

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 30 March 66 30/2145Z	2. LOCATION Wall Township, New Jersey (1 Witness)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION ✓ JMA
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 10 Minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer noted saucer shaped object that came out of the clouds and leveled off and stopped in mid-air. It sounded like an airplane at first. A loud whistling and humming sound. The object came from the south and after pausing for a while, made a "V" turn and sped off again in a southerly direction. Without assential data such as camera data and focal length and distance to object, further analysis is not warrented.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Southerly	
8. PHOTOS ☒ Yes ☐ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

Control Organization
Freehold, New Jersey 07728

~~Curis Ave~~
West Belmar
N.J.

April 14, 1966

Dear Sirs:

These pictures were taken
March 30, 1966 off Romshorn
Drive at the GEO. Mueller
Gravel pits in Allenwood.

I was out there walking
my dog and had a
camera with me.

I was taking pictures of
the pond and the house
we were living in at the
time. As we were moving
the next day to W. Belmar.

Suddenly, I heard
a loud whistling humming
noise and then it

TDETR /Maj H Quintanilla, Jr/70916/mhs/1 Nov 66

UFO Sighting, 30 March 1966

NOV 2 1966

Hq USAF (SAFOICC)

1. Reference the attached UFO report and negatives which were forwarded to our office by [redacted] of the Civil Defense and Disaster Control Organization, Freehold, New Jersey. The photographs are the property of [redacted] however, it is suggested that they be returned to Mr. [redacted] and that he forward them to Mr. [redacted].

2. Information necessary for a more detailed analysis would include focal length of the camera and lens data. Due to having only the focus position settings available, a check was made of local sources to determine the focal length. This revealed only that the camera was a cheap make, not for sale on the open market, and primarily made for premium distributions. A camera of this type would normally use standardized parts and probably have a focal length of approximately three inches. Assuming an approximately three inch focal length, several sizes were computed for selected ranges between ten ft. and one mile. The only range available from the observer is found on the back of one of his original prints with comments. A range of approximately 1320 feet reflects this estimate. If such a range is used for calculation purposes then the alleged object would have an approximate diameter of 52.8 ft. Further analysis would require reliable estimates of distance from camera to object (range) for each photograph and exact focal length of the camera.

FOR THE COMMANDER

L. De Goes
LOUIS DE GOES, Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology and Subsystems

1 Atch
Correspondence, photos &
negatives on sighting
30 March 1966

COORDINATION

[Signature]
TDETR/MAJ HQUINTANILLA, JR

DATE *1 Nov 66*

TDET

DATE *1 Nov 66*

TDETR OFFICIAL FILE CY

might be a plane.

Then I looked up in the sky which was partially cloudy that day.

I saw something ^{dying} ~~climb~~ out of a cloud then hovered over me and it was pointed down as if watching me.

^{It} ~~Then~~ then shot back into the clouds like a bullet.

I was quick enough to think to take some pictures of it.

These I am enclosing. If you do not think it is true or not.

would you please
send them back.

I would appreciate it.
because I can not find
the negatives which are
lying around the house
misplaced.

Sincerely,

~~James Earl Ray~~
~~Ray, James Earl~~ VO

P.S. I am 13 years
old.

P.S. I found the negatives

ENGINEERING SUPPORT WORK REQUEST

☐

ENGINEERING ANALYSIS

☒

PHOTO ANALYSIS

☐

MACHINE COMPUTATION

PPT NUMBER: A5010212

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Request a additional analysis be performed on the attached photographs as to what the unidentified object may be.

Additional information has been received on this sighting as so requested. Complete analysis is necessary due to the newspaper publicity given the sighting.

(30 March 1966, West Belmar, New Jersey sighting)

REFERENCE MATERIAL: Eight (8) negatives; five (5) 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ "x3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " prints, with remarks on back; eight (8) 3" x 10" prints; Correspondence on sighting; newspaper clippings. Request all material be returned upon completion of analysis.

REQUESTED BY Major Hunter McIntosh, Jr. SYMBOL TDETR PHONE 70016/76573

I CERTIFY THAT THIS WORK IS AUTHORIZED BY ME AND THAT IT HAS THE FOLLOWING PRIORITY AND DEADLINE WITHIN MY DIVISION.

PRIORITY

DEADLINE DATE

SIGNATURE OF APPROVING DIVISION CHIEF

DATE

ENGINEERING SUPPORT MONITOR _____ ASSISTANT _____
FINAL WORK TO BE IN FORM OF :

☐

ENGINEERING NOTE

☐

COMPUTATION

☐

PI REPORT

☐

DRAWING

☐

PROGRAM

WORK REQUEST APPROVED BY: _____ DEADLINE DATE _____

PRIORITY NUMBER

☐

ONE

☐

TWO

☐

THREE

WORK ORDER NUMBER

~~_____~~
West Belmar, N.J.

Civil Defense & Disaster Control
of County of Monmouth

Dear Sirs,

Thank you for your
reply to my letter containing
pictures of U.F.O.

My sister wrote the
letter for me and maybe
she got my name wrong.

My name is ~~_____~~

~~_____~~ not ~~_____~~
~~_____~~

You have my permission
to refer the snapshots to
the newspapers or anyone who

2.

can help tell us what
they are as my sister
said them also and heard
weird swises over her
automobile and we both
want to hear what they
are.

Thank You,

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~
Wall Central High School
West Belmar, N.J.

TDEW/Major Quintanilla/70916

JUN 29 1966

UFO Sighting, 30 March 1966


Civil Defense and Disaster Control Organization
County of Monmouth
Hall of Records
Attn: Frank L. Wilgus, Coordinator

1. Reference your letter of 16 May 1966 regarding the unidentified observation and photographs of [REDACTED]. Additional information is needed to make an accurate photo analysis.

a. Focal Length - which of the two settings was used


b. Type Camera - who was the lens manufacturer

2. Upon completion of analysis we will return the negatives to your office.



ERIC T. de JONCKHEERE, Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology and Subsystems

COORDINATION
ORIGINATOR:

TDEW/UFO


MAJ H QUINTANILLA, JR


DATED 28 JUN 66


TDEW

DATED 28 JUN 66

TDEW/UFO OFFICIAL FILE CY

Civil Defense and Disaster Control Organization



County of Monmouth

HALL OF RECORDS

FREEHOLD, NEW JERSEY 07728

JOSEPH C. IRWIN
Director
FRANK L. WILGUS
Coordinator

Deputy Coordinators
HAROLD J. ROWLAND
Neptune City, N. J.
RAYMOND VAN DERHOEF SR.
Englishtown N. J.
LEONARD A. MACK
Fair Haven N. J.
ARTHUR VAN BUSKIRK
Keyport, N. J.

July 1, 1966

Col. Eric T. de Jonckheere,
Deputy for Technology and Subsystems,
Foreign Technology Division,
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. 45433

Att: Major Quintanilla/ 70916

Sirs,

In regard to the unidentified observation and photographs of ~~Wall Township, N.J.~~ of Wall Township, N.J., I believe I sent you all the information I had.

Your question of which focal length was used: Two focal lengths - 1-15 ft., and 15-ft to infinity. The 15 ft. to infinity length was used.

On the type camera - This is an 88-cent edition of the PALA. Uses 120 film. Kodak film was used.

Sincerely,

Frank Wilgus
Coordinator

ENGINEERING SUPPORT WORK REQUEST

☐

ENGINEERING ANALYSIS

☒

PHOTO ANALYSIS

☐

MACHINE COMPUTATION

PPT NUMBER: A6010301

DESCRIPTION OF WORK:

Request analysis of the attached photographs and negatives as to what the unidentified object may be. Complete analysis is necessary due to the newspaper publicity given the sighting. (30 March 1966, West Belmar, New Jersey sighting)

ORIGINAL FILE COPY
E.W./as
Office of Research

REFERENCE MATERIAL: Eight (8) negatives; five (5) 3½"x3½" prints, with remarks on back; eight (8) 8" x 10" prints; Correspondence on sighting; newspaper clippings. Request all material be returned upon completion of analysis.

REQUESTED BY W.F.M. SYMBOL TRE/UFO PHONE 70316/76673

I CERTIFY THAT THIS WORK IS AUTHORIZED BY ME AND THAT IT HAS THE FOLLOWING PRIORITY AND DEADLINE WITHIN MY DIVISION.

PRIORITY _____ DEADLINE DATE 28 Jun 66 SIGNATURE OF APPROVING DIVISION CHIEF _____ DATE _____

ENGINEERING SUPPORT MONITOR _____ ASSISTANT _____
FINAL WORK TO BE IN FORM OF :

☐ ENGINEERING NOTE ☐ COMPUTATION ☐ PI REPORT ☐ DRAWING ☐ PROGRAM

WORK REQUEST APPROVED BY: _____ DEADLINE DATE _____

PRIORITY NUMBER ☐ ONE ☐ TWO ☐ THREE

WORK ORDER NUMBER

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 66-30

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

DATE OF REPORT 28 July 1966

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT ANALYSIS

LOCATION WALL TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY

DATE 30 March 1966

PHOTOGRAPHY

AF _____ IR _____ QUALITY _____

P NRS _____

Eight negatives, five 3½" x 3½" prints, and eight 8" x 10" prints

1. PURPOSE: This report is written for Major H. Quintanilla, Jr., TDEW, in answer to Work Order Number 66-66 requesting additional analysis be performed as to what the unidentified object may be. Results of previous analysis were reported in Photo Analysis Report 66-25.
2. ANALYSIS: Photo Analysis Report 66-25 stated that information necessary for a more detailed analysis would include focal length of the camera and lens data. Due to having only the focus position settings available, a check was made of local sources to determine the focal length. This revealed only that the camera was a cheap make, not for sale on the open market, and primarily made for premium distributions. A camera of this type would normally use standardized parts and probably have a focal length of approximately 3 inches.
3. Assuming an approximate 3 inch focal length, several sizes were computed for selected ranges between 10 feet and 1 mile. The only range available from the observer is found on the back of one of his original prints with comments, annotated #2. The comment is as follows:

".....I'd say it was about a quarter of a mile up."

The range of 1320 feet reflects this estimate. Photograph #2 was used for these calculations as it is the only one with an estimated range available. The results were as follows:

Observer estimated range - 1320 feet - diameter 52.8 feet
 at range of - 10 feet - diameter .4 feet
 at range of - 15 feet - diameter .6 feet
 at range of - 20 feet - diameter .8 feet
 at range of - 50 feet - diameter 2 feet
 at range of - 100 feet - diameter 4 feet
 at range of - 5280 feet - diameter 211 feet

4. Further analysis would require reliable estimates of distance from camera to object (range) for each photograph and exact focal length of the camera.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

Charles A. Burton
 CHARLES A. BURTON
 Intelligence Research Specialist

APPROVED BY:

Richard L. Chance
 RICHARD L. CHANCE
 Captain, USAF
 Chief, Photo Analysis Division
Wilber Price, Jr.
 WILBER PRICE, JR.
 Director, Photo Exploitation Dire.

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 66-25

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

DATE OF REPORT 10 June 1966

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT ANALYSIS

LOCATION WALL TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY

DATE 30 March 1966

PHOTOGRAPHY

AF _____ IR _____ QUALITY _____

P NRS _____

Eight negatives, five 3½" x 3½" prints, and eight 8" x 10" prints

1. PURPOSE: This report is written for Major H. Quintanilla, TDEW/UFO, in answer to Work Order Number 66-57 requesting analysis as to what the unidentified object may be.
2. ANALYSIS: Analysis was conducted jointly by the Photo Analysis Division, TDDPA, and the Photo Processing Division, TDDPP.
3. The object which appears in 4 of the sequence of 8 photographs, appears to be circular in design and to have a domed type upper surface. A cylindrical object protrudes from the upper surface. The image appears to be a true image. The information forwarded by Mr. Frank L. Wilgus, as to the camera being of a cheap type, appears to be correct in that the film was not held tight against the back of the camera. There is no apparent evidence of any tampering with the negatives or multiple exposure.
4. Information necessary for a more detailed analysis would include focal length of the camera and lens data. With the focal length available, the image could be sized using the distance from camera to object (range) provided by the photographer. The measurements would be accurate in proportion to the accuracy of the range data.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

Charles A. Burton

CHARLES A. BURTON
Lt., USAF
Photo Interpreter


APPROVED BY:

Larry L. Chance

for RICHARD L. CHANCE
Capt., USAF
Chief, Photo Analysis Division

Wilber Price, Jr.
WILBER PRICE, JR.
Director,
Photo Exploitation Directorate

Civil Defense and Disaster Control Organization



County of Monmouth

HALL OF RECORDS

FREEHOLD, NEW JERSEY 07728

JOSEPH C. IRWIN
Director
FRANK L. WILGUS
Coordinator

Deputy Coordinators
HAROLD J. ROWLAND
Neptune City, N. J.
RAYMOND VAN DERHOEF SR.
Englishtown N. J.
LEONARD A. MACK
Fair Haven N. J.
ARTHUR VAN BUSKIRK
Keyport, N. J.

May 16, 1966

Major Hector Quintanilla,
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base,
Foreign Technology Division,
Dayton, Ohio.

Dear Major,

As per our telephone conversation of Friday, May 13, I am sending you all the information I have on this reported UFO.


I have no personal comment on its being right or wrong, but at the behest of authorities at Fort Monmouth, N.J., am sending it all to you.

In regard to your questions:

1. The \$1.00 camera used has two positions, under 15 feet and from 15 feet to infinity.
2. The UFO was first seen, he says, at about 3,000 feet. Then dropped down to about 600 to 750 feet.
3. The inexpensive camera has no F stops, only a spring-operated shutter.
4. It uses 120 film, kodak, and the film was developed locally.
5. The camera is a Pala, or something like that. Not a standard make.

I hope this will help you. I would appreciate your returning the film, if at all possible.

Sincerely,


Frank L. Wilgus
Coordinator



OUTER SPACE VISITOR? — In the circle is a saucer-shaped object which had hovered over 13-year-old Robert J. Salvo, of Wall Township, March 30, he said. He was taking pictures of his Collie dog, Lassie, when this appeared and he snapped the picture. Superimposed on photo is picture of the youth with his camera, ready for a return visit.

Sights 'Saucer,' Snaps Same

WALL TOWNSHIP — A 13-year-old West Belmar boy, who

hopes some day to be an astronaut, may have had a quick inspection from astronauts — or something — from another planet.

Because the Salvo family, now at 1128 Curtis Ave., were about to move from their home on Ramshorn Dr., young Robert J. Salvo wanted some last minute pictures of his dog, Lassie, at the George Mueller gravel pit.

It was about 4:30 p.m. Tuesday, March 30, when he left his mother and older sister, who were busy packing away the last of the household goods, and headed for the pit, his inexpensive five-

"I was taking some pictures," he said yesterday, "when suddenly Lassie began to bark. I looked around and saw nothing. Then I looked into the cloudy sky.

"It sounded like an airplane at first," he continued, "I heard a harmful rays shot from saucers, loud whistling, humming noise.

"It looked as big as a jet and about as high. As it came closer, I saw that it was saucer-shaped.

Object Stops
"I couldn't believe it at first," he exclaimed.

The object leveled off and stopped in mid-air. It turned to one side and appeared to be observing him, he added.

Remembering what he had seen in television shows about harmful rays shot from saucers, he said he hid behind a hill as it hovered overhead. He reached out and pulled his dog to safety, too, he added.

The object, he said, came from the south and after pausing for a while, made a U-turn and sped off again in a southerly direction.

He thinks he saw a window in the black dome. The base appeared shiny and was reflecting the sun, he said.

From his shelter behind a hill, he said he took five pictures, but only three came out.

"My hands were shaking," he said. The object remained visible for about 10 minutes before it sped off, he added.

Sent Photos

His mother, Mrs. Elsie Salvo, added that she did not see it but told her son to send the pictures and a letter to the county Civil Defense office if he was sincere about his story. He did.

His sister, Miss Dee Salvo, added that she had seen an object shortly before this that was flashing blue, red and white lights. Neighbors with her also saw it, she said.

The colorful lights were tear-shaped and bunched together, forming an oblong or flattened circle, she said.

Both said they were well familiar with airplane lights but this was different.

"I was frightened that night," she recalled, "and slept on the couch." She could not remember how high it was. It flew from an east to west direction, she said.

6th Grader

Young Robert is a sixth grade pupil in the Wall Central school. Like most boys, he collects model airplanes, likes Batman

and amateur radio. He has a citizens-band walkie-talkie and listens to many of the conversations that he can pick up on his one-channel set.


He also is interested in the space program and has a model Gemini rocket in his room.

After seeing this object, he has subscribed to a flying saucer magazine to learn more about them.

When the letter and pictures were received by the Monmouth County Civil Defense and Disaster Control office, Freehold, they were referred to Army authorities at Fort Monmouth.

The negatives indicated no retouching, Frank L. Wilgus, county CD co-ordinator, said. He said that the pictures were thoroughly examined before the story and pictures were released to the public.

Neither the Army nor Mr. Wilgus commented on the object when the report was released yesterday.



Robert Salvo, 13, of Wall Township, the boy who photographed an unidentified flying object, tries his camera on his collie, Lassie. (Press Photo)

USED 88-CENT CAMERA

Army Sends Wall Boy's UFO Shots to Air Force

FT. MONMOUTH — A spokesman here said yesterday the Army will refer to the Air Force the photographs of an unidentified flying object a 13-year-old Wall Township boy said he took with his 88-cent camera March 30.

The spokesman denied some

published reports that the Army had examined pictures of the disc-shaped object Robert J. Salvo said hovered over him for 10 minutes near Ramshorn drive, Wall Township.

"I thought my friends were playing a joke on me," Robert, 1123 Curtis Ave., Wall Town-

ship, said of when he first saw the object.

"I hope I never see one again," he said. "My friends think I'm crazy."

Film Developed

He said he took the five pictures of the UFO with the small plastic camera his mother bought him. He said he took the film to a local store which sent them away for development. Three of the UFO shots turned out, he said.

He said he thought his friends were playing a joke "because they know I like space."

"I thought it was an airplane at first," he said. He said he was taking pictures of his dog, Lassie, and his house on Ramshorn drive just before moving to his present address.

"It made a humming noise, dipped sideways as if it were looking at me," he said. After about 10 minutes it "took off like a bullet." He said the object had something that looked like a revolving conning tower in the center.

Robert's older sister, Diane, wrote a letter and sent the pictures to Frank L. Wilgus, Monmouth County director of the Civil Defense and Disaster Control.

Mr. Wilgus said he told officials here about the pictures and the letter, but said he received no further inquiry from the Army. After getting Robert's permission, he released the pictures to newspapers Tuesday.

Release Issued

A press release issued with the pictures said: "The pictures See UFO SHOTS Page 2"

UFO SHOTS

From Page 1

and Robert's report were referred to Army authorities at Ft. Monmouth by the county CD unit, and for this reason, the story was not released until today.

Mr. Wilgus said he received the pictures April 19 and officials here acknowledged receiving a telephone call from him April 20.

"When he called on this thing it was already three weeks old," the Army spokesman said. "Our security office called him back several times to check it out further but couldn't get him," he said.

"The Army has nothing to do with UFOs," the spokesman said. "That's all under the Air Force." He said because the alleged sighting was three weeks old, the Army security office did not refer the report to 1st Army headquarters, which in turn would have notified the Air Force.

"The pictures were not forwarded to Ft. Monmouth," the spokesman said.

Mr. Wilgus confirmed that the pictures were not sent to the Army. He said the word "referred" in the press release "can be interpreted many ways." Some newspapers interpreted it as meaning the Army had examined the photographs.

Negatives Checked

Mr. Wilgus said the identification bureau of the county sheriff's office examined the negatives and concluded they had not been tampered with.

The Army spokesman said after the pictures are picked up from Mr. Wilgus they will be sent to the Foreign Technology Division at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base in Ohio, where UFO sightings are investigated.

Meanwhile, a local organization — UFO Alert Group — would like to make its own investigation. The group, formed about two months ago, would like to examine the photographs and negatives before they go to the Air Force.



FLYING SAUCER? — Lens pointed upward, 13-year-old Robert J. Salvo of Wall Twp. snapped this photo recently. He said he was attracted by a "loud whistling, humming noise" and saw this object diving out of a cloud, hover over him and then shoot back into the clouds.

Flying Saucer Seen in Wall Twp.?

See It Yourself Through Boy's Lens

WALL TWP. — Flying Saucers in Wall Twp?

That was the report from 13-year old Robert J. Salvo, of 1128 Curtis Ave., who told the Monmouth County Civil Defense and Disaster Control office that on March 30 he saw and took pictures of an unidentified object that he saw "dive" out of the clouds and hover over him for some minutes.

In his letter, Robert told Frank L. Wilgus, county CD coordinator, that he was walking his dog off Ramshorn drive at the George Mueller gravel pit. Because his family was going to move from

the section to their new address on Curtis avenue, Robert wanted to take some pictures of his dog and the area.

"Suddenly," he said, "I heard a loud whistling, humming noise and thought it might be a plane. Then I looked up in the sky, which was partially cloudy that day, and saw something diving out of a cloud, then over me and it was pointed down as if watching me.

"It then shot back into the clouds like a bullet."

Robert was quick and took some pictures of the object. The

pictures and Robert's report were referred to Army authorities at Ft. Monmouth by the County CD unit, and for this reason the story was not released until today.

Robert said his sister was with him and they are anxious to have someone explain what it was, but so far no one seems to know.

A check of the negatives sent in by Robert fail to show any retouching and the pictures were examined thoroughly before being released to the newspapers, Wilgus said.

Ft. Monmouth Mum on 'Saucer' Photo

Wall Twp. Boy, 13, Has Picture

By LADLEY K. PEARSON
Staff Correspondent.

WALL TOWNSHIP — Remember in March when the Jersey shore was "invaded" by "flying saucers" and just about everyone kept one eye fixed to the sky?


Well, a 13-year-old boy took some pictures of one, he says.

Yesterday, the Army at Ft. Monmouth and the Monmouth County Civil Defense released the photos of a "flying saucer" taken on March 30 by Robert J. Salvo of 1128 Curtis Ave.

THE YOUTH said he was walking his dog along Ramshorn Drive near the George Mueller gravel pit, here when "suddenly," he said, "I heard a loud whistling, humming noise and thought it might be a plane.

"Then I looked up in the sky, which was partially cloudy that day, and saw something diving out of a cloud, then over me and it was pointing down as if watching me.

"It then shot back in the clouds like a bullet," the youth said. He quickly took some pictures of it as it disappeared into the clouds.



HIGH FLYER—Photo taken by a 13-year-old Wall Township boy walking his dog last March purports to be a flying saucer. The youth showed the photos to the Army.

The youth prepared a report and submitted it along with the photograph negatives to Frank L. Wilgus, county civil defense coordinator.

WILGUS TURNED the photographs over to Army authorities, who held them until yes-


terday. The Army has not commented on the identity of the UFO.

The youth said he had his camera with him because he was moving from the area and wanted some photographs to remember it.

Wilgus said an examination

of the negatives failed to show any retouching. "We thought it might be a garbage can lid, or the top of a coffee pot, but it does look like the thing was going in the clouds.

"And what 13-year-old kid can throw anything into the clouds?" he asked.



FLYING SAUCER?—Robert J. Salvo, 13, of 1128 Curtis Ave., Wall Township, told Monmouth County Civil Defense and Disaster Control organization he took this picture of an unidentified flying object March 30 near his home. The boy said the object made a "loud whistling, humming noise" and "pointed down as if watching me." He said the object disappeared into the clouds after several minutes. County Civil Defense officials released the picture yesterday.

This case contains 12,
8"x10" photos and 1, 4"x5"
negative.







Dec. 6, 1968.

Hynek has borrowed a duplicate
of this photo. It looks to be

a good example of a fake. Photo anal
says a real image - but of what! -


J. Hynek.











30 Mar 66

" and this is the location on the
mountain of where I was.

arrow "



UNCLASSIFIED

4

30 March

This is the cloud that it came out of.

arrow



UNCLASSIFIED

