

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 26 July 1965 27/0229Z	2. LOCATION Cumberland, Maryland
3. SOURCE Civilian P	10. CONCLUSION Poor Photo Processing
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS ONE	Visual: INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Two negatives and prints were submitted for analysis. Conclusion: Poor Photo Processing. No other info given.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Not Reported	
8. PHOTOS ☒ Yes ☐ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

FORM

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

This case includes one (1) $3\frac{1}{4}'' \times 4\frac{1}{2}''$
photograph.

DIETL

TDEW/UFO

JAN 11 1966

Photo Analysis Report

Hq USAF SAFOICC (Mrs, Hunt)

We are returning the photographs submitted by Mr. [redacted] to the United States Air Force for evaluation. The negatives, two prints, and a copy of the photo analysis report are attached. No object can be depicted on the photographs. Since details of the sighting were omitted in Mr. [redacted] letter, the case is carried in Air Force files as "Insufficient Data."

FOR THE COMMANDER

ERIC T. de JONCKHEERE, Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology and Subsystems

- 3 Atchs
1. Ltr Mr. [redacted]
2. Photo Analysis Report
3. Two Prints

ORIGINATOR David L. [redacted] T/SGT
TDEW/UFO Maj. H. Quintanilla, Jr.

DATE 11 JAN 66

TDEW

W. S. [redacted] Col

DATE 11 Jan 66

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

Office of Record

TDEW/UFO

UNCLASSIFIED

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 65-60

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

DATE OF REPORT 29 DECEMBER 1965

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

LOCATION CUMBERLAND, MARYLAND

DATE 26 JULY 1965

PHOTOGRAPHY

AF _____ IR _____ QUALITY POOR

P MRS 2-PHOTO PRINTS & NEGATIVES

1. PURPOSE: This is a response to Work Order Number 65-93 submitted by Major H. Quintanilla, Jr., TDEW/UFO, for analysis of attached negatives and prints. The following analysis was conducted by TDDPA (Photo Analysis) and TDDPP (Photo Processing) personnel.

2. ANALYSIS: Close study of the negative indicates poor processing, resulting in various markings on the negative and prints. Positive identification of an object reportedly sighted by Mr. [REDACTED] at the time of photography, cannot be made from the attached prints and negative.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

W. R. Kinney
W. R. KINNEY
Intelligence Research Specialist

APPROVED BY:

Richard L. Chance
RICHARD L. CHANCE
Captain, USAF
Chief, Photo Analysis Division

WILBER PRICE, JR.
Director, Photo Exploitation Directorate

UNCLASSIFIED

DIETL

26 JULY 1965
2112292

ENGINEERING SUPPORT WORK REQUEST

☐ ENGINEERING ANALYSIS , ☒ PHOTO ANALYSIS ☐ MACHINE COMPUTATION

PPT NUMBER: A6010301

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Request analysis of the two attached photographs.

REFERENCE MATERIAL: Two photographs, one negative strip and letter from [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Request all reference material be returned upon completion of analysis.

REQUESTED BY [Signature] SYMBOL TDEH/ISO PHONE 69216

I CERTIFY THAT THIS WORK IS AUTHORIZED BY ME AND THAT IT HAS THE FOLLOWING PRIORITY AND DEADLINE WITHIN MY DIVISION.

PRIORITY

9 Sep 65

DEADLINE DATE

[Signature] TDEP

SIGNATURE OF APPROVING DIVISION CHIEF

10 Aug 65

DATE

ENGINEERING SUPPORT MONITOR _____ ASSISTANT _____

FINAL WORK TO BE IN FORM OF :

☐ ENGINEERING NOTE ☐ COMPUTATION ☐ PI REPORT ☐ DRAWING ☐ PROGRAM

WORK REQUEST APPROVED BY: _____ DEADLINE DATE _____

PRIORITY NUMBER ☐ ONE ☐ TWO ☐ THREE

65-73
WORK ORDER NUMBER

[REDACTED]
Cumberland, Maryland 21502
July 30, 1965

FAA
Martinsburg Municipal Airport
P. O. Box 1030
Martinsburg, West Virginia

Gentlemen:

I think these two photos of an UFO will be of interest to you since the report went through your facilities. It was taken at 21:29R, on July 26th from my home.

Both photos are over-exposed through my own fault. They were taken at F 3.5, number one exposed about 8 seconds, number two from 3-5 seconds (educated guessing). The UFO appears as a thin, jerky line. The jerkyness was probably caused by nervous hands on the camera.

In photo one, the line seems to separate. This was caused by the fluctuating brightness of the object (there were no clouds in that portion of the sky), which brightened and dimmed at regular intervals throughout the sighting.

In photo number 2, the UFO appears as a barely visible line at the bottom center of the picture. The shutter was held open until the object was out of sight, below the roof.

Immediately after finishing the second photo, I contacted Martinsburg F.S.S. by telephone. You had no information indicating any object, aircraft, or otherwise, that fit my description of the U.F.O.

I want to thank you for the excellent cooperation you gave me in filing the reports with the proper authorities. You may keep the photos if you wish.

Very truly yours,

[REDACTED]

Encs.

~~_____~~edford Rd.
Cumberland, Maryland 21502



F. A. A.
Martinsburg Municipal Airport
P. O. Box 1030
Martinsburg, West Virginia

[REDACTED]
Cumberland, Maryland 21502
July 29, 1965

U. F. O.
Pentagon Headquarters, U. S. A. F.
Washington, D. C.

Gentlemen:

Enclosed are two photographs of an unidentified flying object which appeared over Cumberland, Maryland, at 01:29Z on July 26, 1965. I will be glad to offer you any information I can about the sighting and the photos.

According to the personnel at Martinsburg Flight Service Station, Martinsburg, West Virginia, the report should have gone through them to Washington Air Route Traffic Control Center and then to the Air Defense Command. I don't know if it has reached you yet, but if you need a "recap", I will be glad to supply it.

Both of the photographs, through my own fault, were grossly over-exposed. I was experimenting with a roll of Kodak Tri-X-Pan, and for the first time, taking pictures of the stars. Both of the photos were taken at F 3.5, the exact exposure time unknown. I would roughly estimate about 8 seconds for number one and 3.5 seconds for the second photo.

It will be appreciated very much if you advise me of the results of your evaluation. Please return the negatives to me when you are finished with them.

My home phone number is [REDACTED]

Your cooperation will be appreciated very much.

Very truly yours,
[REDACTED]

Encs.


Cumberland, Maryland 21502



U. F. O.

Pentagon Headquarters, U. S. A. F.

Washington, D. C.

26 Jul 65

Mrs. Hunt/bp/SAFOICC/72842/25 July, 66

JUL 26 1966

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

The negatives which you submitted to the Air Force for evaluation are returned herewith along with two prints and the photo analysis report.

Thank you for reporting to the Air Force.

Sincerely,

- 2 Atchs
1. Negative, plus prints
2. PI Report

GEORGE P. FREEMAN, JR.
Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

Mr. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Cumberland, Maryland 21502

COORDINATED By (Office Symbol, Name, Grade, Date)			
SAFOI-CC	SAFOI-C		
[Signature]			
[Signature]			

Coord cy - SAF-OIC
Comb cy - SAF-DIC
Reader cy - SAF-OIC
Activity cy - SAF-OIC
Summary

up

~~_____~~
Cumberland
Maryland 21502
June 17, 1966

U. F. O.
Pentagon Headquarters, U. S. A. F.
Washington, D. C.

Gentlemen:

With regard to the attached letter of July 29, 1965,
please advise the results of your evaluation. Could the negatives
and photographs be returned to me?

Your cooperation is very much appreciated.

Very truly yours,



Enc.

JUL • 65

