

DPA

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date

8 Dec 78

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number,
building, Agency/Post)

Initials

Date

1. [REDACTED]
2. [REDACTED]
3. [REDACTED]
4. [REDACTED]
5. [REDACTED]

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

Per telecon.

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals,
clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bldg.
Louis E. Foster, RDS-3A, DIA	Phone No. 25766

8041-102
U.S. G.P.O. 1977-241-530/3090OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206a. Reassessable Evaluation Not Requested

EVALUATOR'S ORGANIZATION	NAME OF EVALUATOR	DECLASSIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS Classified by <u>DIA (OPR 23)</u> SUBJECT TO GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION SCHEDULE OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 11652 AUTOMATICALLY DOWNGRADED AT TWO YEAR INTERVALS DECASSIFIED ON 31 DECEMBER <u>1992</u>
DATE EVALUATED		SIGNATURE OF APPROVING AUTHORITY
YEAR	MONTH	DAY
7	12	2

EDITION OF 1964, 1971, 1980, 1982

CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO UNCLASSIFIED
EFFECTIVE 01 JAN 1987

COUNTRY/OPERATOR		REF ID	COLLECTOR'S PROJECT NUMBER	IN DATE			
TO:		ICR NUMBER/OTHER REFERENCE	SOURCE NUMBER	YEAR	MONTH	DAY	
THRU:		SII CODE(S)	TARGET COUNTRY (IES)	YEAR	MONTH	DAY	
		REASON FOR EVALUATION	1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ICR 2. <input type="checkbox"/> COLLECTOR'S REQUEST	2. <input type="checkbox"/> SELECTED BY COL MGR 3. <input type="checkbox"/> ANALYST INITIATIVE	DATE REC'D BY DISSEMINATION ACTIVITY		
				YEAR	MONTH	DAY	
				DATE REC'D BY EVALUATOR			
				YEAR	MONTH	DAY	
				IR ORIGINATOR			
				<i>TP</i>			
A. IR RESPONDED TO		B. RELIABILITY OF INFORMATION			C. VALUE OF INFORMATION		
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DIRM Part Three 2. <input type="checkbox"/> CIR 3. <input type="checkbox"/> ICR - If checked, ICR was satisfied: a. <input type="checkbox"/> Completely b. <input type="checkbox"/> Partially (If b or c checked, include Guidance Remarks Section) c. <input type="checkbox"/> Not at all 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Other		1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Confirmed by other sources 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantially true 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Cannot be judged 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Doubtful 5. <input type="checkbox"/> False			1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High (Unique, Timely, and of Major Significance) 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Moderate (Contributory and Useful) 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Low (Marginal) 4. <input type="checkbox"/> None (Of no use) 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Cannot be judged (Analyst has no basis for value judgement)		
		D. USABILITY OF INFORMATION					
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Used or planned for use in product: a. <input type="checkbox"/> Basic Intelligence b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Current Intelligence c. <input type="checkbox"/> Estimative Intelligence d. <input type="checkbox"/> Other		2. <input type="checkbox"/> Incorporated in Data Base a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potentially Useful b. <input type="checkbox"/> Background/Confirmatory 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Stimulus for Intelligence Guidance or Requirement			4. <input type="checkbox"/> Not used (All responses require explanation in Remarks section) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Unreliable b. <input type="checkbox"/> Too Fragmentary c. <input type="checkbox"/> Duplication d. <input type="checkbox"/> Not Pertinent to Needs		
NAME OF PRODUCT:							
REMARKS (Number and Classify each Paragraph): The object was seen by three separate aircraft (i.e. different aircraft and the sky was clear) and at different times (both day and night). a) The credibility of many of the witnesses was high (an air force general, qualified airmen, and experienced tower operators). b) Visual sightings were confirmed by radar. c) Similar electromagnetic effects (EM) were reported by three separate aircraft. d) There were physiological effects on some crew members (i.e. loss of night vision due to the brightness of the object). e) An inordinate amount of maneuverability was displayed by the UFO's.							
EVALUATOR'S RELEASE and DISCLOSURE GUIDANCE							
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Paragraph(s) _____ above are releasable to the government of _____							
2. <input type="checkbox"/> Evaluation is Not Releasable 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Releasable Evaluation Not Requested							
EVALUATOR'S ORGANIZATION		NAME OF EVALUATOR		DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS			
DATE EVALUATED		SIGNATURE OF APPROVING AUTHORITY		Classified by <i>DP-108-23</i> SUBJECT TO GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION SCHEDULE OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 11652 AUTOMATICALLY DOWNGRADED AT TWO YEAR INTERVALS DECLASSIFIED ON 31 DECEMBER 1992			
YEAR	MONTH	DAY					

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
MESSAGE CENTER

REF ID: A58041LN118

2843

MULTI

ACTION

DIA1

DISTR

CJC8(01) DJS(03) J3(14) J5(02) NMCC SECDEF(07) DPSECDEF
NMIC SECDEF1 ASDJS3A(10) DIA(15)

SECSTATE WASH DC

CIA

NSA WASH DC

WHITE HOUSE WASH DC

CMC

CSAF WASH DC

CNO WASH DC

CBA WASH DC

FILE(1)

(003)

TRANSIT/230630Z/230810Z/001148TOR2678884
DE RUGMHRA #9575 2670615

ZNY CCCCC

P 230630Z SEP 76

FM USDAO TEHRAN

TO RUEKJCS/DIA WASHDC

INFO RUEKJCS/SECDEF DEPSECDEF WASHDC

RUFRBAA/COMIDEASTFOR

RUDOEEA/CINCUSAFFE LINDSEY AS GE/INCF

RHFRAAB/CINCUSAFFE RAMSTEIN AB GE/INOCH

RUSNAAA/EUDAC VAIHINGEN GER

RUSNAAA/USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GER/ECJ-2

BT

1235 SEP 76

THIS IS IR 6 846 0139 76

1. (U) IRAN

2. REPORTED UFO SIGHTING (U)

3. (U) NA

4. (U) 19-20 SEP 76

5. (U) TEHRAN, IRAN 20 SEP 76

6. (U) F-6

7. (U) 6 846 0008 (NOTE TO COMMENTS)

8. (U) 6 846 0139 76

9. (U) 22SEP 76

10. (U) NA

11. (U) "INITIATE" IPSP PT-1440

PAGE 1

898

379/0-TR

00110101 53

REC'D 02 MAR 23 SEP 76

(49)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
MESSAGE CENTER

PAGE 2

12043

12. (U) USDAO, TEHRAN, IRAN
13. (U) FRANK B. MCKENZIE, COL, USAF, DATT
14. (U) NA

15. [REDACTED] THIS REPORT FORWARDS INFORMATION CONCERNING THE SIGHTING OF AN UFO IN IRAN ON 19 SEPTEMBER 1976.

A. AT ABOUT 1230 AM ON 19 SEP 76 THE IMPERIAL IRANIAN AIR FORCE (IIAF) COMMAND POST RECEIVED FOUR TELEPHONE CALLS FROM CITIZENS LIVING IN THE SHEMIRAN AREA OF TEHRAN SAYING THAT THEY HAD SEEN STRANGE OBJECTS IN THE SKY, SOME REPORTED A KIND OF BIRD-LIKE OBJECT WHILE OTHERS REPORTED A HELICOPTER WITH A LIGHT ON. THERE WERE NO HELICOPTERS AIRBORNE AT THAT TIME. THE COMMAND POST CALLED BG YOUSEFI, ASSISTANT DEPUTY COMMANDER OF OPERATIONS. AFTER HE TOLD THE CITIZEN IT WAS ONLY STARS AND HAD TALKED TO MEHRABAD TOWER HE DECIDED TO LOOK FOR HIMSELF. HE NOTICED AN OBJECT IN THE SKY SIMILAR TO A STAR BIGGER AND BRIGHTER. HE DECIDED TO SCRAMBLE AN F-4 FROM SHAHROKHI AFB TO INVESTIGATE.

B. AT 0130 HRS ON THE 19TH THE F-4 TOOK OFF AND PROCEEDED TO A POINT ABOUT 40 NM NORTH OF TEHRAN, DUE TO ITS BRILLIANCE THE OBJECT WAS EASILY VISIBLE FROM 70 MILES AWAY, AS THE F-4 APPROACHED A RANGE OF 25 NM HE LOST ALL INSTRUMENTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS (UHF AND INTERCOM). HE BROKE OFF THE INTERCEPT AND HEADED BACK TO SHAHROKHI, WHEN THE F-4 TURNED AWAY FROM THE OBJECT AND APPARENTLY WAS NO LONGER A THREAT TO IT. THE AIRCRAFT REGAINED ALL INSTRUMENTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS. AT 0140 HRS A SECOND F-4 WAS LAUNCHED. THE BACKSEATER ACQUIRED A RADAR LOCK ON AT 27 NM, 12 O'CLOCK HIGH POSITION WITH THE VC (RATE OF CLOSURE) AT 150 NM/PH. AS THE RANGE DECREASED TO 25 NM THE OBJECT MOVED AWAY AT A SPEED THAT WAS VISIBLE ON THE RADAR SCOPE AND STAYED AT 25NM.

C. THE SIZE OF THE RADAR RETURN WAS COMPARABLE TO THAT OF A 707 TANKER. THE VISUAL SIZE OF THE OBJECT WAS DIFFICULT TO DISCERN BECAUSE OF ITS INTENSE BRILLIANCE. THE LIGHT THAT IT GAVE OFF WAS THAT OF FLASHING STROBE LIGHTS ARRANGED IN A RECTANGULAR PATTERN AND ALTERNATING BLUE, GREEN, RED AND ORANGE IN COLOR. THE SEQUENCE OF THE LIGHTS WAS SO FAST THAT ALL THE COLORS COULD BE SEEN AT ONCE. THE OBJECT AND THE PURSUING F-4 CONTINUED ON A COURSE TO THE SOUTH OF TEHRAN WHEN ANOTHER BRIGHTLY-LIGHTED OBJECT, ESTIMATED TO BE ONE HALF TO ONE THIRD THE APPARENT SIZE OF THE MOON, CAME OUT OF THE ORIGINAL OBJECT. THIS SECOND OBJECT HEADED STRAIGHT TOWARD THE F-4 AT A VERY FAST RATE OF SPEED. THE PILOT ATTEMPTED TO FIRE AN AIM-9 MISSILE AT THE OBJECT BUT AT THAT

PAGE 2

00110101

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

MESSAGE CENTER

PAGE 3

12843

INSTANT HIS WEAPONS CONTROL PANEL WENT OFF AND HE LOST ALL COMMUNICATIONS (UHF AND INTERPHONE). AT THIS POINT THE PILOT INITIATED A TURN AND NEGATIVE G DIVE TO GET AWAY, AS HE TURNED THE OBJECT FELL IN TRAIL AT WHAT APPEARED TO BE ABOUT 3-4 NM. AS HE CONTINUED IN HIS TURN AWAY FROM THE PRIMARY OBJECT THE SECOND OBJECT WENT TO THE INSIDE OF HIS TURN THEN RETURNED TO THE PRIMARY OBJECT FOR A PERFECT REJOIN.

D. SHORTLY AFTER THE SECOND OBJECT JOINED UP WITH THE PRIMARY OBJECT ANOTHER OBJECT APPEARED TO COME OUT OF THE OTHER SIDE OF THE PRIMARY OBJECT GOING STRAIGHT DOWN, AT A GREAT RATE OF SPEED. THE F-4 CREW HAD REGAINED COMMUNICATIONS AND THE WEAPONS CONTROL PANEL AND WATCHED THE OBJECT APPROACH THE GROUND ANTICIPATING A LARGE EXPLOSION. THIS OBJECT APPEARED TO COME TO REST GENTLY ON THE EARTH AND CAST A VERY BRIGHT LIGHT OVER AN AREA OF ABOUT 2-3 KILOMETERS. THE CREW DESCENDED FROM THEIR ALTITUDE OF 26M TO 15M AND CONTINUED TO OBSERVE AND MARK THE OBJECT'S POSITION. THEY HAD SOME DIFFICULTY IN ADJUSTING THEIR NIGHT VISIBILITY FOR LANDING SO AFTER ORBITING MEHRABAD A FEW TIMES THEY WENT OUT FOR A STRAIGHT IN LANDING. THERE WAS A LOT OF INTERFERENCE ON THE UHF AND EACH TIME THEY PASSED THROUGH A MAG, BEARING OF 150 DEGREE FROM EHRABAD THEY LOST THEIR COMMUNICATIONS (UHF AND INTERPHONE) AND THE INS FLUCTUATED FROM 30 DEGREES - 50 DEGREES. THE ONE CIVIL AIRLINER THAT WAS APPROACHING MEHRABAD DURING THIS SAME TIME EXPERIENCED COMMUNICATIONS FAILURE IN THE SAME VICINITY (K10 ZULU) BUT DID NOT REPORT SEEING ANYTHING. WHILE THE F-4 WAS ON A LONG FINAL APPROACH THE CREW NOTICED ANOTHER CYLINDER SHAPED OBJECT (ABOUT THE SIZE OF A T-BIRD AT 10M) WITH BRIGHT STEADY LIGHTS ON EACH END AND A FLASHER IN THE MIDDLE. WHEN QUERIED THE TOWER STATED THERE WAS NO OTHER KNOWN TRAFFIC IN THE AREA. DURING THE TIME THAT THE OBJECT PASSED OVER THE F-4 THE TOWER DID NOT HAVE A VISUAL ON IT BUT PICKED IT UP AFTER THE PILOT TOLD THEM TO LOOK BETWEEN THE MOUNTAINS AND THE REFINERY.

E. DURING DAYLIGHT THE F-4 CREW WAS TAKEN OUT TO THE AREA IN A HELICOPTER WHERE THE OBJECT APPARENTLY HAD LANDED. NOTHING WAS NOTICED AT THE SPOT WHERE THEY THOUGHT THE OBJECT LANDED (A DRY LAKE BED) BUT AS THEY CIRCLED OFF TO THE WEST OF THE AREA THEY PICKED UP A VERY NOTICEABLE BEEPER SIGNAL. AT THE POINT WHERE THE RETURN WAS THE LOUDEST WAS A SMALL HOUSE WITH A GARDEN. THEY LANDED AND ASKED THE PEOPLE WITHIN IF THEY HAD NOTICED ANYTHING STRANGE LAST NIGHT. THE PEOPLE TALKED ABOUT A LOUD NOISE AND A VERY BRIGHT LIGHT.

PAGE 3

00110101

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
MESSAGE CENTER

PAGE 4 12043
[REDACTED] LIKE LIGHTENING, THE AIRCRAFT AND AREA WHERE THE OBJECT IS
BELIEVED TO HAVE LANDED ARE BEING CHECKED FOR POSSIBLE RADIATION.
NO COMMENTS: [REDACTED] ACTUAL INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT
WAS OBTAINED FROM SOURCE IN CONVERSATION WITH A SUB-SOURCE, AND
ZIAF PILOT OF ONE OF THE F-4S. MORE INFORMATION WILL BE
FORWARDED WHEN IT BECOMES AVAILABLE.

BT
#9575
ANNOTES
JEP 117

23 SEP 1976 i3
DIA-DS-3C
0X5-5865
11

PAGE 4 [REDACTED]

00100101

NNNN
230810Z