PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 15 September 1968 9:30 - 10L5 PM, EDT	2. LOCATION Near Ocala, Florida
3. SOURCE Civilian Pilots	10. CONCLUSION Unidentified
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS Two (2)	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 15 minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS A light doing acrobatics near Ocala observed for 15 minutes but did not gain on it. Light rose and quickly disappeared among stars. Almost immediately a second but white light was seen under the haze above Ocala. This rapidly came toward them on collision course and pilots thought it was a sidewinder. Light made a sharp 90° turn and then disappeared. Third part of observation came when they approached Miami. Palm Beach Center informed them their radar had object following them, asked them to make a 360° turn. Pilot thought he identified light but was not certain. The most significant part of observation was the "sidewinder collision" part. Pilots would probably not have reported total incident had it not been for the seeming near collision with light.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Visual, possibly radar	
7. COURSE Acrobatics and collision	
8. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY
EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60201

DEPARTMENT OF ASTRONOMY

LINDHEIMER ASTRONOMICAL RESEARCH CENTER

19 December 1968

FTD (TDETR)
Research and Aerial Phenomena Division
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Ohio 45433

Attn: TDPT (UFO)

Subj: Sighting of 15 September 1968, Ocala, Florida

To: Hector Quintanilla, Jr., Lt. Col.

Enclosed are the following:

(1) Transcription of telephone conversation with Capt. Heimbach.
(2) Transcription of telephone conversation with Capt. Cole.
(3) Project evaluation card on subject sighting.

J. ALLEN HYNEK, Director
Lindheimer Astronomical Research Center
Northwestern University
Evanston, Illinois 60201

JAH:lp
Encl (3)

Transcript for UFO sighting; telephone conversation between Hynek(H) and Capt. Heimbach(**)

H This is Allen Hynek at Northwestern University and I'm also, have been for a number of years, the consultant to Project Bluebook, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, on unidentified flying objects. Some time ago, about a week ago, Colonel Quintanilla asked me to look further into the case from Florida which occurred on 15 September 1968.

** This is the one that was down in the Palm Beach area, northwest of there?

H Yes, that's the one. I've been trying to get in touch with [redacted] the pilot; so far I've gotten no answer and, of course, also Mr[redacted], the co-pilot. Since you've talked with them, and since Colonel Quintanilla has asked me to look into this further (it's an odd-ball case certainly; there's enough of these reports, though, so that I, at least, am taking them reasonably seriously--without being a believer in little green men) I wondered in your discussions with these two men what your basic impression was of their reliability and what your own feelings on this case are.

** Well, both of these gentlemen are in the ministry; they're evangelist type individuals---

H That's what's meant by the term 'missionary pilots", then. I didn't know what that really meant.

** This is why they weren't available for discussion the following day. They had to get out to a couple of the islands and see some of their parishes out there, apparently. I talked to them for ten minutes, at least

and they seemed very sincere and a little bit shook up about the incident. They had it under observation for quite a long time and at one time they had two under observation; one went straight up and disappeared, the other one was out in front of them, bobbing and weaving around, changing altitude, setting motionless out in front of them; it would veer off from them and go out a pretty good piece and stop. They had some passengers aboard but unfortunately, these people had their cabin lights on and were reading and weren't aware at the time of the object. Even down in the approach where I think they were at 3500 feet, they still had it in the approach area down at Palm Beach. We got some height finder readings on it; we had some printouts. I don't know if these were forwarded to Col. Quintanill or not. I think they were.

H Let's see. I can tell you; he sent me the whole dossier on it. First of all, here's the letter to me. It is "Reference the attached UFO report from Florida which occurred on 15 September 1968. Request you evaluate this case and make your comments on the attached Project Record Card. If you need additional information on the sighting, please obtain it. However, we want transcripts" etc. "Request this information on or before 30 November 1968." Then I have the regular form 80-17 here, which isn't too helpful. There is some mixup; on the radar it indicated they had some object following them, and yet there sightings all seem to have to do with things that were in front of them.

** This is what happened. They went from Miami Center Control and we had a radar pickup on the object immediately behind, say 2 to 3 miles behind

them. We didn't have any idea of what this could be so we tried to get them through Center and they had already transferred over to the approach frequency, so we got ahold of approach control at Palm Beach and told them that this aircraft had sighted a UFO and asked them if they saw anything in the vicinity of the aircraft, and if so, what it was. They said they had nothing in sight. We asked the approach control man to have the aircraft turn 360 degrees, turn a circle, and observe in his rear position to see if there was anything near him. Approach told him to turn; he made a turn in the approach pattern, made a circular turn, and did observe the UFO out behind him.

H So this then... would you then consider this a confirmed simultaneous visual-radar observation.

** Yes sir, we had him on radar.

H So you had both [redacted] and this object. When he saw him in front of him it was because he had made this circle.

** On the last contact. Now before, whever he was up higher in altitude--I think it was around 9,000 feet--he had this UFO out in front of him, and it would go off to the side and set motionless; it would come straight in at him and veer off away from him; they said it was extremely high speed and changed altitude, and everything. After that they lost contact with him; they didn't see him anymore.

H As I gather, the only thing he saw was a light, not an object.

** Right, he saw the light.

H I've forgotten now what color he described that. Did he describe color or changes of color, do you recollect?

** It seems that there wasn't too much shape to it; it was larger than a beacon light, or something like this. I don't remember now just what color, but it seems that possibly once he mentioned a different color, but normally it seems like it was a pale white light, I believe.

H Now, let me get this sequence straight, and check me on this. Who saw what first? There are two avenues of approach here. They saw something and almost simultaneously, or a little before that, did the radar pickup something following them?

** No sir. We didn't have any radar pickup until quite a way down in the sequence.

H I see. The time-motion sequence, then....perhaps you'd better tell me. What happened first?

** To the best I can remember, first of all, they contacted the people that were controlling them. That probably would have been Jacksonville Center or somewhere down in there.

H Yes, because this report says Jacksonville Center, supervisor, Mr. [redacted] telephoned Senior Director (that was you)...

** OK. Now the pilot had called Mr. [redacted] and reported seeing the object. I don't know what the time lapse was, three or four minutes, when the second one appeared. And then the second one was under observation for a very short time and it went straight up and out of sight and there was no more sighting on it. The other one, the one that they had closest and kept for a long time, was in fairly close to them occasionally and it would go off to the side and set motionless, and come out in front of them and dance around, bob around, and would go at about the same speed they were. Apparently this was three to five mile out, or so, and then they turned--they were, I think, going east at that time--and then they turned on a heading of about 150 to go down the peninsula of Florida. They continued to have observations of it on and off, and when they got under Miami Center Control they were still sighting it. They got on down and left Miami Center Control--this must have been 40 minutes or so later--and went over to the Approach Control in the Palm Beach area and this is when we had radar pickup out of Patrick Air Force Base.

H The radar pickup was out of Patrick, then. I didn't get that ~~from~~ before.

** We didn't get any radar pickup from Cross City, Flordia, or from Jacksonville, Florida. We never scrambled any aircraft to go out and try and get a visual on it.

H On their approach to Palm Beach they were heading essentially south, down the peninsula--southeast--and they were still seeing something in front of them apparently.

** On their approach to Palm Beach they hadn't seen it for--I don't know how long a period--apparently they weren't bothered by it after they went over to approach control there at Palm Beach.

H So then the second phase of this occurred after Control at Palm Beach notified them that something was following them. And then they made this 360 degree---yes, it says here, "On approach to Palm Beach, the pilot was requested to make a 360 degree turn to see if the UFO was still with him. Then pilot saw object at approximately 1000 feet." Now that I wasn't clear, on, you see, where in what part of that 360 degree turn he saw it, and you confirm now that he did see the thing. What I was really puzzled about was whether what he saw was the same thing that the radar was apparently referring to.

** Yes sir, the best that we could ever determine it was.

H And there was only one object at this time?

** Right. Now, one other point, after he left Jacksonville Center Control and went to Miami Center Control, we requested Miami Center to notify any aircraft he had under control--we gave him position report and everything of Mr. [redacted] aircraft; and there were aircraft within two to five or two to ten

mile from the aircraft--and Miami Center requested them to visually look in the area where the aircraft was to see if they could see any lights or any UFO or anything possible in altitudes of around 5000 to 15000 feet; just take a normal look up there and see if they could see anything, and I imagine there were three to seven aircraft they requested to look out there and none of them saw anything.

H Now wh at does that really mean, I wonder. Here we had a radar return and we had a visual sighting, and yet they were the only ones who made the visual sighting.

** It seems that if this thing was still in there view, that someone else could see it. Now, we were never able to confirm the times or anything; they didn't keep an accurate time of when it was harrassing them, or when it disappeared, when it wasn't observed, or anything like that, so we don't really know if these aircraft that were requested to look in that area would have seen anything.

H Now, the night was clear?

** Yes sir, it was a very good night. There were a few lightning flashes, I think, out to the southwest of them, over the central part of Florida. This was the only thing that would have ~~HHHH~~ interfered.

H Now, the transcript of the tape--that was much earlier then. That refers to the first sighting between Tyndall and Cross City, and that was, wald you say, about half an hour before the radar incident?

** Let's see. They left area 470 and--it would have been at least that long.

H Oh yes. Here is says, "Jacksonville Center couldn't pick it up on radar. We followed him until we were almost to Ocala, and he disappeared off into the sky on a heading of something like 200° and then as we approached Ocala there was an object, at first it was a white light. It was hanging right over Ocala"--where is Ocala?

** Do you know where Gainesville is? (Yes) Directly southeast of there.

H Fine. And then he said, "It was hanging right over Ocala and just as I finished reporting the other one this one came right at us. We were on a collision course. I would say he missed us about 1 mile. He came straight at us at an angle. We were about 15 miles out of Ocala still on a heading of 120. This object came straight at us in a climb attitude then turned right in front of us to the west. He went out about 15 miles west of Ocala and just hung there at an altitude of, well we were at an altitude of 9000, I would guess that he was at probably 6000 or 7000 ft."

What are the chances do you think, Captain, that these fellows were sufficiently poor observers to have mistaken stars at various times? Of course, they were on a straight course at that time, they were not making maneuvers.

** I'm not a firm believer in UFO's and I've never observed anything like this, but from the way they verbally talked to me, I would say they saw

something that was much different than a star and was apparently brighter, a brighter ~~tha~~ light than that, that was not of such intensity, that it was bright enough to really get alarmed about.

H Yes. And balloons would be out of the question because they covered too much territory, for the thing to be a balloon.

** Yes sir. A balloon if you saw it wouldn't disturb you too much. It might shock you a little, but I think you'd recognize it. They wouldn't turn or bob around that much.

H Now, when he says it moved up and down and had -- well, I'm going to try and get in touch with him and see if I can pin him down on trajectory. In other words, when it moved, was its transverse motion as much as say 20-25^{o} or was it just a minor movement. That's the sort of thing that I'd like to -- I would gather that it covered considerable portions of the sky.

** Yes sir. And the way he talked, he said it would go in straight lines, it would turn an immediate 90^{o} turn, it was very definite fast movement, and it would make very, very sharp turn. He said he had seen a lot of jets flying and this thing couldn't have been anything like a jet, because it made such erratic climbs and such tremendous turns, immediate turns, that he knew that we have nothing tha t flies like this.

H Now I'm getting to a part here that I have a question on. This is still [redacted] speaking. "We saw him move several times but when we last sighted him, it looked like he was sitting on the ground just west of Ocala."

Now, you came on the line and said, "We had a height finder on you and were tracking you and there was an object behind you for quite awhile northwest of Palm Beach." This then would have been about half and hour or so after the Ocala incident.

** Yes sir. It was definitely later than Ocala.

H And then you say,"We had numerous height finder checks on you; also an object less than 1 mile behind you." Mr. [redacted] then says,--oh yes, here's a part I missed earlier--Mr. [redacted] said, "We turned and saw what appeared to be the same white light as we saw over Ocala, in a steep turn."

** OK. That's when we asked him to make that 360° turn over Palm Beach.

H I see. And then he says, "When we saw it, it looked like it descended rather rapidly. I would definitely not try to identify it." Then you asked him what he thought it was and he said, "I don't know. It was not a jet. Jet aircraft can't make the sharp turns these things were making." And then you asked, "Everytime you saw it, it was making steep turns?" And [redacted] said, "No, it would hover and then it would proceed on course exactly the same way we were going, ahead of us; then it would go straight up, over the top, or straight down."

Did he add anything? Let's see, this -- was this a telephone conversation or? (~~XRSXSIR~~)

** Yes sir. I called him long distance and talked to him immediately after

he got on the ground down there, about 20 minutes after landing. He came up to the tower at Palm Beach and we talked to him long distance.

H I see. That's how it was. There was nothing being reported while-- he didn't report anything on tape to Jacksonville Center, did he?

** No sir--now possibly, they're on tape. I would imagine the controller's taped all this.

H I'll perhaps talk with [redacted] and see what -- I didn't realize this divided itself into two very distinct phases.

** Yes sir, it did. After that ~~th~~ Ocala area incident where they saw him on the ground, then apparently they were bothered by him on down, I don't remember exactly, but it seems like they were harrassed any after than until they got in the Palm Beach area and we got the radar contact and had them turn 360° there on Palm Beach approach.

H And then, of course, one always wonders about the powers of suggestion. They apparently thought the whole incident was over and then they were asked and then they see it, and now it becomes a question of what type of observers they really are. Did they get excited and say "Oh yes, there it is" and mistake some -- on the other hand they did describe its trajectory. It's a hard question to ask you, but from your conversation with them, which lasted how long, altogether?

** Between ten and fifteen minutes. I think it was ten or eleven minutes on the telephone bill.

H Let me ask a question like this. If they were on a witness stand, if this had been a courtroom proceeding and you'd been the defending or prosecuting lawyer, how would you have regarded their testimony in ordinary terms--if this wasn't an UFO they were talking about? Did they sound valid?

** Yes sir, they did.

H I sometimes talk to people who get vague and repeat themselves and extrapolate and don't stick to facts.

** They pretty well said again over the telephone exactly what had happened, they followed the sequence on it. It was normal. They things that they had discussed with Jacksonville Center they went through, and they remembered what Center Control they were under. It was just like they had lived through it. They weren't frightened by it; they weren't too overly concerned.

H Id like to get from them just how much brighter it was than the brightest stars.

** Well, they said that it was like observing, it was a large light like the beacons on military airfields or commercial airfields. You know, the ones that turn. They said it was of about a size like that but not that bright. It was n't a beam type of light, it was more-or-less a pale, it was a pretty good-sized light from the way they talked.

H Now, I don't want to take too much of your time here. Let's talk

about Mr. [redacted] just a second, the co-pilot. He gave just one paragraph here. One thing that interested me was "I thought we were close to the warning zone and had caught a sidewinder. I was ready to meet the Lord, it didn't make any difference, but it sure scared me." How did he sound in comparison to Mr. [redacted]

** Well, I got him on the line at the end of the conversation with Mr. [redacted] primarily just to thank him and see if he had anything to add, but he was very definite. He was, in terms of conversation, he was more definite than Mr. [redacted] was more collected and cool, and was aware of what was going on. Mr. [redacted] was a little high strung about it, I think.

H Yes, I would gather that from his statement here, "getting ready to meet the Lord," and so forth.

** The comments that he made were rather excited and he w as trying to get the idea across that they did see something and it wasn't something they were playing around with, and they, when they saw it they tried their best to observe it and find out what it was and try and decide what was going on. This warning area he refers to is a typical military warning area out over the water there, that sometimes we do have firings in and stuff. There's quite a few jets out flying in that area; there's restricted altitudes and everything that they work out there, and normally whenever they're out in that area there's quite a few aircraft involved, so ~~they~~ the civilian pilots try and avoid these areas absolutely.

H Well, I want to thank you very much for this information. It clears part B the thing up. It gives me a clearer picture, but it doesn't clear it up

because I still don't know what the hell it was they saw. I think I'm going to try and get in touch with Mr. [redacted]; I think I'll let Mr. Rushing go. I think probably if I call Mr. [redacted]also and get his part of his contact with them, and particularly see if he has any records they may have made at the time.

If you think of anything else that you feel that I should know about this I'm easily reachable; simply the Department of Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois. Or send it through Colonel Quintanella; I go there once a month as a sort of regular tour of duty and look over the cases they've had during the month.

Let me ask a purely general question here. In your area down there, have there been many, or any, UFO reports that were not easily solvable?

** Very, very few sir. If we have them, they're normally widely scattered and, I think here a month ago we had maybe a sighting in the Montgomery area here. Once in a while there are some down along the Coast, but, of course, I never know. I see something in the newspaper, or get something reported past me here at work, but normally there's no conclusion out of it.

H Yes. Well, if something comes up that you feel compares with this, or is other than could easily be explained as an aircraft or balloon, or something, I would appreciate your getting in touch with me, or with Project Bluebook.

** All right sir. We certainly will.
H Well, thanks ever so much.
** Thank you Dr., it's been nice talking to you.

Transcript of UFO sighting; telephone conversation between Hynek & Capt. Cole.

H Hello, Capt[redacted] This is Professor Hynek at Northwestern University. I'm also consultant to the United States Air Force on the matter of unidentified flying objects. I have a letter here from Col. Quintanilla asking me to look into the sighting of September 15. I've also talked recently with Capt. Heimbach, who I believe, interviewed you after you got to the airport.

C Yes, I didn't remember the name. I believe that's right.

H Well, this is a most interesting case, and I wanted to check with you a little bit. As I understand it there were two definite phases to this sighting. One was near Ocala.

C This is correct.

H And then about a half an hour or so elapsed and when you got down into the Palm Beach area . . .

C No. Now the first sighting was north of Ocala.

H All right, this is fine. Why don't you just give me the time-motion sequence ~~xxx~~ and I'll just remain quiet here and you tell me in your words.

C. OK. I'll be glad to. We were, we had left Dallas early afternoon--that was on a Sunday-- and we were going to ~~NASA~~ Nassau and we stopped in New

Orleans to pick up a passenger. We left there on instruments. However, the weather cleared by the time we were to Pensacola; this was on Sunday and they gave us permission to go across the restricted areas of Egland and along the shoreline. We stayed just on the north edge of, I believe that's war zone 470 there; whatever that is in the Gulf there. We had just passed the northeastern corner of this and Jacksonville Center gave us permission to turn to the southeast; as I recall our heading was about 120°, and I first sighted an object which I assumed to be a jet, probably a trainer; they're are lots in that area, you know. We watched it. As I recall we were at an altitude of about 9500 feet, I believe that's correct. We watched this thing; I watched it for, oh, five minutes, I guess.

H Pardon me. When you say "this thing", could you be more specific with it. Was it light or was it . . .

C Well yes, it was a pale green flashing light. It was flashing at a little more than, a little slower rate than the average aircraft red rotating beacon. It was a pale green color.

H In terms of brightness of a star, was it brighter kk or dimmer than . . ?

C It looked very similar, if you were observing an aircraft landing lgiht, I'm sure you've watched, I would say five miles, five to eight miles. Something like that, except that it had a green tint to it.

H Did it have any extension to it, or was it a point source?

C No, no it was just a light, in a particular spot. It didn't project a beam or anything.

H And how close to the horizon did it seem?

C Well, it was directly in front of us at our same altitude; in fact, it was at an identical altitude and he was doing acrobatics. He would go straight up and then over the top and straight down to maybe 500-1000 feet below us, below our altitude, and then he would return to our altitude. He did this several times, and I have seen jet practice, military practice, do this at night so I assumed this was what it was, but the fact that, of course it was night, I was on an instrument flight plan, and it irritated me because Jacksonville Center had not warned me of this traffic. And so I called them and I said, "Have you got traffic at our twelve o'clock position?" And he said, "Negative." And I thought, out on that side of the state, there radar reception is a little bad, and I've been through that before down there before, and so I flew on for, oh, I'd say, five minutes or so, and the fellow in the front seat with me there is also a pilot ...

H Mr [redacted]

C Mr. [redacted], yes. And I hit him and I said, "Boy, I don't knew see how in the world those fellows do that at night." See, we were over the water at that time, with no visual ground reference. And, of course, you know, you tumble your instruments after a certain attitude. So, both of us sat there watching it,

H Now, could I break in here to ask, in terms of degrees of arc, you say he went up and down over an arc of say 10 degrees, 30 degrees, or what?

C There didn't seem to be an arc. Now at night, you can't judge these things, but if the object directly towards you or directly away from you, you can't see the arc at night.

H No, quite right.

C And I assumed this is what it was. But there did not appear to be any arc, it would go straight up and then straight down and then straight back up again.

H No, wait a second. You say up, then in angle of elevation, if he started at your own level, how high did you have to look to see him when he was at the top of his . . .

C I would guess he went a 1000 feet above us.

H Well, would that have been 10^{o}? Did he come overhead at any time?

C No, he never did. He stayed in front of us all the time. I would guess an up angle of something like probably 10^{o}, not over 15, and about the same below us.

H I see. So a total swing of about 30^{o} perhaps, 20 or 30. And how about transverse, sideways? Was he always directly in your 12:00 o'clock position?

C No, he would swing a little bit to the side once in a while, and usually to our left.

H By the way, was it a clear night?

C At that point it was. There was one storm cloud northeast of Cross City that had lightning in it, but we were already south of it. We were out in perfectly clear weather.

H The reason I asked, is were there any good navigational stars at your 12:00 o'clock position or near your 12:00 o'clock position?

C No, not on the horizon. There were some higher, but not on the horizon.

H Could you sort of plot this chap's motion with respect to the stars?

C Well, he never got as high as, that is, during the time we were watching him in the early phases of it, when he was doing these various actions; he later, I would say 15 minutes after we first observed him, he made about a 10^{o} right turn off from our track, and just disappeared in the sky at a climbing angle of, I would say, 15^{o} and disappeared.

H Now, in this 15 minutes you covered about how many nautical miles?

C Well, were truing right at 200 miles an hour, whatever that would figure out.

H Well, about 50 miles. And that would have meant that had he been just going up and down or stationary, you would have overtaken him.

C Yes, this is true. But he maintained just about the same distance in front of us until he decided to leave us

H And your bearing at that time was about 120?

C 120°.

H And the time at that time, was what, local time?

Cq Let me see. It must have been about, as I recall, about 9:00, 9:30. I've forgotten what time it was. It was well into the night.

H I was trying to see whether I could recognize any bright stars in that area for reference points. Well, anyway, please go on.

C Then, about that time, just as he disappeared, I was well into conversation with Center at that time and they were very interested.

H This is Jacksonville Center?

C Jacksonville Center, yes. And I called, I was exactly 12 dme miles, as I recall, from Ocala, off the Ocala ZOR, and I could see the lights of Ocala from that position, and I could see a bright white light. Now, this

looked exactly like an aircraft landing light from the distance where we were and I could tell it was right over, right in the lights of Ocala at an altitude of what I would guess to be about 5000 feet, well below us. And sitting there, he wasn't moving at all. So when I took my eyes off from where we saw the other object disappear, I asked Jacksonville Center if they had an object directly over Ocala.

H How many minutes was this after the first one disappeared?

C It was just at the same time. Just at that very minute. We were still talking about the first one, and I came back and I said, "Well, what about right over Ocala? Do you have a target there?" And he said, "Negative." And I said, "Well, we have a bright light there," and in the same transmission I said, "And he's moving toward us," and then I just hollered at the microphone, "We're on a collision course," and there, I put the microphone down to try to take evasive action. This one moved directly at us. And I was quite certain that we had victimized by a sidewinder, because, and both of us, it scared us to death. We were ready to leave the airplane, if we could have. But the thing came straight at us and I'd say at a distance of, I'd don't know, maybe two miles, you can't tell those distances at night, but he made an instantaneous 90° left turn and at our altitude. Well, I'd wouldn't say just, he was maybe 500 feet below us.

H How could you be sure, please don't misunderstand me, I'm not doubting your word here, I just want to get clear in my own mind, how could you be

sure that this was the same object, the same light, you saw over Ocala. You say it actually come toward you then?

C Yes, from the time we saw it, this was much brighter, much closer to us than the first object we saw, but it was hanging directly over Ocala. Have you ever, do you fly yourself?

H Only commercially, quite a bit.

C Well, you'd have noticed then, coming into cities at night, even on a clear night, there'll be a haze many times and the lights of the city shining against; well, there was this haze at about, probably 6000 feet, or something like that, and this bright light was below that haze.

H Below the haze?

C Yes, and, of course, the haze was not of such thickness that we couldn't see through it, you see. But this light was just hanging there, and it moved directly toward us and then turned and descended again, and it went lower than it was originally when we saw it. It went a distance, I told them, 15 miles, but I couldn't tell the distance. It went some distance west of Ocala and he just parked out there, and sat there, and we flew on past it.

H At its closest point, then you, of course, I recognize this is purely an estimate, but you estimated he might have gotten as close as one or two

miles to you, is that correct?

C This is correct, yes.

H It was still then a light and you could make out no craft as such?

Cq Oh no, it was a dark night, adn we couldn't see anything but just the light.

H Yes. And in terms of brightness, how would it have compared with the brightest star in the sky?

C Well, it was much brighter, much brighter, because at that point it was closer to us and much larger.

H Now larger. Did you mean that it ceased being a point source and became actually round light, or something.

C Well, from the time we first saw this one, it was a round light.

H Suppose you held an aspirin tablet at arm's length, how would its apparent size ~~xx~~ compare with that?

C That's hard to say.

H When it was closest?

C Well, for example, I'm sitting right now a half a mile from a mercury vapor light, street light, and I would say it was just about what I'm looking at right now.

H So, it's still essentially a point source.

C Yes, I guess you'd call it that.

H I was trying to see whetehr at any time it got actual size to it, apparent size.

C Oh, I see what you mean. These things are awful hard to judge at night. I have about 6500 flying hours and have seen just about all kinds, but these things are so hard to judge at night.

H Was this a unique experience for you, or had you seen something like it before?

C No, I have never seen anything like this before in my life. I've seen shooting stars at night, and well, after we lost sight of this last one, my friend, Mr. [redacted] is kind of emotional, and I started playing with him then. He started sighting stars, which I had already recognized as stars and I would jockey the airplane around, you know, you can turn the aircraft while your eyes are fixed on an object, and the object moves, and I started playing with him. I'd pull the nose up a little bit and it'd look like it took a nosedive, you know, and shove the nose over, and it looked like it

climbs. And then you can turn a little bit at night, and it'd ~~look~~ go from side to side. But, this was not our case, I can assure you. He got all excited and said, "Call 'em, call 'em," cause I knew what I was looking at. But then, when we got just into Jacksonyille, into West Palm, Miami Center called them, we were on Miami Center then, and we had already turned from Center to West Palm Approach Control, but Miami Center called them and told them they had an object a mile behind us, following us.

H I want to get the sequence here straight. When Miami called you, had they known anything at all of your report to Jacksonville Center.

C Yes. See we had been shifted to Miama Center, oh, let me see, just south of Ocala. You know, they shift you from Center to the other, as you go into their area. We had been on Miami Center for some time, oh goodness, I dont' remember the time, it's been so long ago, it was whatever the time it would take, I would say half an hour, 45 minutes, from south of Ocala on into West Palm, and they had changed us to West Palm Approach Control and just after we changed, as I recall, we just about an even 10 miles, 10 dme miles out of West Palm, and we down to, as I recall, 3000 or 4000 feet, and Miami Approach, I mean Miami Center, called West Palm Approach and asked us to do a 180, because they had an object, an unidentified object, following us, a mile behind.

H This was a radar sighting?

C Yes, a radar sighting. Well needless to say, my throat filled up, but I turned, and, a sharp turn at night, you do well to maintain you

equilibrium, much less sight things, you know, but I did see a bright light similar to the one that we saw over Ocala. You see, by this time we were over populated areas and many, many lights on the ground, and I told them I couldn't positively identify anything; however, I did see one bright light that seemed to descend very quickly when we turned, but I don't know, I wouldn't even . .

H Now, if this were the same thing, then Palm Beach radar should have seen their radar image descending.

C Well, Miami should, I don't think West Palm has any radar.

H What I'd like to know is whether Miami had both you, in your 180-degree turn and the object.

C Well, now, I don't know. I assumed they did, because they were tracking us and they said they had this object behind us, so you just have to discuss that with them.

H Well, I think actually the important sighting is the earlier one, well, they're both important, the radar part, of course, if we could establish that this was actually a simultaneous visual-radar, but those are very difficult to establish positively.

C Well, as I understand, there are many cases where these things have been sighted but radar did not pick them up. Now, if this is some kind of machinery that we don't know about somewhere else, they obviously have powers beyond, to scramble radar, so I don't know.

H I want to ask one other thing, here. When you were approaching Ocala and saw the white light, that was presumably not the green light, the green light had gone now ...

C Right, this is true.

H That had gone up, hadn't it?

C We had lost complete sight...

H Now then, within a minute or so apparently you saw this white light below the haze.

C This is correct.

H Could you be sure that the light didn't start, actually, from the ground?

C Yes, I can be absolutely certain. This light was no less than 4000 and no more than 5000 feet high, I would say with all authority.

H OK, and you say it appeared to be sitting there at first?

C This is correct.

H And then took off, well this is a sort of silly question to ask, but did it take off as though it was conscious of you there? Or did you feel that its reaction was totally independent?

C Well, believe me this thought entered my mind. And if I were saying "Yes" or "NO', I'd say "Yes, it was obviously something monitoring our flight, because it was heading to straight for us and then it made too much of a diversionary turn."

H In other words, it was heading straight for you, and then it made a diversionary turn and then a 90° turn.

C No sir, it first made a 90° turn. It came directly at us, coming up and toward us and then it made a 90° turn to the left, and went west of Ocala and descended again and went possibly all the way to the ground.

H Now wait a minute, I want to get something straight. You were already, you were approaching Ocala. Ocala was at what? About your 12:00 o'clock position?

C It was at our directly our 12:00 o'clock position, and we were getting

I remember exactly, I had a 12-mile (it was either 10 or 12 mile) DME reading off of Ocala when we saw this object.

H And then, of course, you say it turned to its left then?

C That is correct, ..

H Because it had to go west, yes. So it made a right-angle turn to the left, to the west and that prevented it from hitting you, then presumably.

C That is correct, yes. At that point I knew it wasn't a missle because they have no control, I understand, over those things once they're gone.

H Immediately after it made the 90° turn, then what?

C It descended.

H It descended.

C And it continued west and descending and the best we could tell it was either on the ground or very, very close to it.

H And did it change in brightness.

C Oh yes, when it went away from us, the light was pale green, just like the first one we saw. As it was coming toward us the light was very similar to a cross between a fluorescent light and an incandescent light. It had some tint to it, but it was brighter white than it was tinted. And, but as it went away it had a green tint, just like the first one.

H Well! And about the same brightness?

C About the same intensity, yes.

H Did it ever blink out, or was it, did it ever seem to twinkle like a star, or blink out,,,?

C No, it was consistent. As it would go away, its flashing was consistent just like a rotating beacon...

H It was flshing, I see, so it was not a steady light, it was a pulsating

light.

C Yes, this is right. When it was coming toward us, now, it was steady, but as it went away it had the same flash as ~~did~~ the first object did.

H Of course, I can see what some (I'm not saying this) but some chap is liable to say, "Well, when it was coming toward you you saw the landing light in front which was bright, then as it turned away you saw the green wing light" or something. But how could you contest, or how would you answer that.

C No, there was no comparison. You see of course on the aircraft you've also got a red wing light and white tail light. But we, I looked diligently for any aircraft identification type of lights, and there were none.

H And when it was on the ground, what, was it flashing or steady then?

C No, it was steady ~~then~~ as we saw it on the ground. We couldn't tell any motion to it at all.

H This was after it had descended.

C After it had descended and seemed to come to a halt.

H So then ~~after~~ and did the color change then?

C No, it was, that far from us all we could see was just light. I couldn't tell you color other than just, it was more of just a bright light.

H When it was near the ground, was it in a populated area or , . .

C No, this would have been, as I recall, now I didn't check the map too closely, but I believe that area between Ocala and St. Pete and Tampa there is pretty unpopulated.

H Yes, I think so too.

C There were very few lights around, I believe that's mostly swamp in there.

H Well, now let me ask you this as sort of a human question. ~~Of the~~

Essentially there are three incidents, the pale green to begin with, then the bright light that came at you from Ocala, and then the Miami-Palm Beach incident. In this whole thing, which all told must have ~~xxfx~~ lasted, what nearly at hour?

C Let's see. Yes, a little over an hour, I guess.

H What impressed you the most of the whole, total incident; what things stand out most in your mind now?

C Well, mostly the obvious near collision. This was--all the rest of it you know, I could, I don't believe I would have even mentioned the rest of it if we hadn't had that one thing.

H And the frightening thing was that it was not on Jacksonville, or no one else had it on radar.

C Well, I can accept this, because I know of too many cases where radar has been scrambled, even by our own devises.

H Now, I don't feel that I will get anything more additional if I call Mr. Rushing ...

C No, I can assure you, you wouldn't.

H because I feel, just from his remarks in the transcribed tape, I, when he mentioned he was ready to meet the Lord, I felt that he probably would be a little less than objective. I'd like to also ask just for my own curiosity and not for the record at all, but you fly quite a bit, and obviously talk with other pilots, Have you ever had any stories like this, or UFO-type reports from other pilots when you talk among yourselves?

C No, very..I don't ever remember another one from another pilot. I've talked to a number of people, maybe a half a dozen, who have obviously had UFO sightings of various kinds, but you never know, but most of these....

one was a housewife, one was my own brother-in-law who observed some objects over at Dallas some ten years ago I guess, and then, of course, you know the few that you've read about in the papers. But, no, among other pilots, I don't right off hand recall ~~any~~ ever even having talked to any about them.

H This is very interesting because I have ~~any~~ had some reports from commercial pilots, but sometimes daytimes sightings, they've reported craft that come by and sort of "inspection" look, but very few, and those that I have talked with have been most reluctant to say anything, and definitely did not wish me to report it officially.

C Well, I don't blame them and I had gotten to the point where I was wishing I hadn't said anything, but the way it started we got too much information out and everybody started in.

H Well, it's an important, it may very well be a very important scientific and public problem.

C You recall, one of things that did impress me, I don't know if it had anything to do with whatever, but you know they did lose their satellite shot early the next morning. And something went wrong with the data system. This could be coincidental, or what have you, or there may be those who don't want additional objects in space.

H You know, it sometimes happens that, well let me ask you, did you find any of your instruments at all disturbed, say compass, and so forth?

C No, nothing whatever. Everything was perfectly normal, half the radio wasn't working as usual, but everything was as normal as it had been before. No light change, no electrical change that I could notice.

H And did the light at any time leave any sort of a trail or exhaust?

C No, none whatever. I saw over Yuma, Arizona, one night that I was

going across there about 10,000 ft, in fact, I ended up landing there, because I was just worn out, but before I went in I saw, now let me see, this was about 5 years ago, I saw two lights which I think to this day, now I never investigated, but I think to this day were Marine jet fighters. I think there's a Marine base there, if I'm not mistaken. And they were doing similar looking acrobatics, going straight up, over the top, straight down, and then back up again. And there were two of them, one following the other, and I think it was jets now, like I say, I never asked. But this is the only thing similar to this I've ever seen.

H And these acrobatics, went for a total of how many minutes?

C I'd say about 15 minutes.

H And then, let me get the sequence straight, after that it went off to the east.

C It went off just to our right.

H Oh, you said, 15^{o} angle up and disappeared in the sky, did it?

C Well, it disappeared into the other stars. We couldn't identify it; it got to a point where we couldn't identify it from the other stars in the sky.

H Right, well, this has been extremely helpful; I wish I knew the answer to this.

C Well, I'm afraid only the good Lord knows these, some of these answers. I believe there are things that we who are mortals on this earth don't know about, but I have accept the fact that there are things going on that we can't comprehend with our finite minds and I, too, am ready to meet the Lord, but Mr. Rushing is an unusual person; like I say, I don't believe you would gain any information from calling him.

H No, I think I have, I think if I put you on a torture rack I couldn't get any more information. You've been very cooperative.

C Can I get your name and where you are.

H Yes, sure; it's J. A. Hynek; I'm an astronomer here at Northwestern University and I've been a consultant to the Air Force on Project Bluebook for quite a few years.

C You're at Northwestern, and where?

H Evanston, Illinois.

C Oh, in Evanston. We have a Northwestern University here, or maybe that's just Northwest at Wichita Falls, I'm not sure. Well, I'm very glad to give you any information I can, and if you should come up with anything interesting, I would appreciate having a note from you.

H All right, then let's make this a two-way street. If you would , in your flying, come across anything else, then I'd appreciate hearing from you. I'm very easily reached, simply the Dept. of Astronomy, Northwestern University.

C Sure, I'd be awfully glad to get in touch.

H Well, thank you.

C Thank you very much.

15 Sept 68

13 NOV 1968

TDPT (UFO)

UFO Observation, 15 September 1968, Florida

Dr J Allen Hynek

Reference the attached UFO report from Florida which occurred on 15 September 1968. Request you evaluate this case and make your comments on the attached Project Record Card. If you need additional information on the sighting, please obtain it. However, we want transcripts of all telephone conversations and letters on this report. Request this information on or before 30 November 1968.

HECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Branch
Aerospace Technologies Division
Production Directorate

2 Atch
1. UFO Rpt, Florida, 15 Sep 68
2. FTD Form 0-329

TDPT (UFO) OFFICIAL FILE CY

AFR 80-17(C1)

SIGHTING OF UNIDENTIFIED PHENOMENA QUESTIONNAIRE

BUDGET BUREAU APPROVAL NUMBER 21-R258

THIS QUESTIONNAIRE HAS BEEN PREPARED SO THAT YOU CAN GIVE THE U.S. AIR FORCE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE CONCERNING THE UNIDENTIFIED PHENOMENON THAT YOU HAVE OBSERVED. PLEASE TRY TO ANSWER ALL OF THE QUESTIONS. THE INFORMATION YOU GIVE WILL BE USED FOR RESEARCH PURPOSES YOUR NAME WILL NOT BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH ANY OF YOUR STATEMENTS OR CONCLUSIONS WITHOUT YOUR PERMISSION. RETURN TO AIR FORCE BASE INVESTIGATOR FOR FORWARDING TO FTD (TDETR), WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OHIO 45433, IAW AFR 80-17. *(IF ADDITIONAL SHEETS ARE NEEDED FOR NARRATIVE OR SKETCHES ATTACH SECURELY TO THIS FORM OR ANNOTATE WITH YOUR NAME FOR IDENTIFICATION.)*

1. WHEN DID YOU SEE THE PHENOMENON?
DAY 15 MONTH Sept. YEAR 68

2. WHAT TIME DID YOU FIRST SIGHT THE PHENOMENON?
Estimate HOUR 9 MINUTES 30 ☐ A.M. ☒ P.M.

3. WHAT TIME DID YOU LAST SIGHT THE PHENOMENON?
HOUR 10 MINUTES 15 ☐ A.M. ☒ P.M.


4. TIME / ZONE ☐ DAYLIGHT SAVINGS ☐ STANDARD
☒ EASTERN ☐ CENTRAL ☐ MOUNTAIN ☐ PACIFIC ☐ OTHER

5. WHERE WERE YOU WHEN YOU SAW THE PHENOMENON? IF IN CITY, GIVE THE NEAREST STREET ADDRESS AND INDICATE ON A HAND DRAWN MAP WHERE YOU WERE STANDING WITH REFERENCE TO THE ADDRESS. IF IN THE COUNTRY, IDENTIFY THE HIGHWAY YOU WERE ON OR NEAR AND TRY TO FIX A DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM SOME RECOGNIZABLE LANDMARK.

Flying Twin Beech C45H, 9000' alt. Approx. 20 Mi. S/E of Lobster Intersection, West of Cross City, Fla. Heading 120°


6. IMAGINE YOU ARE AT THE POINT SHOWN IN THE SKETCH, PLACE AN "A" ON THE CURVED LINE TO SHOW HOW HIGH THE PHENOMENON WAS ABOVE THE HORIZON, OR SKYLINE, WHEN FIRST SEEN. PLACE A "B" ON THE SAME CURVED LINE TO SHOW HOW HIGH ABOVE THE HORIZON THE PHENOMENON WAS WHEN LAST SEEN.

Object At Same Alt. After About 20 Min. Disappeared At High Alt. on Approx. Heading of 180°




AF FORM AUG 67 117


6A. NOW IMAGINE YOU ARE AT THE CENTER OF THE COMPASS ROSE. PLACE AN "A" ON THE COMPASS TO INDICATE THE DIRECTION TO THE PHENOMENON WHEN FIRST SEEN. PLACE A "B" ON THE COMPASS TO INDICATE THE DIRECTION TO THE PHENOMENON WHEN LAST SEEN.



7. IN THE SKETCH BELOW, PLACE AN "A" AT THE POSITION OF THE PHENOMENON WHEN FIRST SEEN, AND A "B" AT THE POSITION OF THE PHENOMENON WHEN LAST SEEN. CONNECT THE "A" AND "B" WITH A LINE TO APPROXIMATE THE MOVEMENT OF THE PHENOMENON BETWEEN "A" AND "B". THAT IS, SCHEMATICALLY SHOW WHETHER THE MOVEMENT APPEARED TO BE STRAIGHT, CURVED OR ZIG-ZAG. REFER TO SMALLER SKETCH AS AN EXAMPLE OF HOW TO COMPLETE THE LARGER SKETCH.

Object (Green Light) Appeared To do Acrobatics (Loops) Above + Below our Aircraft But Always Returned To our Attitude during Time of Observance.





8. WHERE WERE YOU WHEN YOU SAW THE PHENOMENON? *(Check appropriate blocks.)*

	OUTDOORS		IN BUSINESS SECTION OF CITY
	IN BUILDING		IN RESIDENTIAL SECTION OF CITY
	IN CAR ☐ AS DRIVER ☐ AS PASSENGER		IN OPEN COUNTRYSIDE
	IN BOAT		NEAR AIRFIELD
✓	IN AIRPLANE ☑ AS PILOT ☐ AS PASSENGER		FLYING OVER CITY
	OTHER		FLYING OVER OPEN COUNTRY
		✓	OTHER Gulf

A. IF YOU WERE IN A VEHICLE, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:

WHAT DIRECTION WERE YOU MOVING?			
	NORTH		EAST
	SOUTH		WEST
	NORTHEAST	✓	SOUTHEAST 120°
	NORTHWEST		SOUTHWEST

HOW FAST WERE YOU MOVING? 200 Stat.

DID YOU STOP ANYTIME WHILE OBSERVING THE PHENOMENON? ☐ YES ☒ NO

EXPLAIN WHETHER SUCH MOVEMENT AFFECTS YOUR SKETCHES IN ITEMS 5 AND 6.

—

DESCRIBE TYPE OF VEHICLE YOU WERE IN AND TYPE OF ROAD, TERRAIN OR BODY OF WATER YOU TRAVERSED DURING THE SIGHTING. STATE WHETHER WINDOWS OR CONVERTIBLE TOP WERE UP OR DOWN.

Beech C45H

HOW MUCH OTHER TRAFFIC WAS THERE?

None

DID YOU NOTICE ANY AIRPLANES? ☐ YES ☑ NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE WHEN THEY WERE IN SIGHT RELATIVE TO THE TIME OF SIGHTING THE PHENOMENON AND WHERE THEY WERE IN THE SKY RELATIVE TO THE POSITION OF THE PHENOMENON.

Sky Clear with T Storm in Distant East.

9. HOW LONG WAS THE PHENOMENON IN SIGHT?

LENGTH OF TIME				
20 min. ea.		CERTAIN OF TIME		NOT VERY SURE
	✓	FAIRLY CERTAIN		JUST A GUESS

HOW WAS TIME DETERMINED?

Watch

WAS THE PHENOMENON IN SIGHT CONTINUOUSLY? ☑ YES ☐ NO. IF "NO," INDICATE WHETHER THIS IS DUE TO YOUR MOVEMENT OR THE BEHAVIOR OF THE PHENOMENON, AND DESCRIBE SUCH MOVEMENT OR BEHAVIOR. INDICATE DISAPPEARANCES ON PREVIOUS SKETCHES.

10. IF THERE WERE MORE THAN ONE PHENOMENON, HOW MANY WERE THERE? DRAW A PICTURE TO SHOW HOW THEY WERE ARRANGED. DID THIS ARRANGEMENT CHANGE DURING THE SIGHTING?

First Light was Green. When it departed To the South Another (white Light) observed directly over Ocala, Fla.. Appeared To Hover. We were still 9000', Hdg 120°, 12 DME A/W of Ocala. Object was Est. AT 5000'. Moved directly Toward us.

11. CONDITIONS *(Check appropriate blocks.)*

A. SKY		B. WEATHER			
	DAY		CUMULUS CLOUDS *(Low fluffy)*		FOG OR MIST
	TWILIGHT		CIRRUS CLOUDS *(High fleecy or Herring-bone)*		HEAVY RAIN
✓	NIGHT				LIGHT RAIN OR DRIZZLE
✓	CLEAR		NIMBUS CLOUDS *(Rain)*		HAIL
	PARTLY CLOUDY		CUMULONIMBUS CLOUDS *(Thunderstorms)*		SNOW OR SLEET
	COMPLETELY OVERCAST				UNKNOWN
			HAZE OR SMOG		NONE OF THE ABOVE

C. IF THE SIGHTING WAS AT TWILIGHT OR NIGHT, WHAT DID YOU NOTICE ABOUT THE STARS AND MOON?

(1) STARS		(2) MOON			
	NONE		BRIGHT MOONLIGHT	✓	NO MOONLIGHT ?
	A FEW		MOON WITH HALO		UNKNOWN
✓	MANY		MOON HIDDEN BY CLOUDS		
	UNKNOWN		PARTIAL *(New or quarter)*		

D. IF SIGHTING WAS IN DAYLIGHT, WAS THE SUN VISIBLE? ☐ YES ☐ NO. IF "YES," WHERE WAS THE SUN AS YOU FACED THE PHENOMENON?

	IN FRONT OF YOU		TO YOUR RIGHT		OVERHEAD *(Near noon)*
	IN BACK OF YOU		TO YOUR LEFT		UNKNOWN

E. SPECIFY THE MAJOR SOURCE OF ILLUMINATION PRESENT DURING THE SIGHTING, SUCH AS THE SUN, HEADLIGHTS OR STREET LAMP, ETC. FOR TERRESTRIAL ILLUMINATION, SPECIFY DISTANCE TO LIGHT SOURCE.

12. GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PHENOMENON, INDICATING WHETHER IT APPEARED DARK OR LIGHT, WHETHER IT REFLECTED LIGHT OR WAS SELF-LUMINOUS AND WHAT COLORS YOU NOTICED. DESCRIBE YOUR IMPRESSION OF WHETHER IT WAS SOLID OR TRANSPARENT, WHETHER EDGES WERE SHARP OR FUZZY. DESCRIBE THE SHAPE OR INDICATE IF IT APPEARED AS A POINT OF LIGHT. INDICATE COMPARISONS WITH OTHER OBSERVED OBJECTS, LIKE STARS, A LIGHT OR OTHER OBJECT IN YOUR FIELD OF VIEW.

First Object Over Water. No Lights on Surface

13. DID THE PHENOMENON	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
MOVE IN A STRAIGHT LINE?		✓	
STAND STILL AT ANYTIME?	✓		
SUDDENLY SPEED UP AND RUN AWAY?	✓		
BREAK UP IN PARTS AND EXPLODE?		✓	
CHANGE COLOR?		✓	
GIVE OFF SMOKE?		?	
CHANGE BRIGHTNESS?		✓	
CHANGE SHAPE?		✓	
FLASH OR FLICKER?	✓		
DISAPPEAR AND REAPPEAR?		✓	
SPIN LIKE A TOP?		?	
MAKE A NOISE?		?	
FLUTTER OR WOBBLE?		?	

14. WHAT DREW YOUR ATTENTION TO THE PHENOMENON?

First Thought it To be student Jet practice. we were on Inst. Flt. Plan & Asked Jacksonville Center if he observed Target At 12:00 position. He did Not.

A. HOW DID IT FINALLY DISAPPEAR?

Climbing South

B. DID THE PHENOMENON MOVE BEHIND OR IN FRONT OF SOMETHING, LIKE A CLOUD, TREE, OR BUILDING AT ANY TIME?
☐ YES ☒ NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE.

15. DRAW A PICTURE THAT WILL SHOW THE SHAPE OF THE PHENOMENON. INCLUDE AND LABEL ANY DETAILS THAT MIGHT HAVE APPEARED AS WINGS OR PROTRUSIONS, AND INDICATE EXHAUST OR VAPOR TRAILS. INDICATE BY AN ARROW THE DIRECTION THE PHENOMENON WAS MOVING.

Light only. Night was Dark

16. WHAT WAS THE ANGULAR SIZE? HOLD A MATCH AT ARM'S LENGTH IN FRONT OF A KNOWN OBJECT, SUCH AS A STREET LAMP OR THE MOON. NOTE HOW MUCH OF THE OBJECT IS COVERED BY THE HEAD OF THE MATCH. NOW IF YOU HAD BEEN ABLE TO PERFORM THIS EXPERIMENT AT THE TIME OF THE SIGHTING, ESTIMATE WHAT FRACTION OF THE PHENOMENON WOULD HAVE BEEN COVERED BY THE MATCH HEAD.

Size of Aircraft Landing Light Viewed From about 5 Miles

17. DID YOU OBSERVE THE PHENOMENON THROUGH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? INCLUDE INFORMATION ON MODEL, TYPE, FILTER, LENS PRESCRIPTION OR OTHER APPLICABLE DATA.

	EYEGLASSES		CAMERA VIEWER
	SUNGLASSES		BINOCULARS
✓	WINDSHIELD		TELESCOPE
	SIDE WINDOW OF VEHICLE		THEODOLITE
	WINDOWPANE		OTHER

A. DO YOU ORDINARILY WEAR GLASSES? ☐ YES ☒ NO

B. DO YOU USE READING GLASSES? ☐ YES ☒ NO

18. WHAT WAS YOUR IMPRESSION OF THE SPEED OF THE PHENOMENON? GIVE ESTIMATE OF SPEED Mach 4 At Will. Any Speed.

19. WHAT WAS YOUR IMPRESSION OF THE DISTANCE OF THE PHENOMENON? GIVE ESTIMATE OF DISTANCE _____.

20. IN ORDER THAT WE MAY OBTAIN AS CLEAR A PICTURE AS POSSIBLE OF WHAT YOU SAW, DESCRIBE IN YOUR OWN WORDS A COMMON OBJECT OR OBJECTS WHICH, WHEN PLACED IN THE SKY, SIMILAR TO WHERE YOU NOTED THE PHENOMENON, WOULD BEAR SOME RESEMBLANCE TO WHAT YOU SAW. DESCRIBE SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE COMMON OBJECT AND WHAT YOU SAW.

See 16

21. DID YOU NOTICE ANY ODOR, NOISE, OR HEAT EMANATING FROM THE PHENOMENON OR ANY EFFECT ON YOURSELF, ANIMALS OR MACHINERY IN THE VICINITY? ☐ YES ☒ NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE.

A. DID THE PHENOMENON DISTURB THE GROUND OR LEAVE ANY PHYSICAL EVIDENCE. ☐ YES ☒ NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE.

Unknown

22. HAVE YOU EVER SEEN THIS OR A SIMILAR PHENOMENON BEFORE? [X] YES [ ] NO. IF "YES," GIVE DATE AND LOCATION.
Over Marine base, Yuma, Ariz. 10:00 PM. About 3yrs Ago. Assumed it to be Jets Doing Night Maneuvers. Did Not Report.

23. WAS ANYONE WITH YOU AT THE TIME YOU SAW THE PHENOMENON? [X] YES [ ] NO. IF "YES," DID THEY SEE IT TOO? [X] YES [ ] NO.

A. LIST THEIR NAMES AND ADDRESSES
[redacted], on [redacted]
Plano, Texas

24. GIVE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION ABOUT YOURSELF

LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME
[redacted]

ADDRESS (Street, City, State and Zip Code)
[redacted] Garland Tex 75040


TELEPHONE (Area code and number)	AGE		MALE		FEMALE
[redacted]	39	X	MALE		FEMALE

INDICATE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION INCLUDING OCCUPATION AND ANY EXPERIENCE WHICH MAY BE PERTINENT.
Pilot, Formerly Aircraft Dealer.
Now Missionary Pilot.

25. WHEN AND TO WHOM DID YOU REPORT THAT YOU HAD SIGHTED THIS PHENOMENON?
NAME Jacksonville Courier DAY 15 MONTH Sept YEAR 68

26. DATE YOU COMPLETED THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.
8 Oct 68 DAY 8 MONTH Oct YEAR 68

27. INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL IS PERTINENT BUT WHICH IS NOT ADEQUATELY COVERED IN THIS QUESTIONNAIRE. ALTERNATIVELY PROVIDE A NARRATIVE EXPLANATION OF THE SIGHTING.



Miami Center reported a target following aircraft approx 10 DME N/w of West Palm Beach. I Turned At Their Request But could Not Positively Identify Any Thing. One Light was Larger Than others in The Area & Appeared To descend When we Turned, But This cannot Be Definite As I did Not Break The 360° Turn

15 Sept 68

7 OCT 1968

TDPT (UFO) Lt Col Quintanilla/70916/mhs/4 Oct 68

UFO Sighting, 15 September 1968

[redacted]
Garland, Texas 75040

[redacted]
Plano, Texas 75074

The information that we have received on your unidentified flying object (UFO) sighting of 15 September 1968 is very sketchy. We are inclosing three AF Forms 117 since it appears that you observed the object three different times. We would appreciate it if you would complete these forms, along with any narrative statements that you would care to make, and return them to us in the self-addressed envelope.

HECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Office
Aerospace Technologies Division
Production Directorate

1 Atch
AF Form 117 (3 cys)
w/self-addressed envelope

TDPT (UFO) OFFICIAL FILE CY

CITY OF OCALA

FLORIDA

32670

OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF OF POLICE

October 14, 1968

POST OFFICE BOX 1270

Lt. Colonel Hector Quintanilla, Jr.
Department of the Air Force
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Office
Aerospace Technologies Division
Production Directorate
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

Dear Sir:

We have checked our complaints and find no record of a report on an unidentified flying object on the evening of September 15, 1968.

If we can be of further assistance, please let us know.

Yours truly,

Joanne Cormier

(Mrs.) Joanne Cormier
Secretary to Chief Alvarez

:jc

15 Sept 65

7 OCT 1968

TDPT (UFO) Lt Col Quintanilla/70916/mhs/4 Oct 68

UFO Observation, 15 September 1968

Ocala City Police Department
Ocala, Florida 32670

1. The Aerial Phenomena Office is in receipt of an unidentified flying object (UFO) report from a pilot who was flying near Ocala, Florida, sometime between 9:30 and 10:00 pm, EDT, on the evening of 15 September 1968.

2. The pilot reported that he was flying southeast at about 9000 ft when he sighted a large white light that seemed to be hovering over Ocala. The light then headed straight for his plane, turned due west about a mile in front of him, and when last observed, appeared to be on the ground just west of Ocala.

3. Did you receive any similar complaints for the night of the 15th? We would appreciate your comments as to a possible cause for this sighting.

4. Thank you for your assistance on this matter.

HECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Office
Aerospace Technologies Division
Production Directorate

1 Atch
Self-addressed envelope

TDPT(UFO) OFFICIAL FILE CY

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS 306TH BOMBARDMENT WING (SAC)
McCOY AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA 32812

REPLY TO ATTN OF: DCOI

25 Sep 1968

SUBJECT: UFO

TO: FTD (TDETR)

1. At approximately 0055Z, 16 September 1968, Lt Colonel Crandall, Chief Intelligence Division, Wing UFO Officer, received a call from the 306 Bomb Wing Command Post, stating they were in contact with Miami Center and that a civilian aircraft, N36H, had reported sighting a UFO in the vicinity of Cross City, Florida, at 0031Z hours. Lt Colonel Crandall was "patched in" to Miami Center and requested the center to contact the aircraft again for further information. At this time, approximately 0105Z, Miami Center relayed that the UFO was no longer in sight and the reporting aircraft was proceeding on to destination. Miami Center stated that neither they nor Jacksonville Center had picked up any UFO's on their radar. No other reports of UFO sightings were received by this office.

2. On 17 September 1968, Lt Colonel Crandall was contacted on the telephone by Captain Harlen H. Heimbach, Senior Director, 32 Air Division (SAGE), Gunter AFB, Alabama. Captain Heimbach stated he had some information on a reported UFO sighting on 16 Sep 68. He was contacting McCoy because the reported sighting occurred in the area of McCoy AFB. During the conversation it was determined that this was the same sighting and reporting aircraft that Miami Center had referred to the 306th Bomb Wing Command Post the night before. Lt Colonel Crandall requested Captain Heimbach to furnish all information he might have on the sighting. Captain Heimbach stated that he had recorded the conversation between him and the pilot of the reporting aircraft and would replay it for Lt Colonel Crandall. However, because the tape was practically inaudible on the telephone Captain Heimbach consented to transcribe the taped conversation and send it to Lt Colonel Crandall. Attached is letter from Captain Heimbach and transcript of conversation with pilots making the report of the sighting.

3. Presence of sighted object is not explainable by this office.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Walter A. Carter Jr.

WALTER A. CARTER JR, Colonel, USAF
Deputy Commander for Operations

2 Atch
1. Ltr, UFO (Capt Heimbach)
2. Transcript for UFO

Cy to: U. of Colorado (Dr. Condon)

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS 32D AIR DIVISION (ADC)
GUNTER AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA 36114

REPLY TO ATTN OF: 32OCC

18 September 1968

SUBJECT: UFO 0031Z - 0210Z, 16 September 1968

TO: Lt Col Crandall
DCOI
306 Bomb Wg
McCoy AFB FL 32812

1. Jacksonville Center (ARTCC) Watch Supervisor (Mr. Craven) telephoned the Senior Director, 32nd Air Division (ADC), of a UFO sighting at 0056Z, 16 September 1968. JAX Center had radio contact with twin beech aircraft N63H that had reported UFO contact at 0031Z 25 miles SE of Cross City, Fla. Pilot was Mr. [redacted] co-pilot was Mr. [redacted], from Garland, Texas. Telephone number, [redacted] First sighting was 25 miles SE of Cross City and last sighting was 22 miles north of Palm Beach. Object was a large, pale green light, with no apparent shape or size. Object was doing acrobatics, changing altitudes rapidly, making 90° turns and staying stationary for short periods of time.

2. At one time, the pilot reported seeing two objects. One object disappeared going straight up and wasn't sighted again.

3. On approach to Palm Beach, altitude 3500 feet, the pilot was requested to make a 360° turn to see if UFO was still with him. Pilot saw object at approximately 1000 feet, climbing at a high rate of speed, veered off to the left, descinded rapidly toward the ground and disappeared.

4. Transcript of conversation with pilot is attached.

Harlen H. Heimbach
HARLEN H. HEIMBACH, Capt, USAF
Senior Director, 32nd Air Division (SAGE)

1 Atch
Transcript

[redacted]
Garland Tex.
75040

[redacted]
Plano, Tex
75074

Atch 1

15 pp.

Transcript for UFO

Pilot - [redacted]
Co-Pilot - [redacted]
Garland, Texas - Telephone [redacted]. wrong NO.

First sighting was between Tyndall AFB and Cross City, Fla.

Mr. [redacted] A pale green light with intermittent flashes, a large light, much larger, or more like an airport beacon, only it wasn't nearly that green. It looked like something flicked in front of the light once every 2 seconds; just a flicker. But we still thought it was a jet. It was doing loops out there, going straight up and straight down. The object stayed right in front, occasionally veering off to one side and then to the other. We followed him. Jacksonville Center couldn't pick it up on radar. We followed him until we were almost to Ocala, and he disappeared off into the sky on a heading of something like 200° and then as we approached Ocala there was an object, at first it was a white light. It was hanging right over Ocala and just as we finished reporting the other one this one came right at us. We were on a collision course. I would say he missed us about 1 mile. He came straight at us at an angle. We were about 15 miles out of Ocala still on a heading of 120. This object came straight at us in a climb attitude then turned right in front of us to the west. He went out about 15 miles west of Ocala and just hung there at an altitude of, well we were at an altitude of 9000, I would guess that he was at probably 6000 or 7000 ft. We saw him move several times but when we last sighted him, it looked like he was sitting on the ground just west of Ocala.

Capt Heimbach - We had a height finder on you and were tracking you and there was an object behind you for quite awhile northwest of Palm Beach. I don't know exactly how far. We had numerous height finder checks on you; also an object less than 1 mile behind you.

Mr. [redacted]- We turned and saw what appeared to be the same white light as we saw over Ocala, in a steep turn. When we saw it, it looked like it descended rather rapidly. I would definitely not try to identify it.

Capt Heimbach - What do you think it was?

Mr [redacted]- I don't know. It was not a jet. Jet aircraft can't make the sharp turns these things were making.

Capt Heimbach - Everytime you saw it, it was making steep turns?

Mr. [redacted]- No, it would hover and then it would proceed on course exactly the same way we were going, ahead of us; then it would go straight up, over the top, or straight down.

Atch 2

Capt Heimbach - Was there turbulent or severe weather or lightning in the area.

Mr. [redacted] Lightning was off to the east from us. That is the reason we were turning to the south, down the eastern edge of the water zone instead of going on to Cross City. After the first object went off to the south, the 2nd object was almost in perfectly clear weather.

Capt Heimbach - Do you live in the Palm Beach area?

Mr. [redacted] - No, I live in Garland, Texas.

Capt Heimbach - How long will you be in that area?

Mr. [redacted] We are leaving in the morning.

Mr. [redacted] - Co-Pilot

This thing came as straight at us as it could come. I thought we were close to the warning zone (W470) and caught a side winder. I was ready to meet the Lord, it didn't make any difference, but it sure scared me. You know that thing looked like it was doing 2 to 3 thousand miles an hour. To the best of my judgement it would make complete left turns or right turns just a straight turn, just as straight as it could. It wouldn't make a roll out. I have flown over 3000 hours in 3 years myself and there is not any jets that could fly like this. You don't have them that will go straight up and down like this was doing and then hover within seconds. Seems like it would put on brakes and then hover.

NAVMC ROUTING SLIP	Never Use for Approvals, Disapprovals, Concurrences, or Similar Actions		ACTION
1 TO		INITIALS	CIRCULATE
		DATE	COORDINATION
2			FILE
			INFORMATION
3			NOTE AND RETURN
			PER CONVERSATION
4			SEE ME
			SIGNATURE

REMARKS

D contacted [redacted] and he advised that he did not think it was an airplane, but his description certainly made it sound like one. Could it

FROM	DATE
	PHONE

DD FORM 1 OCT 60 95 Replaces DD Form 94, 1 Feb 50, and DD Form 95, 1 Feb 50, which will be used until exhausted. ☆ GPO : 1968 O - 295-658

5 Sep 1968.
Albuquerque, NM

05 SEP 68
(Date)

AIC JIMMY A. MURRAY
(Name & Telephone Nr. of Individual Receiving Rept)

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS (UFO) - Outline of Reporting Format
(AFR 80-17, 19 Sep 66)

a. Description of the Object(s):

(1) Shape: ROUND IN NATURE

(2) Size compared to a known object: FROM NAKED EYE LOOKED SOMETHING LIKE A STAR

(3) Color: A GREEN GLOW WITH A RED FLASH

(4) Number: 1

(5) Formation, if more than one NONE

(6) Any discernible features or details VERY SLOW AND A RED FLASH ONCE IN AWHILE

(7) Tail, trail, or exhaust, including its size —

(8) Sound NO SOUND

(9) Other pertinent or unusual features NEGATIVE

b. Description of Course of Object(s):

(1) What first called the attention of observer(s) to the objects
IN N.W. AREA OF ALBUQUERQUE AND FLICKERING

(2) Angle of elevation and azimuth of object(s) when first observed. (Use theodolite or compass measurement if possible.)

(3) Angle of elevation of object(s) upon disappearance. (Use theodolite or compass measurement if possible.)

(4) Description of flight path and maneuvers of object(s). (Use elevations and azimuth, not altitude.)

(5) How did the object(s) disappear? (Instantaneously to the North, for example.)

(6) How long were the object(s) visible? (Be specific--5 minutes, 1 hour, etc.)

30 MINS.

c. Manner of Observation:

(1) Use one or any combination of the following items: Ground-visual, air-visual, ground-electronic, air-electronic. (If electronic, ~~specify~~ type of radar.)

(2) Statement as to optical aids (Telescopes, binoculars, etc.) used and description thereof.

NONE

(3) If the sighting occurred, while airborne, give type of aircraft, identification number, altitude, heading, speed, and home station.

—

d. Time and Date of Sighting:

(1) Greenwich date-time group of sighting and local time.

0500Z 2300 LCL

(2) Light conditions (use one of the following terms: Night, day, dawn, dusk).

NIGHT

e. Location of Observer(s). Give exact latitude and longitude coordinates of each observer, and/or geographical position. In electrical reports, give a position with reference to a known landmark in addition to the coordinates. For example, use "2 mi N of Deeville"; "3 mi SW of Blue Lake," to preclude errors due to teletype garbling of figures.

[redacted] E N.E.

f. Identifying Information on Observer(s):

(1) Civilian -- Name, age, mailing address, occupation, education and estimate of reliability.

(2) Military -- Name, grade, organization, duty, and estimate of reliability.

Sgt. M.J. ELLIOT 299-9319

g. Weather and Winds-Aloft Conditions at Time and Place of Sightings:

(1) Observer(s) account of weather conditions UNLIMITED GOOD WEATHER, UNRESTRICTED.

(2) Report from nearest AWS or US Weather Bureau Office of wind direction and velocity in degrees and knots at surface 6,000', 10,000', 16,000', 20,000', 30,000', 50,000', and 80,000', if available.

160 AT 10 KTS.

(3) Ceiling NONE

(4) Visibility UNLIMITED

(5) Amount of cloud cover CLEAR

(6) Thunderstorms in area and quadrant in which located.

EAST OF MTS.

(7) Vertical temperature gradient

h. Any other unusual activity or condition, meteorological, astronomical, or otherwise, that might account for the sighting.

i. Interception or identification action taken (such action is authorized whenever feasible and in compliance with existing air defense directives).

j. Location, approximate altitude, and general direction of flight of any air traffic or balloon releases in the area that might possibly account for the sighting.

k. Position title and comments of the preparing officer, including his preliminary analysis of the possible cause of the sighting(s).

16 - 30 SEPTEMBER 1968 SIGHTINGS

DATE	LOCATION	OBSERVER	EVALUATION
17	Nellis AFB, Nevada	[redacted]	Balloon
18	Silver Springs, Maryland	[redacted]	Other (UNRELIABLE REPORT)
19 or 30	Dayton, Ohio	[redacted]	Other (CONFLICTING DATA)
20	Waynesboro, Pennsylvania	[redacted]	Aircraft
20	Ewa Beach, Hawaii	[redacted]	Other (UNRELIABLE REPORT)
21	Boothbay Harbor, Maine	[redacted]	Astro (ARCTURUS)
24	Greenville, New York	[redacted]	Other (CONFLICTING DATA)
25	Near Santa Barbara, California	[redacted]	Insufficient Data
26	Winston-Salem, North Carolina	[redacted]	Other (UNRELIABLE REPORT)
27	Presque Isle, Maine	[redacted]	Insufficient Data
27	Morgantown, West Virginia	[redacted]	Other (CONFLICTING DATA)
27	Cincinnati, Ohio	[redacted]	Insufficient Data
27	Dayton, Ohio	[redacted]	Balloon
27	Milwaukie, Oregon	[redacted]	Balloon
29	Staten Island, New York	[redacted]	Insufficient Data
30	Memphis, Tennessee	[redacted]	Other (UNRELIABLE REPORT)
17	New Mexico		

ADDITIONAL REPORTED SIGHTINGS (NOT CASES)

DATE	LOCATION	SOURCE	EVALUATION
Sep	Penndel, Pennsylvania	[redacted]	
5	Madrid, Spain		
18	La Jolla, California		
23	Whitefield, Maine		
25	Dayton, Ohio		
29	Victoria, British Columbia		