE INFORMATION WAS MADE AVAILABLE TO ITS INVESTIGATIVE AGENCY, PROJECT BLUEBOOK AT WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, DAYTON, OHIO.
THE AIR FORCE SAID ITS 18 YEARS OF INVESTIGATION HAS TURNED UP ABSOLUTELY NO EVIDENCE TO INDICATE THE OBJECTS CAME FROM SPACE.
DISAGREEING WITH THE AIR FORCE IS MAJ. DONALD E. KEYHOE, A RETIRED MARINE PILOT WHO IS DIRECTOR OF THE NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE FOR AERIAL PHENOMENA IN WASHINGTON, D.C.

EA52
"THE CONCLUSION OF THE MAJORITY OF OUR BOARD OF GOVERNORS AND SCIENTIFIC CONSULTANTS IS THAT THESE THINGS MUST BE FROM SOME ADVANCED CIVILIZATION," SAID KEYHOE.
KEYHOE CONTENDS THE AIR FORCE REACHED THE SAME CONCLUSION YEARS AGO, BUT HAS A "HIGH LEVEL POLICY OF EXPLAINING THE SIGHTINGS AWAY ONE WAY OR ANOTHER SO AS NOT TO SCARE PEOPLE."
THE SAUCER SEASON GOT OFF TO A FLYING START IN EUROPE JULY 7.
"IT WAS VERY FRIGHTENING," SAID MRS. DORA HORLOCK, WHO, WITH HER HUSBAND HAROLD, SPOTTED A FIERY OBJECT IN THE SOUTHERN SKY NEAR WARMINSTER, ENGLAND.
THAT SAME NIGHT IN VALENSOLE, FRANCE, MAURICE MASSE SAID HE SAW TAKING OFF FROM HIS FARM AN OBJECT THAT RESEMBLED A LARGE FOOTBALL WITH FOUR METAL LEGS. "WE DON'T THINK IT WAS A GAG," SAID AN OFFICIAL.
THE NEXT DAY THE CHILEAN AIR FORCE AND ARGENTINE NAVY REPORTED THAT CHILEAN, ARGENTINE AND BRITISH PERSONNEL IN ANTARCTICA SAW A LENS-SHAPED OBJECT--"YELLOWISH RED, CHANGING TO GREEN, YELLOW AND ORANGE"--THAT AFFECTED INSTRUMENTS USED TO MEASURE MAGNETIC FIELDS.
OVER PORTUGAL AND THE AZORES JULY 10 MORE FLYING THINGS WERE SEEN. THE VILLA DO PORTO WEATHER STATION IN THE AZORES SAID A GLOWING OBJECT APPEARED OVER THE ISLAND AND THAT THE BUREAU'S ELECTROMAGNETIC CLOCK STOPPED.
AROUND THE GLOBE ON JULY 15 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL OFFICERS AND OTHER EXPERT AIRCRAFT OBSERVERS SAID THEY SPOTTED A FLYING OBJECT GLINTING IN THE SUN NEAR THE AIRPORT AT CANBERRA, AUSTRALIA. IT WAS VISIBLE FOR 40 MINUTES, THEN DISAPPEARED WHEN AN AIR FORCE PLANE WAS SENT AFTER IT.
ANOTHER SIGHTING WAS REPORTED LAST NIGHT AT WEYMOUTH, MASS.
MRS. LAWRENCE FRAZIER SAID HER SONS, RONALD, 20, AND ROBERT 27, SAW "PULSATING LIGHTS...SPREAD OUT OVER THE SKY," SHE SAID IT "SOUNDED LIKE A FLYING SCIENCE FICTION MOVIE."
PK412PED

No Case, Information Only
Source: Saucer News, Vol. 12, No. 4
Dec 65

Bahia Blanca, Argentina
19 Jul 65



THIS PICTURE is one of several taken last July during a fantastic saucer sighting flap in South America that is still continuing. The picture was taken by a news photographer in Bahia Blanca, Argentina, named Jose Palma, who was called out at 8:30 p.m. on the night of July 15th to interview a group of people who had just seen a UFO. In the course of a somewhat frantic evening of interviews and sightings, Palma took two photographs, of which this one shows the most detail. The following night, more UFO sightings were made in and around Bahia Blanca. - Dozens of other saucer reports in July and August came from Mexico, Peru, Uraguay, Honduras, Ecuador, Paraguay, Brazil, Venezuela, Chile, and many scattered parts of Argentina.

No Case, Information Only
Source: Saucer News, Vol. 12, No. 4
Dec 65

Bahia Blanca, Argentina
19 Jul 65

SAUCER LANDING IN ARGENTINA: On the night of July 19th, several people in a suburb of Bahia Blanca, Argentina, saw a rare apparition of a "scout ship" separating from a "mother ship." This is the same town in which our cover picture was taken, just a few days earlier. In the landing incident, which is not described in much detail in the article we have, the UFO gave out a blinding red light for several minutes as it moved about in the sky. Then, a smaller object came out of the larger one, and headed toward earth. After five minutes or so on the ground, the "scout ship" took off again and disappeared in the distance. It left behind scorched earth and other physical evidence, which was taken away by local residents to be analyzed.

NICAP MASSACHUSETTS INVESTIGATING SUBCOMMITTEE UNIT #1
13 Friend Court
Wenham, Massachusetts

SUBJECT: UFO Sighting - July 19, 1965

DATE: 11 August 1965

FROM: Raymond E. Fowler
Chairman: NICAP Mass. Investigating Subcommittee

TO: National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena

The following Report provides detailed information concerning a UFO sighting by Miss [redacted], Northeast Airlines Stewardess, on July 19, 1965 at 4:00 AM EDT from her residence on 28 Fitchberg Street, Watertown, Massachusetts.

This is the first submission of a series of reports being prepared covering the recent rash of UFO sightings in Eastern Massachusetts. Miss MacPherson has given NICAP permission to use her name in connection with the report.

Respectfully submitted,
Raymond E. Fowler
NICAP Investigator

REF/rcf

CC. PROJECT BLUEBOOK

UFO SIGHTING SUMMARY SHEET

UFO REPORT - 7/19/65

WITNESS: [redacted]
Watertown, Massachusetts

Age: 22
Education: College (2 Years)
Airline Stewardess Training School

Occupation: Airline Stewardess
Northeast Airlines

PLACE: [redacted] (Residential Section)
Watertown, Massachusetts

DATE: 19 July 1965 TIME: 4:00 AM EDT

BACKGROUND:

The sighting account was printed in the "Boston Globe" dated July 21, 1965. The newsclip was forwarded by the K.E. Newsclip Agency. I set up an appointment with the witness for August 6th. I was the only person allowed to interview [redacted] her mother, perturbed and worried about all the phone calls received about the sighting discouraged most callers including a Captain (name forgotten by mother) from Hanscom AFB, Bedford, Massachusetts and a professor from Massachusetts Institute of Technology. (They think it was a Dr. Sarver).

SIGHTING:

[redacted] was lying in bed semi-awake when she was attracted by a bright light shining through her second-floor bedroom window. She moved to the foot of her bed and saw a huge glowing ball 30° above the western horizon moving extremely slow and horizontally at an even speed in a north to south direction. (It was not hovering as reported by the "Boston Globe") She told me that the object looked like a solid white-silvery aluminum ball which maintained its shape and brightness. Several times the object's color changed and seemed to go through the whole color spectrum before returning to the white-silvery color. The edges of the object were comparable to that of a bright star. No sound was heard. No vapour trail observed. It was brighter than the background of the sky and its brightness was compared to that of an automobile headlight a mile away. Total observation time was an estimated 10 minutes. The size of the object was compared to that of a half-dollar held at arm's length. She was fairly certain about this after an experiment with different sized coins. It disappeared behind a tree 150 feet from the window and to the northwest of the witness. The object did not pass in-front-of-anything. She could not estimate the real size, speed or distance of the object. No opinion was ventured as to what the object could be but she discounted an aircraft. No aircraft were noticed in the sky during or preceding following the sighting.

Weather conditions were remembered to be clear sky, slight breeze and warm. There was a slight trace of daylight. A few stars were noticed. The moon was not seen.

EVALUATION:

[redacted] had never seen a UFO before although she once talked with an airline pilot who had observed them. Previous to this sighting she had not read literature on UFOs. She is not the type that looks for publicity. When news of her sighting slipped to the press she was very reluctant to be interviewed.


MOON - 20 days old(day before last quarter) and located in the constellation Pisces. It was not visible from the bedroom window that Sally viewed the object from. This agrees with her account.

STARS - Vega and Altair would be the brightest stars in the western sky. Size, brightness and movement negate stars. These two stars were probably among the few that Sally stated she observed while watching the object.

BALLOON. Elevation: Favorable. The sunrise for 7/19/5 was 5:23 EDT. At 4:00 AM EDT the sun would have been approximately 20° below the eastern horizon. Thus any object with an elevation of 20° or more above the western horizon would begin to reflect sunlight

Speed: Favorable. The object moved very slowly. Information obtained from the U.S. weather bureau show wind velocity to have been 6 mph. (Logan Airport, Boston)

Direction: Unfavorable. The object moved south to north. Information obtained from the U.S. weather bureau show the wind was West-South-West. (Logan Airport, Boston) A Balloon would have moved into the East-North-



Brightness/Color: Possible but highly improbable./Too bright

Size: An object with an apparent size of a half-dollar at arm's length at 30° elevation would be over 200 feet in diameter if it were a mile away. This would rule out most balloons.

I telephoned the witness after our interview in regard to the balloon answer. She is familiar with balloons and was bewildered to think that I suspected a balloon.

It is the opinion of this investigator that [redacted] is a competent observer who is fairly certain of her facts. Her training and experience within Northeast Airlines especially qualify her in this respect. The object falls into the class of "unidentified" and was reported during the beginning of an upsurge of UFO sightings in Massachusetts.

R. F. Fowler, NICAP Investigator

U F O DATA SHEET

This questionnaire has been prepared so that you can give as much information as possible concerning the "Unidentified Flying Object" that you have observed. Please try to answer as many questions as you possibly can. The information that you give will be used for research purposes. Your name will not be used in connection with any statements, conclusions or publications without your permission. Thank you very much for your cooperation in this matter.

Investigator: National Investigation Committee on Aerial Phenomena
Raymond E. Fowler
13 Friend Court
Meriden, Massachusetts

1. When did you see the object?

11 (DAY) July (MONTH) 1965 (YEAR)

2. Time of day: 4 (HOUR) ____ (MINUTES)

(Circle One): (A.M.) or P.M.

3. Time zone: (Circle One): (a.) Eastern
b. Central
c. Mountain
d. Pacific
e. Other _____

(Circle One): (a.) Daylight Saving Time
b. Standard Time

4. Where were you when you saw the object?

[redacted] Wakefield (City or Town) Massachusetts (State or Country)
(Nearest Postal Address)

Additional remarks: looking out bedroom window

5. Estimate how long you saw the object: ____ (HOURS) 10 (MINUTES) ____ (SECONDS)

5.1 Circle one of the following to indicate how certain you are of your answer to question 5.

a. Certain
(b.) Fairly certain
c. Not very sure
d. Just a guess

6. What was the condition of the sky?

(Circle One): a. Bright daylight
b. Dull daylight
c. Bright twilight
(d.) Just a trace of daylight
e. No trace of daylight
f. Don't remember

7. If you saw the object during DAYLIGHT, TWILIGHT, or DAWN, where was the SUN located as you looked at the object?

a. In front of you
b. In back of you
c. To your right
d. To your left
e. Overhead
(f.) Don't remember

8. If you saw the object at NIGHT, TWILIGHT, or DAWN, what did you notice concerning the STARS and MOON?

8.1 STARS (circle one): a. None
(b.) A few
c. Many
d. Don't remember

8.2 MOON (circle one):
a. Bright moonlight
b. Dull moonlight
c. No moonlight- dark
(d.) Don't remember

9. Was the object brighter than the background of the sky?

(Circle one): (a.) YES b. NO c. DON'T REMEMBER

10. If it was BRIGHTER THAN the sky background, was the brightness like that of an automobile headlight appearing to be? (CIRCLE ONE BELOW):

(a.) (A mile or more away? (a distant car)
b. Several blocks away?
c. A block away?
d. Several yards away?
e. Other? _____

11. Did the object: (Circle One for each question)

a. Appear to stand still at any time?	YES	(NO)	DON'T KNOW
b. Suddenly speed up and rush away at any time?	YES	(NO)	DON'T KNOW
c. Break up into parts or explode?	YES	(NO)	DON'T KNOW
d. Give off smoke?	YES	(NO)	DON'T KNOW
e. Change brightness?	YES	(NO)	DON'T KNOW
f. Change shape?	YES	(NO)	DON'T KNOW
g. Flicker, throb, or pulsate?	YES	(NO)	DON'T KNOW

12. Did the object move behind something at any time, particularly a cloud?

(Circle One): (YES) [redacted] DON'T KNOW If you answered yes, then tell what it moved behind: Trees (not)

13. Did the object move in front of something at any time, particularly a cloud?
[redacted] [redacted] DON'T KNOW (Circle One)
If you answered yes, then tell what it moved in front of: [redacted]

14. Did the object appear (Circle One): (SOLID? TRANSPARENT? DON'T KNOW

15. Did you observe the object through any of the following? SCREEN


a. Eyeglasses	YES NO	d. Window glass	YES NO	g. Other	open window
b. Sun glasses	YES NO	e. Binoculars	YES NO		
c. Windshield	YES NO	f. Telescope	YES NO		

16. Tell in a few words the following things about the object.

a. SOUND none

b. COLOR white silvery and black appearance could be seen

17. Draw a picture that will show the shape of the object or objects. Label and include in your sketch any details of the object that you saw such as wings, protrusions, etc., and especially exhaust trails or vapour trails. Place an arrow beside the drawing to show the direction the object was moving.



18. The edges of the object were:

(Circle One): a. Fuzzy or blurred
(b.) Like a bright star
c. Sharply outlined
e. Other _____

19. If there was MORE THAN ONE object, then how many were there? Draw a picture of how they were arranged, and put an arrow to show the direction that they were travelling.

20. Draw a picture that will show the motion that the object or objects made. Place an "A" at the beginning of the path; a "B" at the end of the path; and, show any changes in direction during the course.



21. IF POSSIBLE, try to guess or estimate what the real size of the object was in its longest dimension. ? Feet.

22. How large did the object or objects appear as compared with one of the following objects "held" in the hand at about arm's length?

(Circle One):
a. Head of a pin
b. Pea
c. Dime
d. Nickel
e. Quarter
(f.) Half-dollar
g. Silver dollar
h. Baseball
I. Grapefruit
j. Basketball
k. Other _____

22.1 CIRCLE ONE of the following to indicate how certain you are of your answer to Question 22.

a. Certain
(b.) Fairly certain
c. Not very sure
d. Uncertain

23. How did the object or objects disappear from view? _____

Disappeared behind tree in back yard

24. In order that you can give as clear a picture as possible of what you saw, we would like for you to imagine that you could construct the objects that you saw. Of what type MATERIAL would you make it? How LARGE would it be, and what SHAPE would it have? Describe in your own words a common object or objects which when placed up in the sky would give the same appearance as the object which you saw.

Aluminum foil balls

25. Where were you located when you saw the object? (Circle One):

(a.) Inside a building
b. In a car
c. Outdoors
d. In an airplane
e. At sea
f. Other _____

26. Were you (Circle One):

a. In the business section of city?
(b.) In the residential section of a city?
c. In open countryside?
d. Flying near an airfield?
e. Flying over a city?
f. Flying over open country?
g. Other _____

27. What were you doing at the time you saw the object, and how did you happen to notice it?

Just sitting looking out window

28. If you were MOVING IN AN AUTOMOBILE or other vehicle at the time, then complete the following questions:

28.1 What direction were you moving? (Circle One): N/A

a. North c. East e. South (g.) West
b. Northeast d. Southeast f. Southwest h. Northwest

28.2 How fast were you moving? _____ miles per hour

28.3 Did you stop at any time while observing object?

(Circle One): YES NO

29. What direction were you looking when you FIRST SAW the object?(Circle One):

a. North c. East e. South (g.) West
b. Northeast d. Southeast f. Southwest h. Northwest

30. What direction were you looking when you LAST SAW the object?(Circle One)

a. North c. East e. South g. West
b. Northeast d. Southeast (f.) Southwest (h.) Northwest

31. If you are familiar with bearing terms(angular direction), try to estimate the number of degrees the object was from true North and also the the number of degreees it was upward from the horizon(elevation)


31.1 When it first appeared:

a. From true North _____ degrees
b. From horizon _____ degrees


31.2 When it disappeared:

a. From true North _____ degrees
b. From horizon _____ degrees

32. In the following sketch, imagine that you are at the point shown. Place an "A" on the curved line to show how high the object was above the horizon (skyline) when you FIRST saw it. Place a "B" on the same curved line to show how high the object was above the horizon (skyline) when you LAST saw it.



33. In the following larger sketch place an "A" at the position the object was when you FIRST saw it, and a "B" at its position when you LAST saw it. (Refer to smaller sketch as an example of "how" to complete the larger sketch.)



33.1 Were there any KNOWN aircraft in the sky during your sighting?

(Circle One): YES (NO)

If you answered YES, was it following or attempting to intercept the Unidentified Flying Object? (Circle One): YES NO

If you answered YES, please circle TYPE of aircraft:

MILITARY? COMMERCIAL? PRIVATE? JET? PROPELLER?

How many engines did the aircraft have? _____

34. What were the weather conditions at the time you saw the object?

34.1 CLOUDS(Circle One)
(a.) Clear sky
b. Hazy
c. Scattered clouds
d. Thick or heavy clouds
e. Don't remember

34.2 WIND(Circle One)
a. No wind
(b.) Slight breeze
c. Strong wind
d. Don't remember

34.3 WEATHER(Circle One)
(a.) Dry
b. Fog, mist, or light rain
c. Moderate or heavy rain
d. Snow
e. Don't remember

34.4 TEMPERATURE(Circle One)
a. Cold
b. Cool
(c.) Warm
d. Hot
e. Don't remember

35. When did you report to some official that you had seen the object?

Official or Organization Name(s)	Day	Month	Year
_____	___	_____	____
_____	___	_____	____
_____	___	_____	____
_____	___	_____	____

36. Have you read literature pertaining to Unidentified Flying Objects?
(Circle One) YES (NO) If YES, how much? (Circle One) A LITTLE? MODERATELY? EXTENSIVELY?

37. Was anyone else with you at the time you saw the object?
(Circle One) YES (NO)
37.1 IF you answered YES, did they see the object too?
(Circle One) YES NO
37.2 Please list their names and addresses if you circled YES:
(Attach separate sheet for above)

38. Was this the first time that you had seen an object(s) like this?
(Circle One) (YES) NO
38.1 If you answered NO, then when, where, and under what circumstances did you see the other one(s)? _____

39. In your opinion, what do YOU think the object(s) was and what might have caused it?

- Edward R. Sauer

40. Do you think you can estimate the speed of the object? Page 3
(Circle One) YES (NO)
If you answered YES, then what speed would you estimate?_____MPH

41. Do you think you can estimate how far away from you the object was?
(Circle One) YES (NO)
If you answered YES, then how far away would you say it was?_____FEET

42. Did the Object(s) cause any interference with the operation of:
(Circle where applicable) RADIO? TV? LIGHTS? OTHER? _____
IF you underlined any of above, explain the interference below:

43. Was a photo taken of the Object(s)? (Circle One) YES (NO)
Would you be willing to submit a copy? (Circle One) YES NO
If you circled YES, please send copy indicating monetary reimbursement:

44. Do you belong to any Organization which investigates Unidentified Flying Objects? (Circle One) YES (NO) If YES, list them below:

45. May we publish your report if you name is kept confidential? YES NO
May we publish your report and use your name? (YES) NO
(Circle One)

47. Please give the following information about yourself:

NAME [redacted] _____ [redacted]
Last Name First Name Middle Name

ADDRESS [redacted] Connellsville Pennsylvania
Street City State

TELEPHONE NUMBER [redacted]

What is your present job? Office Secretary Assistant

AGE 22 SEX Female

Please indicate any educational training you have had: (Number of years)

a. Grade school 8 e. Technical school _____
b. High school 4 (Type of) _____
c. College 2
d. Post graduate _____ f. Other special training? _____
(Office Secretarial Training)

48. Signature [redacted] Date: 10/6/52

FLYING SAUCER REVIEW
Jan-Feb 66

20 Jul 65
Chile

Deltavolant and Disaster

The sky was clear and bright at about 4 p.m. on July 20 (date subject to confirmation), when many people living in the provinces of Antofagasta and Atacama in northern Chile saw a pale blue conical, or triangular-shaped UFO. This object, which was huge, and at a great height, later underwent a series of changes in its apparent shape, until it appeared finally as an elongated tube. When first seen, its colour was predominantly pale blue, but it had an orange tip: as the shape changed, so the colour changed to a vivid green, with an orange-coloured section. The UFO then gave out a series of tremendous explosions, and very soon afterwards an area of no less than 2,900 square kilometres up and down the coast of Chile was devastated by the most fearful hurricane known in that country's history. Thousands were made homeless and the Government declared a state of emergency, such as is customary in the case of earthquakes. Ten thousand temporary shelters had to be provided for the afflicted, and there was much fear and talk in the area, for the people believed that the disaster had been caused by the strange sky object.

We feel nothing but sympathy for the unhappy victims of this catastrophe. Maybe there was a connection between the natural disaster and the sighting of the UFO, and it is not surprising that such a conclusion should be arrived at by the people in northern Chile. However, if the UFO did cause the hurricane, it was not necessarily a *deliberate* act. In Father Felices's article about the giant flying triangle over Valladolid (see FLYING SAUCER REVIEW, November/December edition, 1965) we are told that the area of that particular object was calculated as being in the region of a square kilometre—a veritable flying city!

The reports indicate that the Antofagasta/Atacama object was of a similar kind, and from the eyewitnesses' accounts, it is not unreasonable to suggest that it was experiencing extreme mechanical troubles which culminated in the vast explosions. If this was so, then it is quite likely that immense heat was generated, indicated by the intense colour changes; heat so great that it could have caused a sudden upward surge, or convection of air, which would result in a hurricane-force inrush of winds to fill the violently-created depression.

No accounts have been seen which indicate the fate of the UFO. This omission is understandable, for who would stand to watch an object in the sky when faced by the full destructive force of an onrushing hurricane?

No Case, Information Only
Source: Saucer News, Vol. 12, No. 4
Dec 65

Chanaral, Chile
20 Jul 65

SAUCER LANDING IN CHILE: On the night of July 20th, a civil engineer named Carlos Videla Zamundio saw a UFO settle gently down to earth no more than 150 yards from the hotel where he was staying overnight, in the port city of Chanaral, in northern Chile. He was awakened at about 4 a.m. by a loud noise outside his hotel room, and looking out over the desolate beach, he saw an object shaped like a top, which landed for a period of four or five minutes.

The UFO came down vertically from the sky, and seemed to be illuminated with a strange light which produced no shadows. It had a diameter of 10 or 12 yards, and was a bright red in color. The noise diminished while the object was on the ground, but got louder again at the take-off, at which time the UFO hovered briefly at an altitude of about 30 yards above the sand, and then disappeared from sight at unbelievable speed. Apparently there were no other witnesses to this most interesting sighting, which was recounted in detail in a Buenos Aires newspaper dated July 24th, sent to us by our newly-appointed South American Editor.

The same newspaper tells of several less spectacular sightings on the night of July 23rd, in Buenos Aires itself, where numerous people saw a red and green object fly at low altitude over the city. A few nights earlier, a movie photographer named Marcelo Soria, working for TV Channel 7 in Buenos Aires, took a movie film of a UFO. Although he opened the lens as wide as he could, and filmed for several minutes, the image was so faint that it can be seen only when the film is examined carefully frame by frame.

NO CASE: Information Only
SOURCE: APRO Bulletin, Sep-Oct 65

20 Jul 65
Wayland, Massachusetts

At Wayland, Mass., a suburb of Boston, about 20 residents reported to Station WKOX on the night of the 20th that they had watched a round, silver-white UFO moving in the sky and hovering over the community. The 20 were made up of three different groups of residents who reported their sightings of the object independent of each other. They said the object, traveling from south to north, appeared to pause and hover for about 20 minutes, then "danced from side to side" before continuing its flight into the north.

NO CASE: Information Only
SOURCE: APRO Bulletin, Sep-Oct 65

20 Jul 65
Barquisimeto, Venezuela

Barquisimeto, Tocuyo State, Venezuela. On the 20th, a strange, round-shaped object was observed by many people in the afternoon. The object was estimated to be at about 12,000 feet altitude. The object gave off multicolored lights and after hovering over the city for a few seconds, it headed southeast at high speed, leaving a trail resembling a comet's tail which remained in the sky for about a half hour after the sighting.

NO CASE
INFORMATION ONLY

20-21 JULY 65

[redacted]

July 23, 1965

N. I. C. A. P.
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sirs:

I head a "flying saucer" investigation club and I believe that what I have discovered recently will prove of interest to you.

On Tuesday, July 20, 1965, an Unidentified Flying Object was sighted over the Worcester, Mass. area. The time of this sighting was about 10:30 PM

On Wednesday, July 21, 1965, at 2:15 AM, another sighting

was reported from Manchester, N. H.

The witnesses in Worcester described the objects as circular in shape, with red & green lights which seemed to be rotating around the object. The object also had a stationary yellow light in its center.

The discription by the Worcester witnesses confirmed the descriptions given by the Manchester witnesses as well as an Air Force commercial advertising plane.

These reports came over various radio stations.

However, there is one report which should play an important role in this par-

ticular series of sightings.
The report comes from the city of Woonsocket R.I. On a clear night of Tuesday, July 20, 1965, the same night as the Worcester sighting, 4 people saw a UFO at about 8:30 P.M. Again the description given by these witnesses matched the other 3 previously mentioned reports. The object sped away, moving in a northwesterly direction.

8:30 PM in Woonsocket.

10:20 P.M in Worcester.

2:15 A.M. in Manchester

These are the times + locales in which the object was sighted. By the fact that the same object was viewed in 3 different

states, the possibility of it be-
ing an hallucination is ruled
out. Also, the times at which the
object was seen indicates a
progressive movement to-
ward the north-eastern tip
of the U.S.A.

Let us examine the direct-
ional approach. The Woonsocket
witnesses stated that the ob-
ject was travelling in a
N.W direction. Two hours later,
the object was seen in Worces-
ter, Mass. which is about 55 miles
northwest of Woonsocket.
The Worcester witnesses stated
the object left in a north-east-
erly direction. Almost 4 hours
later, the object was seen in
Manchester, N.H., about 75

mile northeast of Worcester.
In conclusion, I hope
I have shown that a UFO
was seen in three different states
on the night of July 20 & 21, in
this year, 1965.

I would appreciate it if
you sent me your remarks
concerning this letter.

Thank You,

Sincerely,

[redacted]

"Central Intelligence re
Interplanetary Aircraft"

[redacted]

National Investigations
Committee on Aerial Phenomena
Washington, D.C.

Air Force

Vermont

N.H.

Maine

7/21/65
Manchester
2:15 A.M.

Nashua

Mass.

Lowell

Boston

7/20/65
10:30 P.M.
Worcester

7/20/65
8:30 P.M.
Woonsocket

Providence

Connecticut

R.I.

21 - 31 JULY 1965 SIGHTINGS

DATE	LOCATION	OBSERVER	EVALUATION
21	25.43N 152.17E (Far East)	Military	Astro (METEOR)
21	Bohemia, Maryland	[redacted]	INSUFFICIENT DATA
21	Monticello, New York	[redacted]	AIRCRAFT
21	Corpus Christi, Texas	[redacted]	BALLOON
22	Forbes AFB, Kansas	Military	Astro (PARHELIA)
22	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	Multiple	Astro (METEOR SHOWER)
22	Heidelberg, Pennsylvania	[redacted]	Astro (METEOR)
22	Dayton, Ohio	[redacted]	Astro (METEOR)
22	Normal, Illinois	[redacted]	AIRCRAFT
23	Kelso, Washington	[redacted]	SATELLITE
24	Lincoln, Nebraska	Multiple	AIRCRAFT
24	Anacortes, Washington	Military	Astro (METEOR)
25	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	[redacted]	INSUFFICIENT DATA
25	Gales Ferry, Connecticut	[redacted]	INSUFFICIENT DATA
25	Bloomingsburg, New York	[redacted]	AIRCRAFT
25	Dayton, Ohio	[redacted]	Astro (METEOR)
25	Clinton County, Ohio	[redacted]	AIRCRAFT
25	Battle Creek, Michigan	[redacted]	SATELLITE
25	Dayton, Ohio	[redacted]	SATELLITE
25	Castalia, Ohio	[redacted]	UNIDENTIFIED
25	Dayton, Ohio	[redacted]	SATELLITE
25	Truax Field, Wisconsin	Military	AIRCRAFT
25	Bruni, Texas	[redacted]	SATELLITE
25	Winnetka, Illinois	[redacted]	SATELLITE
25	Dayton, Ohio	[redacted]	SATELLITE
25	Chicago, Illinois	[redacted]	SATELLITE
25	Dayton, Ohio	[redacted]	INSUFFICIENT DATA
26	Cumberland, Maryland	[redacted] (PHOTO)	1. Photo: Other (POOR PHOTO PROCESSING 2. Visual: INSUFFICIENT DATA
26	Richards Gebaur AFB, Missouri	[redacted]	SATELLITE
26	Nashville, Tennessee	Multiple	AIRCRAFT
26	Albuquerque, New Mexico	[redacted]	Astro (METEOR)
26	Covina, California	[redacted]	Other (CONFLICTING DATA)
26	Pike County, Missouri	[redacted]	AIRCRAFT
27	Mt. Lebanon, Pennsylvania	[redacted]	1. SATELLITE 2. AIRCRAFT
27	Williston, South Carolina	[redacted]	INSUFFICIENT DATA
27	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	Civilian	1./Astro (MARS) 2. Astro (METEORS)
27	Yosemite, California	[redacted]	AIRCRAFT
28	Aguada, Puerto Rico	[redacted] PHOTOS)	Photo: 1. Other (NO IMAGE) 2. AIRCRAFT
28	Carswell AFB, Texas	[redacted]	AIRCRAFT
28	Chicago, Illinois	[redacted]	SATELLITE
28	Spokane, Washington	Multiple	Astro (ALTAIR/ARCTURUS)
29-30	Twin Falls, Idaho	[redacted]	Astro (METEORS)
30	East Coast United States	Civilian	INSUFFICIENT DATA
30	Dayton, Ohio	[redacted]	Astro (METEOR)
30	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	[redacted]	Astro (METEOR)
30	Bellingham, Washington	[redacted]	SATELLITE
31	Connersville, Indiana	[redacted]	INSUFFICIENT DATA
30	Watauga, S. D. CASE MISSING	[redacted]	Astro (STARS/PLANETS)

21 - 31 JULY 1965 SIGHTINGS

DATE	LOCATION	OBSERVER	EVALUATION
31	Elmhurst, Illinois	Foran	1. Astro (METEOR) 2. Astro (MOON)
[redacted]	Midwest Flap	Separate (FOLDER) Multiple RADAR PHOTO	Other (INVERSION EFFECTS

Aug 31 - Sep 3

ADDITIONAL REPORTED SIGHTINGS (NOT CASES)

DATE	LOCATION	SOURCE	EVALUATION
21	Hingham, Mass	Fowler (NICAP RPT)	
21	Greytown, South Africa	News Clipping	
21	Congonhas, Brazil	" "	
21	Columbia River Basin, Wash.	" "	
22	San Pablo, Brazil	" "	
23	Ubeda, Spain	" "	
23	Helsinki, Finland	" "	
24	Brampton, England	" "	
24	Salem, Oregon area	" "	
24	Lima, Peru	" "	
25	Andalusia, Spain	" "	
25	Terceira Island, Azores	" "	
25	Diamante, Argentina	" "	
25	Caracas, Venezuela	" "	
26	Bloomingburg, N. Y.	Shaver (Ltr)	
27	Carnarvon, Australia	News Clipping	
27	San Sebastian, Spain	" "	
27	Brazil	" "	
27	Lima, Peru	" "	
27	Alicante, Spain	" "	
28	Chincheros, Peru	" "	
28	Maracaibo, Venezuela	" "	
29	Dayton, Ohio	MR (Lt Harriman)	
29	Lima, Peru	News Clipping	
30	Oregon, Washington area	" "	
30	Vila de Beluca, Chile	" "	
30	Cumana, Venezuela	" "	
30	Sintra, Spain	" "	
31	Goonumbla, New South Wales	" "	
31	Benton City, Washington	" "	
31	Sydney, Nebraska	" "	
31	Oklahoma City, Okla.	" "	
31	Mt. Airy, N. C.	Parries (Ltr & News Clipping)	
31	N. Weymouth, Mass.	Fowler (NICAP RPT)	

1. DATE - T ɛ GROUP 25 July 65 / X	2. LOCATION Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS Multiple	Nebulous report of multiple sightings summary of investigating officer states that reports are result of publicity.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS General comment that objects are being reported by residents in the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Area.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Not Stated	
8. PHOTOS ☐ Yes XX No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes XX No	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

25 DOT Letters
Include UFO

22 Jul 65 — At approximately 2030 - 2100 hours this date many calls were received from individuals also News Media concerning observations of UFO's. The general description, cigar shaped with red exhaust. The Weather Bureau, FAA, Allegheny Observatory and Bulhl Planatarium were contacted. The Observatory and Planatarium concluded that the objects sighted were Meteor Showers. News Media publicized same. As of this date, the News Media has given much publicity to UFO's.

25 Jul 65 — Several reports received from residents of the Pittsburgh area. General description, oval shaped orange disc's traveling in various directions.

27 Jul 65 — Several reports received from local residents. Orange sphere sighted 2130 - 2200 hours. Sphere rotated back and forth, stopped, then rotated and disappeared over the horizon, no flame, no noise, also reports of cigar shaped objects, same type reported 22 Jul 65.

1 Aug 65 — Several reports received. Silver sphere object sighted. One report stated silver shaped saucer, small oval windows seen 1630 hours. Further questioning revealed report unreliable.

3 Aug 65 — Report received of high pitched whine heard 0335 hours. However, individual saw nothing.

4 Aug 65 — Report received of red haze in the sky 2140 hours, object sighted football in shape, no sound, about size of north star, disappeared over horizon.

7 Aug 65 — Report received, red object sighted revolving as it traveled. Object brighter in the center, moving at a fast rate of speed.

11 Aug 65 — Report received, round shaped bright orange object moving at a fast rate of speed, made a whistling sound, came down low and flew over residence. Also another report of flying saucer type object moving at a fast rate of speed. Further investigation revealed report unreliable.

SUMMARY: The inspecting officer has observed nothing to date. All reports are checked and most reports to our knowledge are unreliable and possible hoaxes. Publicity recently given UFO's we feel has stimulated telephone reports and because of the number of reports, forms have been reproduced for collecting initial information, which is checked further by the Information Officer at a later date. No releases by this organization concerning UFO's have been made to the news media. SAFOI has been contacted and the current report on Project Blue Book has been received. Names, addresses and phone numbers of observers reporting UFO's are kept on file. The FAA, Weather Bureau, other military installations in the area, Allegheny Observatory, Pulhl Planatarium have been informed to contact this organization when UFO's are sighted.

Mch-61

Reported Sightings	Observer
22 Jul 1965	Mr. [redacted] Tower, Gtr Pgh Aprt Terminal Bldg.
	Mr. [redacted], Mars, Pa.
	Mr. [redacted] Pgh 10, Pa.
	Mr. [redacted], Pgh 7, Pa.
	Mr. [redacted] Pgh 7, Pa.
	Mr. [redacted], Ucon, Pa.
	Mr. [redacted] Mt. Lebanon
25 Jul 1965.....	Miss [redacted], Pgh, Pa.
	Mr. [redacted], Churchill Borough.
	Miss [redacted], Pgh, Pa.
	Mrs. [redacted], E. McKeesport, Pa.
27 Jul 1965.....	Mr. [redacted] Apt 12, Pa.
	Mrs. [redacted], Pgh 5, Pa.
1 Aug 1965.....	Mr. [redacted], Pa.
4 Aug 1965.....	Mr. [redacted], Homewood, Pa.
7 Aug 1965.....	Mr. [redacted], Pgh, Pa.
	(Tel [redacted]
	Mr. [redacted], Allison Park, Pa.
	(Tel [redacted]
11 Aug 1965.....	Mr. [redacted], Pgh, Pa.
	(Tel [redacted]
	Mrs. [redacted], New Bethelhem, Pa.
	(Tel [redacted]
	Mrs. [redacted], Pgh 11, Pa.
	Tel [redacted]

page 12

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 27 July 65 28/0230Z	2. LOCATION Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Astronomical (1. MARS - 2. METEORS) Mars disappearing below the horizon at the reported time of sighting is a likely cause of the orange object. Azimuth not reported. MARS at 260 deg azimuth. Object on 22 July evaluated as Meteors by Allegheny Observatory.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS Multiple	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Orange sphere rotating back and forth. Disappeared over the horizon. Also cigar shaped objects similar to objects reported on 22 July.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Varied	
8. PHOTOS ☐ Yes XX No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes XX No	

Incorrect Data

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

27 2810231-2

22 Jul 65 — At approximately 2030 - 2100 hours this date many calls were received from individuals also News Media concerning observations of UFO's. The general description, cigar shaped with red exhaust. The Weather Bureau, FAA, Allegheny Observatory and Bulhl Planatarium were contacted. The Observatory and Planatarium concluded that the objects sighted were Meteor Showers. News Media publicized same. As of this date, the News Media has given much publicity to UFO's.

25 Jul 65 — Several reports received from residents of the Pittsburgh area. General description, oval shaped orange disc's traveling in various directions.

27 Jul 65 — Several reports received from local residents. Orange sphere sighted 2130 - 2200 hours. Sphere rotated back and forth, stopped, then rotated and disappeared over the horizon, no flame, no noise, also reports of cigar shaped objects, same type reported 22 Jul 65.

1 Aug 65 — Several reports received. Silver sphere object sighted. One report stated silver shaped saucer, small oval windows seen 1630 hours. Further questioning revealed report unreliable.

3 Aug 65 — Report received of high pitched whine heard 0335 hours. However, individual saw nothing.

4 Aug 65 — Report received of red haze in the sky 2140 hours, object sighted football in shape, no sound, about size of north star, disappeared over horizon.

7 Aug 65 — Report received, red object sighted revolving as it traveled. Object brighter in the center, moving at a fast rate of speed.

11 Aug 65 — Report received, round shaped bright orange object moving at a fast rate of speed, made a whistling sound, came down low and flew over residence. Also another report of flying saucer type object moving at a fast rate of speed. Further investigation revealed report unreliable.

SUMMARY: The inspecting officer has observed nothing to date. All reports are checked and most reports to our knowledge are unreliable and possible hoaxes. Publicity recently given UFO's we feel has stimulated telephone reports and because of the number of reports, forms have been reproduced for collecting initial information, which is checked further by the Information Officer at a later date. No releases by this organization concerning UFO's have been made to the news media. SAFOI has been contacted and the current report on Project Blue Book has been received. Names, addresses and phone numbers of observers reporting UFO's are kept on file. The FAA, Weather Bureau, other military installations in the area, Allegheny Observatory, Pulhl Planatarium have been informed to contact this organization when UFO's are sighted.

Atch #1

Reported Sightings	Observer
22 Jul 1965	Mr. [redacted] Pgh Aprt Terminal Bldg. (Tel [redacted] Mr. [redacted], Mars, Pa. (Tel [redacted] Mr. [redacted], Pgh 10, Pa. (Tel [redacted] Mr. [redacted], Pgh 7, Pa. (Tel [redacted] Mr. [redacted] 205 Flowers Ave., Pgh 7, Pa. (Tel [redacted] Mr. [redacted]. (Tel [redacted] Mr. [redacted] Mt. Lebanon (Tel [redacted]
25 Jul 1965.....	Miss [redacted], Pgh, Pa. (Tel [redacted] Mr. [redacted] urchill Borough. (Tel [redacted] Miss [redacted] e., Pgh, Pa. [redacted] Mrs. [redacted], E. McKeesport, Pa. (Tel [redacted])
27 Jul 1965.....	Mr. [redacted]., Apt 12, Squirell Hill Ave., Pgh, Pa. Mrs. [redacted], Pgh 5, Pa. (Tel [redacted]
1 Aug 1965.....	Mr. [redacted] s, Pa. ([redacted]
4 Aug 1965.....	Mr. [redacted] Homewood, Pa.. (Tel [redacted]
7 Aug 1965.....	Mr. [redacted], Pa. (Tel [redacted] Mr. [redacted] son Park, Pa. (Tel [redacted])
11 Aug 1965.....	Mr. [redacted] p, Pgh, Pa. (Tel [redacted] Mrs [redacted], New Bethelhem, Pa. (Tel [redacted] Mrs. [redacted] ve., Pgh 14, Pa. Tel [redacted]