

## PROJECT 1073 RECORD

1. TIME GROUP	2. LOCATION
5 APR 67	6/0755Z Wheaton, Colorado
3. DATE	10. CONCLUSION
4. TIME	INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION - but interesting!
5. NUMBER OF OBJECTS	FFD Form 164 forwarded to witness, not returned after thirty days. Will re-evaluate if received.
6. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS
7. DATE	Discrepancy in date of observation. Date of report being prepared is given as 5 March 67 while date of observation is 6 April. Form 164 sent to clarify this and other details. (See case)
8. TYPE OF OBSERVATION	
9. COURSE	
10. SPEED	
11. PHOTOS	
12. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE	
13. VIDS	
14. X NO	

FORM 1073 RECORD 0-229 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

W. Westminster, Colo

5 Apr 67

St. John De Hynek

Lt. Col. Hector Quintanilla  
Page 10

4 June 1968

Statement, included at Blue Book, to the effect that the "object was not moving except when the witness would travel in the car", is absolutely incorrect, if the witness is to be believed at all. Witness stated that the object suddenly sped up and rushed away and "when it was approaching me it had only vibrant red light that was visible". With respect to the light, witness stated, "there is nothing I know of, or that I've ever seen with red lights that were as vibrant or as brilliant".

Finally, witness became frightened because object appeared so close directly over her car, and yet said there was no sound at all. Unless one is willing to call this an absolute hallucination, and that there was no physical outfit present whatever, the evaluation "aircraft" simply won't hold up.

This case is sufficiently interesting for me to interview the witnesses, as there were two rather than one as stated. They were independent and talked about it only after each had seen it separately.

I will make this personal investigation and report later.

23. 3 April 1967, Roseville, Michigan.

I would agree with the evaluation of possible birds, but I suppose to be fair we should also add, possible unidentified (data insufficient, no followup). The card indicates one object, and the report, however, clearly indicates that 10 to 12 lights were observed flying in V formation. This actually strengthens the "possible birds" evaluation.

24. 4 April 1967, Wheeling, W. Virginia.

This is not in my files.

25. 5 April 1967, Westminster, Colorado

Since the 164 was not returned the evaluation "insufficient data", I suppose, is entirely correct, but the case itself is interesting in that there were 15 witnesses. Here is one case the Condon Committee should have looked into, since it was right at their back door. They should have looked into it particularly since there were 15 witnesses. Also the object was visible for 15 minutes, and it was observed through a telescope. Even at this late date this might be worth a call. As a matter of fact, when I am in Boulder this July I will look into it myself, but for the statistics, the evaluation "insufficient data" certainly holds.

6 Apr 67

RECEIVED  
20 APR 1967  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
HEADQUARTERS FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION (AFSC)  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433



REPLY TO  
ATTN OF: TDET/UFO

14 April 1967

SUBJECT: UFO Observation, 6 Apr 67

TO:

[REDACTED]  
Westminster, Colorado 80030

Reference your unidentified observation. The information which we have received is not sufficient for a scientific evaluation. Request you complete the attached FTD Form 164 and return it in the envelope provided. Thank you for reporting your observation to the Air Force.

JAMES C. MANATT, Colonel, USAF  
Director of Technology and Subsystems

1 Atch  
FTD Form 164 w/envelope

b. Description of course of Object(s):

(1) What first called the attention of observer(s) to the object(s)?

Size, in relation to the stars.

(2) Angle or elevation and azimuth of the object(s) when first observed. 20,000

(3) Angle or elevation and azimuth of object(s) upon disappearance.

straight and level (20,000).

(4) Description of flight path and maneuvers of object(s).

While hovering, exhaust side pointed earthward at about 30° angle.

(5) How did the object(s) disappear? (Instantaneously to the north, etc.)

Gradually faded in NW direction.

(6) How long was the object(s) visible. (Be specific, 5 minutes, 1 hour, etc)

15 minutes.

c. Manner of Observation:

(1) Use one or any combination of the following items: Ground-visual, ground - electronic, air electronic. ( If electronic, specify type of radar.)

Ground-visual.

(2) Statement as to optical aids (telescopes, binoculars, and so forth) used and description thereof. Telescope, 33 & 60 power plus two pair of binoculars.

(3) If the sighting is made while airborne, give type of aircraft, identification number, altitude, heading, speed, and home station.

d. Time and date of sighting:

(1) Zulu time-date-group of sighting. 06/0755Z April 1967

LOWRY TECHNICAL AIR TRAINING CENTER (ATC)  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
LOWRY AIR FORCE BASE, 80230, Colorado

REPLY TO  
ATTN OF: XPCP

Date 5 March 67

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Objects (UFO)

TO:

When unidentified flying objects (UFO) are reported, the following information will be filled in and then forwarded immediately to Plans/Program attention XPCP.

a. Description of the Object(s):

(1) Shape. Oval - like a gigantic street light. ✓

---

(2) Size compared to a known object (use one of the following terms: Head of a pin, pea, dime, nickel, quarter, half dollar, silver dollar, baseball, grapefruit, or basketball) held in the hand at about arm's length.

Baseball.

(3) Color. White alternating - reddish greenish sparkling exhaust.

(4) Number. One.

(5) Formation, if more than one. None.

(6) Any discernible features or details. Real large "glob of light"

approaching from SE to NW.

(7) Tail, trail, or exhaust, including size of same compared to size of object(s). Exhaust to left side (SE Side).

(8) Sound. If heard, describe sound. Noise.

(9) Other pertinent or unusual features At about Boulder, hovered for about 10 minutes.

*Send 164*

h. Any other unusual activity or condition, meteorological, astronomical, or otherwise, which might account for the sighting. None

---

i. Interception of identification action taken (such action may be taken whenever feasible, complying with existing Air Defense directives).

None

---

j. Location, approximate altitude, and general direction of flight of any air traffic in the area at time of sighting. Unknown

---

k. Position title and comments of the preparing officer, including his preliminary analysis of the possible cause of the sighting(s).

Not Body. Aircraft.

---

l. Existence of physical evidence, such as materials and photographs.

Approximately 8 adults and 6 high school kids watching at the same time.

---

Edward E. Tigei Major AFM

(2) Light conditions. (use one of the following terms: Night, day, dawn, dusk) night.

e. Location of Observer(s). Exact latitude and longitude of each observer, and/or geographical position. A position with reference to a known landmark also should be given in electrical reports, such as "2mi N of Deeville; "3mi SW of Blue Lake". Typographical errors or "garbling" often results in electrically transmitted messages, making location plots difficult or impossible.

EXAMPLE: 89 45N, 192 71W for 39 45N, 102 21W.

3/4 miles North of Pillar of Fire (Bellvue Baptist College).

f. Identifying Information on Observer(s):

(1) Civilian - Name, age, mailing address, occupation, and estimate of reliability. (40) [REDACTED] Westminster, Colo. Phillips Petroleum Co.

80030

(2) Military - Name, grade, organization, duty, and estimate of reliability.

g. Weather and winds -- Aloft conditions at time and place of sightings:

(1) Observer(s) account of weather conditions. Clear. Stars readily

visible.

(2) Report from nearest AWS or U.S. Weather Bureau Office of wind direction and velocity in degrees and knots at surface, 6,000', 10,000', 16,000', 20,000', 30,000', 50,000', and 80,000' if available.

(3) Ceiling. Clear.

(4) Visibility. 25.

(5) Amount of cloud cover. None

(6) Thunderstorms in area and quadrant in which located. None. Temp 45°